

**RUSCHEWEYH-TYPE UNIVALENT HARMONIC FUNCTIONS
STARLIKE OF THE COMPLEX ORDER**

ABDUL RAHMAN S. JUMA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we have defined the class $\mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ by making use of the Ruscheweyh derivatives and we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the functions to be in $\mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION

A continuous function $f = u + iv$ is a complex-valued harmonic function in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ if both u and v are real harmonic in D . In any simply connected domain, we can write $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic in D . We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f . Clunie and Sheil-Small [2] proved a necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in D is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ in D .

Let \mathcal{H} denote the class of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ with $f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Therefore we can express analytic and co-analytic parts of the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ as

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad |b_1| < 1. \quad (1)$$

We can note that \mathcal{H} reduces to S , the class of normalized univalent analytic functions whenever the co-analytic part $g \equiv 0$.

Let $\mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the family of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are harmonic in \mathcal{U} with the normalization

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad a_n \geq 0, \quad b_n \geq 0, \quad b_1 < 1. \quad (2)$$

For $\lambda > -1, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, we let $\mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ denote the class of all functions in $\mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}$ for which

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(D^\lambda f(z))'}{\beta z(D^\lambda f(z))' + (1-\beta)D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} > 0. \quad (3)$$

Here, the operator $D^\lambda f(z)$ is the Ruschewyh derivative of $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ given by

$$D^\lambda \phi(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\lambda}} * \phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(\lambda) c_n z^n,$$

where $*$ stands for the convolution or Hadamard product of two power series and

$$B_n(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)\cdots(\lambda+n-1)}{(n-1)!}, \text{ see [5].}$$

Also if $f(z) = h(z) + \bar{g}(z)$ then

$$D^\lambda f(z) = D^\lambda h(z) + \overline{D^\lambda g(z)}, \text{ see [4].} \quad (4)$$

We note that $\mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, 0, 0)$ is the class of harmonic function in the unit disk studied by Sibel et al. [6].

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{ST}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ denote the subclass at $\mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}$ consisting of functions $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}$ that satisfy the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2((n-1)(1-\beta) + (\beta(n-1)+1)|\gamma|)B_n(\lambda)a_n \\ & + ((n+1)(1-\beta) + |(n+1)(1-\beta) - 2\gamma(1-\beta(n+1))|)B_n(\lambda)b_n] \leq 4|\gamma| \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We also consider $\mathcal{LR}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ the subclass of $\mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}$ consisting of functions $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}$ that satisfy the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(n-1)(1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} + (1+\beta(n-1))|\gamma| \right] B_n(\lambda)a_n \\ & + \left[(n+1)(1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} - (1-\beta(n+1))|\gamma| \right] B_n(\lambda)b_n \leq (2+\beta)|\gamma| \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The harmonic starlike functions studied by Avci and Zlotkiewicz [1], Jahangiri [3], Silverman [7], and Silverman and Silvia [8].

The coefficient condition $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(|a_n| + |b_n|) \leq 1$, with $b_1 = 0$ is sufficient for $f = h + \bar{g}$ to be harmonic starlike proved by Avci and Zlotkiewicz [1] while Silverman

[7] proved that this coefficient condition is also necessary if $b_1 = 0$ and if a_n and b_n in (1) are negative. Jahangiri [3] proved that if $f = h + \bar{g}$ is given by (1) and if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} |a_n| + \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha} |b_n| \right) \leq 2, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad a_1 = 1, \quad (8)$$

then f is harmonic, univalent, and starlike of order α in \mathcal{U} . This condition is proved to be also necessary if h and g are of the form (2). the case when $\alpha = 0$ is given in [8], and for $\alpha = b_1 = 0$, see [7].

2.MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. $ST_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) \subset \mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$.

Proof. Let $f \in ST_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$. We need to show that the condition (3) holds, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & Re\{[(\gamma - 1)[\beta z(D^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{\beta z(D^\lambda g(z))'} + (1 - \beta)D^\lambda h(z) + (1 - \beta)\overline{D^\lambda g(z)}] \\ & + z(D^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{z(D^\lambda g(z))'}] / [\gamma[\beta z(D^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{\beta z(D^\lambda g(z))'} + (1 - \beta)D^\lambda h(z) \\ & + (1 - \beta)\overline{D^\lambda g(z)}]\} > 0, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq \beta < 1, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda > -1. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $Re w > 0$ if and only if $|w + 1| > |1 - w|$, then we have and by (2)

