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Abstract. The aim of this paper is to introduced subclasses of Janowski functions with bounded boundary and bounded radius rotations of complex order $b$ and of type $\rho$. And also to study the mapping properties of these classes under certain integral operators defined and studied by Breaz et. al recently.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n},
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Let $C_{b}(\rho)$ and $S_{b}^{*}(\rho)$ be the classes of convex and starlike functions of complex order $b(b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\})$ and type $\rho(0 \leq \rho<1)$ respectively studied by Frasin [5].
Let $P[A, B]$ be the class of functions $h(z)$, analytic in $E$ with $h(0)=1$ and

$$
h(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,
$$

where the symbol $\prec$ stands for subordination. This class was introduced by Janowski [6]. It is noted that $P[1,-1] \equiv P$, where $P$ is the well-known class of functions with positive real parts. Noor [9] generalized this concept of janowski functions and defined the class $P_{k}[A, B]$ as follows.
A function $p(z)$ is said to be in the class $P_{k}[A, B]$, if and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\left(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right) h_{1}(z)-\left(\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right) h_{2}(z), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{1}(z), h_{2}(z) \in P[A, B]$. It is clear that $P_{2}[A, B] \equiv P[A, B]$ and $P_{k}[1,-1] \equiv$ $P_{k}$, the well-known class given and studied by Pinchuk [13].
The important fact about the class $P_{k}[A, B]$ is that this class is convex set. That is, for $p_{i}(z) \in P_{k}[A, B]$ and $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} p_{i}(z) \in P_{k}[A, B] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be easily seen from (1.1) by using the fact that the set $P[A, B]$ is convex [10]. By using all these concepts, we define the following classes.
A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to belong to the class $V_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$, if and only if,

$$
\frac{1}{1-\rho}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\rho\right] \in P_{k}[A, B]
$$

where $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, k \geq 2,0 \leq \rho<1$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. When $\rho=0$ and $b=1$, we obtain the class $V_{k}[A, B]$ of janowski functions with bounded boundary rotation, first discussed by Noor [9].
Similarly, an analytic function $f(z) \in R_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$, if and only if,

$$
\frac{1}{1-\rho}\left[1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)-\rho\right] \in P_{k}[A, B]
$$

where $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, k \geq 2,0 \leq \rho<1$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. When $\rho=0$ and $b=1$, we obtain the class $R_{k}[A, B]$ of functions with bounded radius rotation, first discussed by Noor [9].
Let us consider the integral operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{f_{1}(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{f_{n}(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha_{n}} d t \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left[f_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots\left[f_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\alpha_{n}} d t \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}(z) \in A$ and $\alpha_{i}>0$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
These operators, given by (1.3) and (1.4), are introduced and studied by Breaz and Breaz [2] and Breaz et.al [4], respectively. Later on, Breaz and Güney [3] considered the above integral operators and they obtained their properties on the classes $C_{b}(\rho)$, $S_{b}^{*}(\rho)$ of convex and starlike functions of complex order $b$ and type $\rho$ introduced
and studied by Frasin [5]. Recently, Noor [11] discussed the effect of these integral opeartors on the classes $V_{k}(\rho, b)$ and $R_{k}(\rho, b)$.
In this paper, we investigate some propeties of the above integral operators $F_{n}(z)$ and $F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}(z)$ for the classes $V_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$ and $R_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$ respectively.
In order to derive our main result, we need the following lemmas.

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. Let $\beta, \gamma, A \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}[\beta+\gamma]>0$ and let $B \in[-1,0]$ satisfy either

