ON CERTAIN PROPERTIES OF THE CLASS OF GENERALIZED *P*-VALENT NON-BAZILEVIC FUNCTIONS

Khalida Inayat Noor, Ali Muhammad

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a class $N_k(p, \lambda, \mu, \rho)$. We use a method of the mathematical induction and study some of the interesting properties of the operators

$$I_n^{\alpha}(f(z)) = \frac{\alpha+1}{z^{\alpha+1}} \int_0^z t^{\alpha}(I_{n-1}^{\alpha}(f(t))dt,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha > -1$, in the class $N_k(p, \lambda, \mu, \rho)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords and phrases: Functions with positive real part, *p*-valent integral operator, Non-Bazilevic functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ denote the class of functions f(z) normalized by

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{m=p+1}^{\infty} a_m z^m, \quad p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$$
(1.1)

which are analytic and p-valent in the unit disk

$$E = \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1 \}.$$

Let $P_k(\rho)$ be the class of functions h(z) analytic in E satisfying the properties h(0) = 1 and

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\operatorname{Re}h(z) - \rho}{1 - \rho} \right| d\theta \le k\pi$$
(1.2)

where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $k \ge 2$ and $0 \le \rho \le 1$. This class has been introduced in [7]. We note, for $\rho = 0$ we obtain the class defined and studied in [8], and for $\rho = 0, k = 2$, we have the well known class P of functions with positive real part. The case k = 2 gives the class $P(\rho)$ of functions with positive real part greater than ρ . From (1.2) we can easily deduce that $h \in P_k(\rho)$ if, and only if, there exists $h_1, h_2 \in P(\rho)$ such that for $z \in E$,

$$h(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)h_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)h_2(z).$$
(1.3)

Assume that $0 < \mu < 1$, a function $f(z) \in A$ is in $N(\mu)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{f'(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\mu}\right\} > 0, \ z \in E.$$
(1.4)

 $N(\mu)$ was introduced by Obradovic [5] recently, he called this class of functions to be of non-Bazilevic type. Until now, the class was studied in a direction of finding necessary conditions over μ that embeds this class into the class of univalent functions or its subclass, which is still an open problem.

Definition 1.1. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$. Then $f \in N_k(p, \lambda, \alpha, \rho)$, if and only if,

$$\left\{ (1+\lambda) \left(\frac{z^p}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left(\frac{z^p}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \right\} \in P_k(\rho), \ z \in E,$$

where $0 < \mu < 1, \lambda \in N^*, k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \rho < 1$. The powers are understood as principal values. For k = 2 and with different choices of p, λ, μ, ρ , these classes have been studied in [5, 9]. In particular $N_2(1, -1, \mu, 0)$ is the class of non-Bazilevic function studied in [5].

We now consider the integral operator defined in [2], as follows:

$$I_n^{\alpha}(f(z)) = \frac{\alpha+1}{z^{\alpha+1}} \int_0^z t^{\alpha}(I_{n-1}(f(t)))dt, \ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with initial gauss $I_0^{\alpha}(f(z)) = I_0(f(z)) = \left(\frac{z^p}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}$.

For $\alpha = 0$ the operator I_n^0 was introduced and studied in [3].

26

2. Preliminaries and main results

In order to prove our main result we shall need the following result.

Lemma 2.1. [1] Let $h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ...$ be analytic in *E* and let

$$\left\{h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{\gamma}\right\} \in P_k(\rho), \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}\gamma > 0, k \ge 2, 0 \le \rho < 1.$$

Then

$$h(z) \in P_k(\rho_0), z \in E.$$

where

$$\rho_0 = \frac{\text{Re}\gamma + 2\rho|\gamma|^2}{\text{Re}\gamma + 2|\gamma|^2}.$$
(2.1)

Theorem 2.1. Let $Re\gamma > 0$ and $f \in N_k(p, \lambda, \mu, \rho)$. Then, for $z \in E, I_n^{\alpha} f \in P_k(\rho_n)$, where

$$\rho_n = \frac{\left[(1+a)^n - a^n\right] + a^n \rho_0}{(1+a)^n},\tag{2.2}$$

with $a = 2(\alpha + 1)$ and

$$\rho_0 = \frac{|\lambda|^2 + 2\rho p \mu \text{Re}\lambda}{|\lambda|^2 + 2p \mu \text{Re}\lambda}.$$

Proof. We use the method of Mathematical induction to prove this result. Let

$$H_0(z) = \left(\frac{z^p}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu},$$

where $0 < \mu < 1$.