$$\begin{aligned} & |(2\gamma - 1)[\beta z(D^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{\beta z(D^\lambda g(z))'} + (1 - \beta)D^\lambda h(z) + (1 - \beta)\overline{D^\lambda g(z)}] \\ & + z(D^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{z(D^\lambda g(z))'}| - |\beta z(D^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{\beta z(D^\lambda g(z))'}| \\ & + (1 - \beta)D^\lambda h(z) + (1 - \beta)\overline{D^\lambda g(z)} - z(D^\lambda h(z))' + \overline{z(D^\lambda g(z))'}| \\ & = |(2\gamma - 1)[\beta z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta n B_n(\lambda) a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta n B_n(\lambda) b_n \bar{z}^n + (1 - \beta)z \\ & - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \beta) B_n(\lambda) a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \beta) B_n(\lambda) b_n \bar{z}^n] + z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n B_n(\lambda) a_n z^n \\ & - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n B_n(\lambda) b_n \bar{z}^n| \\ & - |\beta z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta n B_n(\lambda) a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta n B_n(\lambda) b_n \bar{z}^n + (1 - \beta)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \beta) B_n(\lambda) a_n z^n \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \beta) B_n(\lambda) b_n \bar{z}^n - z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n B_n(\lambda) a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n B_n(\lambda) b_n \bar{z}^n| \\ & = 2\gamma z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (2\gamma\beta n - \beta n + 2\gamma - 2\gamma\beta - 1 + \beta + n) B_n(\lambda) a_n z^n \\ & - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\gamma\beta n - \beta n - 2\gamma + 2\gamma\beta + 1 - \beta + n) B_n(\lambda) b_n \bar{z}^n| \\ & - |\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \beta n - 1 + \beta) B_n(\lambda) a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + 1 - \beta n - \beta) B_n(\lambda) b_n \bar{z}^n| \\ & > 2|\gamma| - (\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2((n - 1)(1 - \beta) + (\beta(n - 1) + 1)|\gamma|) B_n(\lambda) a_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((n + 1)(1 - \beta) \\ & + |(n + 1)(1 - \beta) - 2\gamma(1 - \beta(n + 1))|) B_n(\lambda) b_n) \geq 0. \text{ For sharpness consider the function} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|\gamma|}{(n-1)(1-\beta) + (\beta(n-1) + 1)|\gamma|} s_n z^n \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2|\gamma|}{(n+1)(1-\beta) + |(n+1)(1-\beta) - 2\gamma(1-\beta(n+1))} t_n \bar{z}^n \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

where s_n, t_n are non-negative and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} s_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n = 1$ and all the functions of the form (8) are in $\mathcal{RT}_H^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (2((n-1)(1-\beta) + (\beta(n-1)+1)|\gamma|)B_n(\lambda)a_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((n+1)(1-\beta) \\ & + |(n+1)(1-\beta) - 2\gamma(1-\beta(n+1))|)B_n(\lambda)b_n = 2|\gamma|(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} s_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n) = 4|\gamma|. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. $\mathcal{RT}_H^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) \subset \mathcal{LR}_H^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{RT}_H^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, then from the condition (3) we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(D^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{z(D^\lambda g(z))'}}{\beta z(D^\lambda h(z))' - \beta z(D^\lambda g(z))' + (1-\beta)D^\lambda h(z) + (1-\beta)\overline{D^\lambda g(z)}} - 1 \right) \right\} > -1.$$

By using (2), we obtain $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma} ([z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} nB_n(\lambda)a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nB_n(\lambda)b_n \bar{z}^n] / [\beta z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta nB_n(\lambda)a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta nB_n(\lambda)b_n \bar{z}^n + (1-\beta)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\beta)B_n(\lambda)a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\beta)B_n(\lambda)b_n \bar{z}^n] - 1) \right\} > -1$, then, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\beta n-1+\beta)B_n(\lambda)a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-\beta n+1-\beta)B_n(\lambda)b_n \bar{z}^n}{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\beta n+1-\beta)B_n(\lambda)a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\beta-\beta n)B_n(\lambda)b_n \bar{z}^n} \right\} > -1.$$

Choosing $z \rightarrow 1^-$ on the real axis, we obtain

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)(1-\beta)B_n(\lambda)a_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)(1-\beta)B_n(\lambda)b_n}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+\beta(n-1))B_n(\lambda)a_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\beta(n+1))B_n(\lambda)b_n} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \leq 1,$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)(1-\beta)B_n(\lambda)a_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)(1-\beta)B_n(\lambda)b_n \\ & \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\gamma)} \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+\beta(n-1))B_n(\lambda)a_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\beta(n+1))B_n(\lambda)b_n \right), \end{aligned}$$

then we get $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(n-1)(1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} + (1+\beta(n-1))|\gamma| \right] B_n(\lambda)a_n$
 $+ \left[(n+1)(1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} - (1-\beta(n+1))|\gamma| \right] B_n(\lambda)b_n \leq 2|\gamma|$. Then by (6) we have
 $f \in \mathcal{LR}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$.

Theorem 3. $ST_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) = \mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) = \mathcal{LR}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, where $0 < \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $\lambda > -1$.

