

**INTEGRAL MEANS FOR CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF
UNIFORMLY STARLIKE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS DEFINED
BY CONVOLUTION**

M. K. AOUF, R. M. EL-ASHWAH AND S. M. EL-DEEB

ABSTRACT. We introduce some generalized subclasses $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ of uniformly starlike and convex functions, we settle the Silverman's conjecture for the integral means inequality. In particular, we obtain integral means inequalities for various classes of uniformly β -starlike and uniformly β -convex functions in the unit disc.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

that are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let $f(z) \in S$ be given by (1.1) and $\Phi(z) \in S$ be given by

$$\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k z^k, \quad (1.2)$$

then for analytic functions f and Φ with $f(0) = \Phi(0)$, f is said to be subordinate to Φ , denoted by $f \prec \Phi$, if there exists an analytic function w such that $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ and $f(z) = \Phi(w(z))$, for all $z \in U$.

The Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * \Phi$ of f and Φ is defined (as usual) by

$$(f * \Phi)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k c_k z^k = (\Phi * f)(z). \quad (1.3)$$

Following Goodman ([7] and [8]), Ronning ([17] and [18]) introduced and studied the following subclasses:

(i) A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $S_p(\alpha, \beta)$ of uniformly β -starlike functions if it satisfies the condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.4)$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

(ii) A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $UCV(\alpha, \beta)$ of uniformly β -convex functions if it satisfies the condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.5)$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$. We also observe that

$$S_p(\alpha, 0) = T^*(\alpha), \quad UCV(\alpha, 0) = C(\alpha)$$

are, respectively, well-known subclasses of starlike functions of order α and convex functions of order α . Indeed it follows from (1.4) and (1.5) that

$$f(z) \in UCV(\alpha, \beta) \iff zf'(z) \in S_p(\alpha, \beta). \quad (1.6)$$

For $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$, we let $S_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of S consisting of functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and functions $g(z)$ given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (b_k \geq 0), \quad (1.7)$$

and satisfying the analytic criterion:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - \alpha \right\} \\ > \beta \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Let T denote the subclass of S consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0). \quad (1.9)$$

Further, we define the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ by

$$TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta) = S_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta) \cap T. \quad (1.10)$$

We note that:

- (i) $TS_0(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; \alpha, 1) = S_pT(\alpha)$ and $TS_0(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}; \alpha, 1) = TS_1(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; \alpha, 1) = UCT(\alpha)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1$) (see Bharati et al. [4]);
- (ii) $TS_1(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; 0, \beta) = UCT(\beta)$ ($\beta \geq 0$) (see Subramanian et al. [24]);
- (iii) $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(\alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$) (see Murugusundaramoorthy and Magesh [12] and [13]);
- (iv) $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(n, \alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$) (see Rosy and Murugusundaramoorthy [19]);
- (v) $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = D(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda > -1$) (see Shams et al. [23]);
- (vi) $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, n \in N_0$) (see Aouf and Mostafa [2]);
- (vii) $TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$) (see Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [14]);
- (viii) $TS_0(f, g; \alpha, \beta) = H_T(g, \alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0$) (see Raina and Bansal [16]);
- (viii) $TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_q^s(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$ (see Ahuja et al. [1]), where

$$\Gamma_k = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{k-1}} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \quad (1.11)$$

($\alpha_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, q; \beta_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, s; q \leq s + 1; q, s \in N_0$).

Also we note that

$$(i) TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_\gamma(n, \alpha, \beta)$$

$$= \left\{ f \in T : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)z(D^n f(z))' + \gamma z(D^{n+1} f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)D^n f(z) + \gamma D^{n+1} f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \right\}$$

$$> \beta \left| \frac{(1-\gamma)z(D^n f(z))' + \gamma z(D^{n+1} f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)D^n f(z) + \gamma D^{n+1} f(z)} - 1 \right|, (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, n \in N_0, z \in U) \Bigg\} \quad (1.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right) z^k; \alpha, \beta) &= \\ &= TS_\gamma(c, \alpha, \beta) = \left\{ f \in T : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(J_c f(z))' + \gamma z^2(J_c f(z))''}{(1-\gamma)J_c f(z) + \gamma z(J_c f(z))'} - \alpha \right\} \right. \\ &> \beta \left| \frac{z(J_c f(z))' + \gamma z^2(J_c f(z))''}{(1-\gamma)J_c f(z) + \gamma z(J_c f(z))'} - 1 \right|, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, -1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, c > -1, z \in U \Bigg\}; \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

where J_c is a Bernardi operator [3], defined by

$$J_c f(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right) a_k z^k.$$

Note that the operator $J_1 f(z)$ was studied earlier by Libera [9] and Livingston [11];