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left[\beta[1+((1-\rho) A+\rho B) B]+\gamma\left(1+B^{2}\right)\right] \geq \\
& \qquad|((1-\rho) A+\rho B) \beta+\bar{\beta} B+B(\gamma+\bar{\gamma})|,
\end{aligned}
$$

when $B \in(-1,0]$, or

$$
\operatorname{Re} \beta[1+(1-\rho) A+\rho B]>0 \text { and } \operatorname{Re}[\beta[1-((1-\rho) A+\rho B)]+2 \gamma] \geq 0
$$

when $B=-1$. If $h(z)=1+c_{n} z^{n}+c_{n+1} z^{n+1} \ldots$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{h(z)+\frac{n z h^{\prime}(z)}{\beta h(z)+\gamma}\right\} \prec \frac{1+\{(1-\rho) A+\rho B\} z}{1+B z}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec Q(z) \prec \frac{1+\{(1-\rho) A+\rho B\} z}{1+B z}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Q(z)=\frac{1}{\beta G(z)}-\frac{\gamma}{\beta},
$$

and

$$
G(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1+B t z}{1+B z}\right]^{\frac{\beta}{n}(1-\rho)\left(\frac{A}{B}-1\right)} t^{\frac{\beta+\gamma}{n}-1} d t, & B \neq 0 \\ \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{1} e^{\frac{\beta A}{n}(1-\rho)(t-1) z} t^{\frac{\beta+\gamma}{n}-1} d t, & B=0\end{cases}
$$

From (2.2), we can deduce the sharp result that $h \in P(\beta)$, with

$$
\beta=\beta(\rho, \beta, \gamma)=\min \operatorname{Re} Q(z)=Q(-1) .
$$

This result is a special case of one, given in ([7], pp.109).
The following Lemma is a generalization of the result proved in [12].

Lemma 2.2. Let $f(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho]$. Then, $f(z) \in R_{k}[A, B, \beta]$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\beta_{1}(\rho, 1,0)=\frac{B\left[(1-\rho)\left(\frac{A}{B}-1\right)+1\right]}{(1-B)^{(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)}-(1-B)}, \quad B \neq 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=p(z)=\left(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right) h_{1}(z)-\left(\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right) h_{2}(z) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Logarithmic differentiation of (2.4) yields

$$
\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}=p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}
$$

Since $f(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho]$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \in P_{k}[A, B, \rho] . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we define

$$
\phi(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{z}{(1-z)}+\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right\}=\frac{z\left(1-\frac{z}{2}\right)}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

and using (2.4) with convolution technique given by Noor [8], we have

$$
\frac{\phi(z)}{z} * p(z)=\left(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\frac{\phi(z)}{z} * h_{1}(z)\right]-\left(\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\frac{\phi(z)}{z} * h_{2}(z)\right],
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\left(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[h_{1}(z)+\frac{z h_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{h_{1}(z)}\right]-\left(\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[h_{2}(z)+\frac{z h_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{h_{2}(z)}\right] . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$
h_{i}(z)+\frac{z h_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{h_{i}(z)} \in P[A, B, \rho], \quad i=1,2 .
$$

We use Lemma 2.1 with $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, n=1, \gamma=0, \beta=1>0, \rho \in[0,1)$ and $h=h_{i}$ in (2.1), to have $h_{i} \in P[A, B, \beta]$, where $\beta$ is given in (2.3) and consequently $p(z) \in P_{k}[A, B, \beta]$, which gives the required result. This estimate is best possible, extremal function $Q(z)$ is given by

$$
Q(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{(1+B z)-(1+B z)^{(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)}}{B z\left[(1-\rho)\left(\frac{A}{B}-1\right)+1\right]}, & \text { if } B \neq 0 \\ \frac{1-e^{-(1-\rho)(A z)}}{(1-\rho) A z}, & \text { if } B=0\end{cases}
$$

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $f_{i}(z) \in R_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, $0 \leq \rho<1, b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. Also let $\alpha_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq n$. If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1
$$

then $F_{n}(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$.
Proof. From (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By multiplying (3.1) with $\frac{1}{b}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{b} \frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right)
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left[1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right)\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting $\rho$ from both sides of (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\rho\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right)\right)-\rho\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{i}(z) \in R_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right)\right)-\rho\right]=(1-\rho) p_{i}(z), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i}(z) \in P_{k}[A, B]$. Using (3.4) in (3.3), we obtain

$$
\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\rho\right]=(1-\rho) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} p_{i}(z)
$$