Proof. If $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, then the condition (5) and (6) are equivalent and here $ST_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) = \mathcal{LR}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$. By making use the previous two theorems, we get the result and this complete the proof.

Theorem 4. $\mathcal{LR}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) \not\subseteq \mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, if $Re(\gamma) \leq 0$ and $Re(\gamma) \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ or $\gamma \in (\frac{3}{2}, \infty)$.

Proof. Consider the function $f(z) = z - \frac{1}{\lambda+1}z^2, \lambda > -1, f \in \mathcal{LR}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, since
 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(n-1)(1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} + (1+\beta(n-1))|\gamma| \right] B_n(\lambda)a_n$
 $+ \left[(n+1)(1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} - (1-\beta(n+1))|\gamma| \right] B_n(\lambda)b_n = |\gamma| + (1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} + (1+\beta)|\gamma| =$
 $(2+\beta)|\gamma| + (1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} \leq (2+\beta)|\gamma|$ when $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $Re(\gamma) < 0$.

Also, let $r = Re(\gamma) < 0$ and t be negative real number such that
 $(1-\beta) + 2r(1+\beta)(1-t) > 0$. If we choose $z = \frac{\gamma(1-t)}{1-\beta+\gamma(1+\beta)(1-t)}$, then $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and
 by $D^\lambda f(z) = z - z^2$, we have $1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(D^\lambda f(z))'}{\beta z(D^\lambda f(z))' + (1-\beta)D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right) = t < 0$, then
 $f(z) \notin \mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$.

By the same way, let $f(z) = z + \frac{1}{\lambda+1}\bar{z}^2$, then if $\gamma \in (\frac{3(1-\beta)}{2}, \infty)$, we obtain
 $f \in \mathcal{LR}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(n-1)(1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} + (1+\beta(n-1))|\gamma| \right] B_n(\lambda)a_n \\ & + \left[(n+1)(1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} - (1-\beta(n+1))|\gamma| \right] B_n(\lambda)b_n \\ & = 3(1-\beta) \frac{Re(\gamma)}{|\gamma|} + 3\beta|\gamma| \leq (2+\beta)|\gamma|. \end{aligned}$$

Now let t be a negative real number such that $3(1-\beta) + \gamma(t-1) < 0$, choose
 $z = -\frac{\gamma(t-1)}{3(1-\beta)+\gamma(t-1)}$, then $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and by definition of f we have

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(D^\lambda f(z))'}{\beta z(D^\lambda f(z))' + (1-\beta)D^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right) = t < 0,$$

therefore $f \notin \mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$.

Theorem 5. $\mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) \not\subseteq ST_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, whenever $\gamma < -1, \lambda > -1$ and $\beta \in [0, 1)$.

Proof. Consider the function $f_\sigma(z) = z - \frac{\sigma}{1+\lambda}z^2$, $\lambda > -1$ and $\sigma > \frac{\gamma}{(1-\beta)+\gamma(1+\beta)}$, then $f \in \mathcal{RT}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(D^\lambda f_\sigma(z))'}{\beta z(D^\lambda f_\sigma(z))' + (1-\beta)D^\lambda f_\sigma(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\sigma z(1-\beta)}{\gamma(\sigma z(1+\beta) - 1)} \right\} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2((n-1)(1-\beta) + (\beta(n-1)+1)|\gamma|)B_n(\lambda)a_n + ((n+1)(1-\beta) \\ & + |(n+1)(1-\beta) - 2\gamma(1-\beta(n+1))|)B_n(\lambda)b_n] \\ &= 2|\gamma| + [2(1-\beta) + 2(\beta+1)|\gamma|]\sigma > 4|\gamma|, \end{aligned}$$

because $\sigma > \frac{\gamma}{(1-\beta)+\gamma(1+\beta)} > 1$, then $f \notin \mathcal{ST}_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Avci and E. Zlotkiewicz, *On harmonic univalent mappings*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-sklodowska, Sect. A, 44 (1990), 1-7.
- [2] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I Math. 9 (1984), 3-25.
- [3] J. Jahangiri, *Harmonic functions starlike in unit disk*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 235 (1999), 470-477.
- [4] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and K. Vijaya, *On certain classes of harmonic functions involving Ruscheweyh derivatives*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 96(2), (2004), 99-108.
- [5] St. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1975), 109-115.
- [6] Sibel Yalcin and Metin Öztürk, *harmonic functions starlike of the complex order*, Matema. Bech., 58 (2006), 7-11.
- [7] H. Silverman, *Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 220 (1998), 283-289.
- [8] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, *Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions*, N. Z. J. Math., 28 (1999), 275-284.

Abdul Rahman S. Juma
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Alanbar
 Address: Ramadi , Iraq
 E-mail: absa662004@yahoo.com