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii) } TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{k-1}}{(\lambda+1)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) &= TS_\gamma(\mu, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \\ &= \left\{ f \in T : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(I_{\lambda, \mu} f(z))' + \gamma z^2(I_{\lambda, \mu} f(z))''}{(1-\gamma)I_{\lambda, \mu} f(z) + \gamma z(I_{\lambda, \mu} f(z))'} - \alpha \right\} \right. \\ &> \beta \left| \frac{z(I_{\lambda, \mu} f(z))' + \gamma z^2(I_{\lambda, \mu} f(z))''}{(1-\gamma)I_{\lambda, \mu} f(z) + \gamma z(I_{\lambda, \mu} f(z))'} - 1 \right|, \\ &\left. (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, -1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda > -1, \mu > 0, z \in U) \right\}; \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

where $I_{\lambda, \mu}$ is a Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator [6], defined by

$$I_{\lambda, \mu} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{k-1}}{(\lambda+1)_{k-1}} a_k z^k \quad (\lambda > -1; \mu > 0);$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv) } TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_{k-1} (\lambda+1)_{k-1}}{(a)_{k-1} (1)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) &= TS_\gamma(a, c, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \\
 &= \left\{ f \in T : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(I^\lambda(a,c)f(z))' + \gamma z^2(I^\lambda(a,c)f(z))''}{(1-\gamma)I^\lambda(a,c)f(z) + \gamma z(I^\lambda(a,c)f(z))'} - \alpha \right\} \right. \\
 &> \beta \left| \frac{z(I^\lambda(a,c)f(z))' + \gamma z^2(I^\lambda(a,c)f(z))''}{(1-\gamma)I^\lambda(a,c)f(z) + \gamma z(I^\lambda(a,c)f(z))'} - 1 \right|, \\
 &\left. (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, -1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \lambda > -1, z \in U) \right\}; \quad (1.15)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $I^\lambda(a, c)$ is a Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator [5], defined by

$$I^\lambda(a, c)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_{k-1} (\lambda+1)_{k-1}}{(a)_{k-1} (1)_{k-1}} a_k z^k;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(v) } TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2)_{k-1}}{(n+1)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) &= TS_\gamma(n; \alpha, \beta) \\
 &= \left\{ f \in T : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(I_n f(z))' + \gamma z^2(I_n f(z))''}{(1-\gamma)I_n f(z) + \gamma z(I_n f(z))'} - \alpha \right\} \right. \\
 &> \beta \left| \frac{z(I_n f(z))' + \gamma z^2(I_n f(z))''}{(1-\gamma)I_n f(z) + \gamma z(I_n f(z))'} - 1 \right|, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, -1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, n > -1, z \in U \left. \right\}; \\
 &\hspace{15em} (1.16)
 \end{aligned}$$

where I_n is a Noor integral operator [15], defined by

$$I_n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2)_{k-1}}{(n+1)_{k-1}} a_k z^k \quad (n > -1).$$

In [20], Silverman found that the function $f_2(z) = z - \frac{z^2}{2}$ is often extremal over the family T . He applied this function to resolve his integral means inequality, conjectured in [21] and settled in [22], that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\eta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(re^{i\theta})|^\eta d\theta,$$

for all $f \in T$, $\eta > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$. In [22], he also proved his conjecture for the subclasses $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ of T .

In this paper, we prove Silverman's conjecture for the functions in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. By taking appropriate choices of the function g , we obtain the integral means inequalities for several known as well as new subclasses of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions in U . In fact, these results also settle the Silverman's conjecture for several other subclasses of T .

