

## STARLIKE AND CONVEXITY PROPERTIES FOR $p$ -VALENT HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

R. M. EL-ASHWAH, M. K. AOUF AND A. O. MOUSTAFA

ABSTRACT. Given the hypergeometric function  $F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^n$ , we place conditions on  $a, b$  and  $c$  to guarantee that  $z^p F(a, b; c; z)$  will be in various subclasses of  $p$ -valent starlike and  $p$ -valent convex functions. Operators related to the hypergeometric function are also examined.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $S(p)$  be the class of functions of the form:

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (p \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\})$$

which are analytic and  $p$ -valent in the unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . A function  $f(z) \in S(p)$  is called  $p$ -valent starlike of order  $\alpha$  if  $f(z)$  satisfies

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ,  $p \in N$  and  $z \in U$ . By  $S^*(p, \alpha)$  we denote the class of all  $p$ -valent starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ . By  $S_p^*(\alpha)$  denote the subclass of  $S^*(p, \alpha)$  consisting of functions  $f(z) \in S(p)$  for which

$$(3) \quad \left| \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| < p - \alpha$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ,  $p \in N$  and  $z \in U$ . Also a function  $f(z) \in S(p)$  is called  $p$ -valent convex of order  $\alpha$  if  $f(z)$  satisfies

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha$$

---

Received November 11, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p$ -valent; starlike; convex; hypergeometric function.

for  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ,  $p \in N$  and  $z \in U$ . By  $K(p, \alpha)$  we denote the class of all  $p$ -valent convex functions of order  $\alpha$ . It follows from (2) and (4) that

$$(5) \quad f(z) \in K(p, \alpha) \Leftrightarrow \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in S(p, \alpha).$$

Also by  $K_p(\alpha)$  denote the subclass of  $K(p, \alpha)$  consisting of functions  $f(z) \in S(p)$  for which

$$(6) \quad \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p \right| < p - \alpha$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ,  $p \in N$  and  $z \in U$ .

By  $T(p)$  we denote the subclass of  $S(p)$  consisting of functions of the form:

$$(7) \quad f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (a_{p+n} \geq 0; \quad p \in N).$$

By  $T^*(p, \alpha)$ ,  $T_p^*(\alpha)$ ,  $C(p, \alpha)$  and  $C_p(\alpha)$  we denote the classes obtained by taking intersections, respectively, of the classes  $S^*(p, \alpha)$ ,  $S_p^*(\alpha)$ ,  $K(p, \alpha)$  and  $K_p(\alpha)$  with the class  $T(p)$

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(p, \alpha) &= S^*(p, \alpha) \cap T(p), \\ T_p^*(\alpha) &= S_p^*(\alpha) \cap T(p), \\ C(p, \alpha) &= K(p, \alpha) \cap T(p), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C_p(\alpha) = K_p(\alpha) \cap T(p).$$

The class  $S^*(p, \alpha)$  was studied by Patil and Thakare [5]. The classes  $T^*(p, \alpha)$  and  $C(p, \alpha)$  were studied by Owa [4].

For  $a, b, c \in C$  and  $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ , the (Gaussian) hypergeometric function is defined by

$$(8) \quad F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^n \quad (z \in U),$$

where  $(\lambda)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function  $\Gamma$ , by

$$(9) \quad (\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0) \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1) & (n \in N). \end{cases}$$

The series in (8) represents an analytic function in  $U$  and has an analytic continuation throughout the finite complex plane except at most for the cut  $[1, \infty)$ . We note that  $F(a, b; c; 1)$  converges for  $\text{Re}(a - b - c) > 0$  and is related to the Gamma function by

$$(10) \quad F(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)}.$$

Corresponding to the function  $F(a, b; c; z)$  we define

$$(11) \quad h_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p F(a, b; c; z).$$

We observe that for a function  $f(z)$  of the form (1), we have

$$(12) \quad h_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} z^n.$$

In [7] Silverman gave necessary and sufficient conditions for  $zF(a, b; c; z)$  to be in  $T^*(1, \alpha) = T^*(\alpha)$  and  $C(1, \alpha) = C(\alpha)$  and has also examined a linear operator acting on hypergeometric functions. For the other interesting developments for  $zF(a, b; c; z)$  in connection with various subclasses of univalent functions, the reader can refer to the works of Carlson and Shaffer [1], Merkes and Scott [3] and Ruscheweyh and Singh [6].