By choosing a principal branch of $\left(\frac{z^p}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}$ we note that $H_0(z)$ is analytic in E with $H_0(0) = 1$. Now by a simple computation, we have

$$\left\{ (1+\lambda) \left(\frac{z^p}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left(\frac{z^p}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \right\} = \left\{ H_0(z) + \frac{\lambda z H_0'(z)}{p\mu} \right\} \in P_k(\rho),$$

where $z \in E$, and from Lemma 2.1, with $\gamma = \frac{p\mu}{\lambda}$, and $\operatorname{Re}\gamma = \frac{p\mu}{\operatorname{Re}\lambda} > 0$, it implies that $H_0(z) \in P_k(\rho_0)$, where

$$\rho_0 = \frac{|\lambda|^2 + 2p\mu\rho \mathrm{Re}\lambda}{|\lambda|^2 + 2p\mu\mathrm{Re}\lambda}.$$

Thus the result is true for n = 0.

For n = 1, we proceed as follows:

$$H_1(z) = I_1^{\alpha}(f(z)) = \frac{\alpha + 1}{z^{\alpha + 1}} \int_0^z t^{\alpha}(I_0^{\alpha}(f(t))) dt, \ n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \alpha > 1.$$

And so

$$\left\{H_1(z) + \frac{zH_1'(z)}{\alpha+1}\right\} \in P_k(\rho_0), \ z \in E.$$

Using again Lemma 2.1 with $\gamma = \alpha + 1$ we obtain that

$$H_1(z) = I_1^{\alpha}(f(z)) \in P_k(\rho_1),$$

where

$$\rho_1 = \frac{1 + 2(\alpha + 1)\rho_0}{1 + 2(\alpha + 1)}$$

for $z \in E$, which shows that (2.2) holds true for n = 1.

Next we assume that the condition (2.2) holds true for n = m. This implies that

$$H_m(z) = I_m^{\alpha}(f(z)) \in P_k(\rho_m)$$

and

$$\rho_m = \frac{\left[(1+a)^m - a^m\right] + a^m \rho_0}{(1+a)^m}, \ a = 2(1+\alpha)$$

Proceeding as before we see that

$$\left\{ H_{m+1}(z) + \frac{zH'_{m+1}(z)}{\alpha+1} \right\} = H_m(z) \in P_k(\rho_m), \ z \in E,$$

where

$$H_{m+1}(z) = I_{m+1}^{\alpha}(f(z)) = \frac{\alpha+1}{z^{\alpha+1}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\alpha}(I_m(f(t)))dt, \ \alpha > -1.$$

This implies that

$$H_{m+1}(z) \in P_k(\rho_{m+1})$$

where

$$\rho_{m+1} = \frac{1+a\rho_m}{1+a}
\rho_{m+1} = \frac{1+a\left[\frac{\{(1+a)^m - a^m\} + a^m\rho_0\right]}{(1+a)^m}\right]}{1+a}
\rho_{m+1} = \frac{\left[(1+a)^{m+1} - a^{m+1}\right] + a^m}{(1+a)^{m+1}}, \quad a = 2(1+\alpha),$$

and

$$\rho_0 = \frac{|\lambda|^2 + 2\rho p \mu \text{Re}\lambda}{|\lambda|^2 + 2p \mu \text{Re}\lambda}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $I_n^{\alpha} f \in P_k(\rho_n)$ for any integer $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

For certain choices of ρ, μ, λ and k we obtain some partial results discussed in [4, 6].

Acknowledgments. The authors express deep gratitude to Dr S. M. Junaid. Zaidi, Rector, CIIT, for his support and providing excellent research facilities.

References

[1] K.I.Noor, On certain classes of P-valent functions, International J. Pure and Appl. Math 18 (2005), 345-350.

[2] S. Owa, *Certain integral operators*, Proc. Japan Acad., 67 (1991), 88-93.

[3] S. Owa and J.Patel, *Properties of certain integral operator*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 24 (2000), 411-419.

[4] S. Owa and M. Nunokawa, On certain analytic functions and subordination, Appl. Math. Letter., 3 (1990), 41-45.

[5] M. Obradovic, A class of univalent functions, Hokkaido Math. J., 27(2) (1998), 329-355.

[6] M. Obradovic, A property of the class of functions whose derivative has a positive real part, Publ. Inst. Math., 45 (1989), 81-84.

[7] B. Pinchuk, Functions with bounded boundary rotation, Isr. J. Math., 10 (1971), 7 16.

[8] K. Padmanabhan and R. Parvatham, *Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation*, Ann. Polon. Math., 31 (1975), 311 323.

[9] N. Tuneski and M. Darus, *Fekete-Szego functional for non-Bazilevic functions*, Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis, 18 (2002), 63 65.

Khalida Inayat Noor

Mathematics Department,

COMSATS Institute of Information Technology,

H-8/1 Islamabad, Pakistan.

e-mail: khalida no or @hot mail.com

29

Ali Muhammad Mathematics Department, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, H-8/1 Islamabad, Pakistan. e-mail:*ali7887@gmail.com*

30