2. LEMMAS AND THEIR PROOFS

To prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ if*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k \leq 1 - \alpha, \quad (2.1)$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\beta \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right\} \\ & \leq (1 + \beta) \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - 1) [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k}. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \alpha)$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

and hence the proof is completed.

Lemma 2. *A necessary and sufficient condition for $f(z)$ of the form (1.9) to be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ is that*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha, \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 1, we need only to prove the necessity. If $f(z) \in TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ and z is real, then

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}} - \alpha \geq \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - 1) [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}} \right|.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ along the real axis, we obtain the desired inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Corollary 1. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1.9) be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. Then*

$$a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (2.3)$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} z^k \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 3. *The extreme points of $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ are*

$$f_1(z) = z \quad \text{and} \quad f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} z^k, \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.5)$$

The proof of Lemma 3 is similar to the proof of the theorem on extreme points given in [20] and therefore are omit it.

In 1925, Littlewood [10] proved the following subordination theorem.

Lemma 4. *If the functions f and g are analytic in U with $g \prec f$, then for $\eta > 0$, and $0 < r < 1$,*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^\eta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\eta d\theta. \quad (2.6)$$

3. MAIN THEOREM

Applying Lemma 4, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1. Suppose $f \in TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, $\eta > 0$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $f_2(z)$ is defined by

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)b_2} z^2.$$

Then for $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\eta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(z)|^\eta d\theta. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. For $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ ($a_k \geq 0$), (3.1) is equivalent to proving that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} \right|^\eta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)b_2} z \right|^\eta d\theta.$$

By Lemma 4, it suffices to show that

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} \prec 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)b_2} z.$$

Setting

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} = 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)b_2} w(z), \quad (3.2)$$

and using (2.2), we obtain

$$|w(z)| = \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)b_2}{1 - \alpha} a_k z^{k-1} \right| \leq |z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)b_2}{1 - \alpha} a_k \leq |z|.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

By taking different choices of $g(z)$, α , β and γ in the above theorem, we can state

the following integral means results for various subclasses.

Remarks. (i) Taking $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k z^k$, where Γ_k is given by (1.11) in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Ahuja et al. [1, Theorem 3.1];

(ii) Taking $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Ahuja et al. [1, Corollary 3.2];

(iii) Taking $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Ahuja et al. [1, Corollary 3.4];

(iv) Taking $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda} z^k$ ($\lambda > -1$) and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Ahuja et al. [1, Corollary 3.6];

(v) Taking $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right) z^k$ ($c > -1$) and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Ahuja et al. [1, Corollary 3.7];

(vi) Taking $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} z^k$ ($a > 0; c > 0$) and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Ahuja et al. [1, Corollary 3.8].

Corollary 2. If $f \in TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(n, \alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, n \in N_0$ and $\eta > 0$), then the assertion (3.1) holds true, where

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2^n(2+\beta-\alpha)} z^2.$$

Corollary 3. If $f \in TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, n \in N_0$ and $\eta > 0$), then the assertion (3.1) holds true, where

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(2+\beta-\alpha)(1+\lambda)^n} z^2.$$

Corollary 4. If $f \in TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, -1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, a > 0, c > 0$ and $\eta > 0$), then the assertion (3.1) holds true, where

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{c(1-\alpha)}{a(2+\beta-\alpha)(1+\gamma)} z^2.$$

Corollary 5. If $f \in TS_0(f, g(z); \alpha, \beta) = H_T(g, \alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0$ and $\eta > 0$), then the assertion (3.1) holds true, where

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(2+\beta-\alpha)b_2} z^2.$$

Corollary 6. If $f \in TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_\gamma(n, \alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $n \in N_0$ and $\eta > 0$), then the assertion (3.1) holds true, where

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{2^n(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)} z^2.$$

Corollary 7. If $f \in TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right) z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_\gamma(c, \alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $c > -1$ and $\eta > 0$), then the assertion (3.1) holds true, where

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \alpha)(c + 2)}{(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)(c + 1)} z^2.$$

Corollary 8. If $f \in TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{k-1}}{(\lambda+1)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_\gamma(\mu, \lambda; \alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda > -1$, $\mu > 0$ and $\eta > 0$), then the assertion (3.1) holds true, where