In the present paper, we determine necessary and sufficient conditions for  $h_p(a, b; c; z)$  to be in  $T^*(p, \alpha)$  and  $C(p, \alpha)$ . Furthermore, we consider an integral operator related to the hypergeometric function.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

To establish our main results we shall need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** ([4]). *Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1).*

(i) *A sufficient condition for  $f(z) \in S(p)$  to be in the class  $S_p^*(\alpha)$  is that*

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) |a_n| \leq (p - \alpha).$$

(ii) *A sufficient condition for  $f(z) \in S(p)$  to be in the class  $K_p(\alpha)$  is that*

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} (n - \alpha) |a_n| \leq p - \alpha.$$

**Lemma 2** ([4]). *Let the function  $f(z)$  be defined by (7). Then*

(i)  *$f(z) \in T(p)$  is in the class  $T^*(p, \alpha)$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) a_n \leq p - \alpha.$$

(ii)  *$f(z) \in T(p)$  is in the class  $C(p, \alpha)$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} (p - \alpha) a_n \leq p - \alpha.$$

**Lemma 3** ([2]). *Let  $f(z) \in T(p)$  be defined by (7). Then  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valent in  $U$  if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (p + n) a_{p+n} \leq p.$$

In addition,  $f(z) \in T_p^*(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow f(z) \in T^*(p, \alpha)$ ,  $f(z) \in K_p(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow f(z) \in K(p, \alpha)$  and  $f(z) \in S_p^*(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow f(z) \in S^*(p, \alpha)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $a, b > 0$  and  $c > a + b + 1$ , then a sufficient condition for  $h_p(a, b; c; z)$  to be in  $S_p^*(\alpha)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ , is that*

$$(13) \quad \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left[ 1 + \frac{ab}{(p-\alpha)(c-a-p-1)} \right] \leq 2.$$

Condition (13) is necessary and sufficient for  $F_p$  defined by  $F_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p(2 - F(a, b; c; z))$  to be in  $T^*(p, \alpha)(T_p^*(\alpha))$ .

*Proof.* Since  $h_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} z^n$ , according to Lemma 1(i), we only need to show that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-\alpha) \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} \leq p-\alpha.$$

Now

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-\alpha) \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_{n-1}} + (p-\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n}.$$

Noting that  $(\lambda)_n = \lambda(\lambda+1)_{n-1}$  and then applying (10), we may express (14) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{ab}{c} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_{n-1}(b+1)_{n-1}}{(c+1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} + (p-\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \\ &= \frac{ab}{c} \frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b-1)}{\Gamma(c+a)\Gamma(c-b)} + (p-\alpha) \left[ \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left[ \frac{ab}{c-a-b-1} + p-\alpha \right] - (p-\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

But this last expression is bounded above by  $p-\alpha$  if and only if (13) holds.

Since  $F_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} z^n$ , the necessity of (13) for  $F_p$  to be in  $T_p^*(\alpha)$  and  $T^*(p, \alpha)$  follows from Lemma 2(i).  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Condition (13) with  $\alpha = 0$  is both necessary and sufficient for  $F_p$  to be in the class  $T_p^*$ .

In the next theorem, we find constraints on  $a, b$  and  $c$  that lead to necessary and sufficient conditions for  $h_p(a, b; c; z)$  to be in the class  $T^*(p, \alpha)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $a, b > -1$ ,  $c > 0$  and  $ab < 0$ , then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $h_p(a, b; c; z)$  to be in  $T^*(p, \alpha)(T_p^*(\alpha))$  is that  $c \geq a + b + 1 - \frac{ab}{p-\alpha}$ . The condition  $c \geq a + b + 1 - \frac{ab}{p}$  is necessary and sufficient for  $h_p(a, b; c; z)$  to be in  $T_p^*$ .*

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_p(a, b; c; z) &= z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} z^n \\
 (15) \qquad &= z^p + \frac{ab}{c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} z^n \\
 &= z^p - \left| \frac{ab}{c} \right| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} z^n,
 \end{aligned}$$

according to Lemma 2(i), we must show that

$$(16) \qquad \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-\alpha) \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} \leq \left| \frac{c}{ab} \right| (p-\alpha).$$

Note that the left side of (16) diverges if  $c \leq a+b+1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+p+1-\alpha) \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_n} + (p-\alpha) \frac{c}{ab} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b-1)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} + (p-\alpha) \frac{c}{ab} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} - 1 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (16) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \qquad &\frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b-1)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left[ 1 + (p-\alpha) \frac{(c-a-b-1)}{ab} \right] \\
 &\leq (p-\alpha) \left[ \frac{c}{|ab|} + \frac{c}{ab} \right] = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (17) is valid if and only if

$$1 + (p-\alpha) \frac{(c-a-b-1)}{ab} \leq 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$c \geq a+b+1 - \frac{ab}{p-\alpha}.$$