Using (1.2), we can have

$$
\frac{1}{1-\rho}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\rho\right] \in P_{k}[A, B]
$$

which implies that $F_{n}(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$.
If we take $A=1, B=-1$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the result proved in [11].
Corollory 3.2. Let $f_{i}(z) \in R_{k}(\rho, b)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $0 \leq \rho<1, b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$.
Also let $\alpha_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq n$. If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1
$$

then $F_{n}(z) \in V_{k}(\rho, b)$.
If $k=2, A=1, B=-1$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the result proved in [3].
Corollory 3.3. Let $f_{i}(z) \in S_{b}^{*}(\rho)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $0 \leq \rho<1, b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. Also let $\alpha_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq n$. If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1
$$

then $F_{n}(z) \in C_{b}(\rho)$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $f_{i}(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho, 1]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, $B \neq 0,0 \leq \rho<1$. Also let $\alpha_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq n$. If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1
$$

then $F_{n}(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \beta, 1]$, where $\beta$ is given by (2.3).
Proof. From (3.2) with $b=1$, we have

$$
\left(1+\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}\right)
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(1+\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\beta\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left[\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-\beta\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{i}(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho, 1]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then by using Lemma 2.2, we have $f_{i}(z) \in R_{k}[A, B, \beta, 1]$, where $\beta$ is given by (2.3). That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-\beta=(1-\beta) p_{i}(z), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i}(z) \in P_{k}[A, B]$. Using (3.6) in (3.5), we obtain

$$
\left[\left(1+\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\beta\right]=(1-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} p_{i}(z)
$$

Using (1.2), we can have

$$
\frac{1}{1-\beta}\left[\left(1+\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\beta\right] \in P_{k}[A, B]
$$

which implies that $F_{n}(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \beta, 1]$.
Set $n=1$ with $\alpha_{1}=1$, in Theorem 3.4, we obtain.
Corollory 3.5. Let $f(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho]$ for $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, B \neq 0$. Then the Alexandar operator $F_{1}(z)$, defined in [1], belongs to the class $V_{k}[A, B, \beta]$ for $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, B \neq 0$, where $\beta$ is given by (2.3).
For $A=1, B=-1, \rho=0$ and $k=2$ in Corollary 3.5, we have the well known result, that is,

$$
f(z) \in C(0) \Rightarrow F_{1}(z) \in C\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

By setting $A=1, B=-1$ in Theorem 3.4, we obtain the following result.
Corollory 3.6. Let $f_{i}(z) \in V_{k}(\rho, 1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $0 \leq \rho<1$. Also let $\alpha_{i}>0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1,
$$

then $F_{n}(z) \in V_{k}(\beta, 1)$, where $\beta$ is given by (2.3).
The above result in Corollary 3.6 is special case of the results proved in [11].
Theorem 3.7. Let $f_{i}(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, $0 \leq \rho<1, b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. Also let $\alpha_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq n$. If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1
$$

then $F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$.
Proof. From (1.4), we have

$$
\frac{F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}^{\prime}(z)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}\right) .
$$

By multiplying both sides with $\frac{z}{b}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{b} \frac{z F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}^{\prime}(z)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}\right)
$$

This relation is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\rho\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\rho\right] . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{i}(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\rho=(1-\rho) p_{i}(z), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i}(z) \in P_{k}[A, B]$. Using (3.8) in (3.7), we obtain

$$
\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\rho\right]=(1-\rho) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} p_{i}(z) .
$$

Using the fact given in (1.2), we get

$$
\frac{1}{1-\rho}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\rho\right] \in P_{k}[A, B] .
$$

This implies that $F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}(z) \in V_{k}[A, B, \rho, b]$.
When $A=1, B=-1$ in Theorem 3.7, we obtain the result proved in [11].
Corollory 3.8. Let $f_{i}(z) \in V_{k}(\rho, b)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $0 \leq \rho<1, b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. Also let $\alpha_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq n$. If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1,
$$

then $F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}(z) \in V_{k}(\rho, b)$.
If $k=2, A=1, B=-1$ in Theorem 3.7, we have the result discussed in [3].
Corollory 3.9. Let $f_{i}(z) \in S_{b}^{*}(\rho)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $0 \leq \rho<1, b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. Also let $\alpha_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq n$. If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1
$$

then $F_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}}(z) \in C_{b}(\rho)$.
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