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \alpha)(\lambda + 1)}{(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)\mu} z^2.$$

Corollary 9. If $f \in TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_{k-1}}{(a)_{k-1}} \frac{(\lambda+1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_\gamma(a, c, \lambda; \alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $a, c \in R \setminus Z_0^-$, $\lambda > -1$ and $\eta > 0$), then the assertion (3.1) holds true, where

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{a(1 - \alpha)}{c(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)(\lambda + 1)} z^2.$$

Corollary 10. If $f \in TS_\gamma(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2)_{k-1}}{(n+1)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_\gamma(n, \alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $n > -1$ and $\eta > 0$), then the assertion (3.1) holds true, where

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \alpha)(n + 1)}{2(2 + \beta - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)} z^2.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Ahuja, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *Integral means for uniformly convex and starlike functions associated with generalized hypergeometric functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 8(2007), no. 4, Art. 118, 1-9.

- [2] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, *Some properties of a subclass of uniformly convex functions with negative coefficients*, Demonstratio Math., 2 (2008), 353-370.
- [3] S. D. Bernardi, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 135(1969), 429-446.
- [4] R. Bharati, R. Parvatham and A. Swaminathan, *On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions*, Tamakang J. Math. 28(1997), 17-32.
- [5] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon and H. M. Srivastava, *Inclusion relationships and argument properties for certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 292(2004), 470-483.
- [6] J. H. Choi, M. Saigo, H. M. Srivastava, *Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 276(2002), 432-445.
- [7] A. W. Goodman, *On uniformly convex functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. 56(1991), 87-92.
- [8] A. W. Goodman, *On uniformly starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 155(1991), 364-370.
- [9] R. J. Libera, *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16(1965), 755-758.
- [10] J. E. Littlewood, *On inequalities in theory of functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 23(1925), 481-519.
- [11] A. E. Livingston, *On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17(1966), 352-357.
- [12] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *A new subclass of uniformly convex functions and corresponding subclass of starlike functions with fixed second coefficient*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 5(2004), no. 4, Art. 85, 1-10.
- [13] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *Linear operators associated with a subclass of uniformly convex functions*, Internat. J. Pure Appl. Math. Sci. 3(2006), no. 2, 113-125.
- [14] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, T. Rosy and K. Muthunagai, *Carlson-Shaffer operator and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex function*, General Math. 15(2007), no. 4, 131-143.
- [15] K. I. Noor, *On new classes of integral operators*, J. Natur. Geem. 16(1999), 71-80.
- [16] R. K. Raina and D. Bansal, *Some properties of a new class of analytic functions defined in terms of a Hadamard product*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 9(2008), no. 1, Art. 22, 1-9.
- [17] F. Ronning, *On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions*, Ann. Univ. Mariae-Curie-Sklodowska, Sect. A 45(1991), 117-122.
- [18] F. Ronning, *Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike*

functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118(1993), 189-196.

[19] T. Rosy and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Fractional calculus and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS), 115(2004), no. 2, 231-242.

[20] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51(1975), 109-116.

[21] H. Silverman, *A survey with open problems on univalent functions whose coefficients are negative*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 21(1991), 1099-1125.

[22] H. Silverman, *Integral means for univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Houston J. Math. 23(1997), 169-174.

[23] S. Shams, S.R. Kulkarni and J. M. Jahangiri, *Classes of Ruscheweyh-type analytic univalent functions*, Southwest J. Pure Appl. Math., 2(2003), 105-110.

[24] K. G. Subramanian, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, P. Balasubrahma and H. Silverman, *Subclasses of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions*, Math. Japon. 42(1995), no. 3, 517-522.

M. K. Aouf
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
University of Mansoura
Mansoura 33516, Egypt
e-mail: *mkaouf127@yahoo.com*

R. M. El-Ashwah Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science at Damietta
University of Mansoura
New Damietta 34517, Egypt
e-mail: *r_elashwah@yahoo.com*

S. M. El-Deeb Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science at Damietta
University of Mansoura
New Damietta 34517, Egypt
e-mail: *shezaeldeeb@yahoo.com*