Another application of Lemma 2(i) when  $\alpha = 0$  completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

Our next theorems will parallel Theorems 1 and 2 for the  $p$ -valent convex case.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $a, b > 0$  and  $c > a + b + 2$ , then a sufficient condition for  $h_p(a, b; c; z)$  to be in  $K_p(\alpha)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ , is that*

$$(18) \quad \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left[ 1 + \frac{(2p+1-\alpha)}{p(p-\alpha)} \left( \frac{ab}{c-a-b-1} \right) + \frac{(a)_2(b)_2}{p(p-\alpha)(c-a-b-2)_2} \right] \leq 2.$$

Condition (18) is necessary and sufficient for  $F_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p(2 - F(a, b; c; z))$  to be in  $C(p, \alpha)(C_p(\alpha))$ .

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 1(ii), we only need to show that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-\alpha) \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} \leq p(p-\alpha).$$

Now

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+p+1)(n+p+1-\alpha) \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} + (2p-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \\ & \quad + p(p-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_n} + (2p-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_n} \\ & \quad + p(p-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n-1}} + (2p+1-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_n} \\ & \quad + p(p-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+2}(b)_{n+2}}{(c)_{n+2}(1)_n} + (2p+1-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_n} \\ & \quad + p(p-\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(a)_{n+k} = (a)_k(a+k)_n$ , we may write (19) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(a)_2(b)_2}{(c)_2} \frac{\Gamma(c+2)\Gamma(c-a-b-2)}{\Gamma(c+a)\Gamma(c-b)} + (2p+1-\alpha) \frac{ab}{c} \\ & \cdot \frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b-1)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} + p(p-\alpha) \left[ \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} - 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Upon simplification, we see that this last expression is bounded above by  $p(p-\alpha)$  if and only if (18) holds. That (18) is also necessary for  $F_p$  to be in  $C(p, \alpha)(C_p(\alpha))$  follows from Lemma 2(ii).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *If  $a, b > -1$ ,  $ab < 0$  and  $c > a + b + 2$ , then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $h_p(a, b; c; z)$  to be in  $C(p, \alpha)(C_p(\alpha))$  is that*

$$(20) \quad (a)_2(b)_2 + (2p+1-\alpha)ab(c-a-b-2) + p(p-\alpha)(c-a-b-2)_2 \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $h_p(a, b; c; z)$  has the form (15), we see from Lemma 2(ii) that our conclusion is equivalent to

$$(21) \quad \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n(n-\alpha) \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} \leq \left| \frac{c}{ab} \right| p(p-\alpha).$$

Note that  $c > a + b + 2$  if the left-hand side of (21) converges. Writing

$$(n+p+1)(n+p+1-\alpha) = (n+1)^2 + (2p-\alpha)(n+1) + p(p-\alpha),$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n(n-\alpha) \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+p+1)(n+p+1-\alpha) \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_n} + (2p-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_n} \\ & \quad + p(p-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\ & = \frac{(a+1)(b+1)}{(c+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+2)_n(b+2)_n}{(c+2)_n(1)_n} + (2p+1-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_n} \\ & \quad + p(p-\alpha) \frac{c}{ab} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \\ & = \frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b-2)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} [(a+1)(b+1) + (2p+1-\alpha)(c-a-b-2)] \\ & \quad + \frac{p(p-\alpha)}{ab} (c-a-b-2)_2 \Big] - \frac{p(p-\alpha)c}{ab}. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by  $\left|\frac{c}{ab}\right|p(p-\alpha)$  if and only if

$$(a+1)(b+1) + (2p+1-\alpha)(c-a-b-2) + \frac{p(p-\alpha)}{ab}(c-a-b-2)_2 \leq 0,$$

which is equivalent to (20).  $\square$

Putting  $p = 1$  in Theorem 4, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $a, b > -1$ ,  $ab < 0$  and  $c > a + b + 2$ , then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $h_1(a, b; c; z)$  to be in  $C(1, \alpha)(C(\alpha))$  is that*

$$(a)_2(b)_2 + (3-\alpha)ab(c-a-b-2) + (1-\alpha)(c-a-b-2)_2 \geq 0.$$

**Remark 2.** We note that Corollary 1 corrects the result obtained by Silverman [7, Theorem 4].

### 3. INTEGRAL OPERATOR

In this section, we obtain similar results in connection with a particular integral operator  $G_p(a, b; c; z)$  acting on  $F(a, b; c; z)$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (22) \quad G_p(a, b; c; z) &= p \int_0^z t^{p-1} F(a, b; c; z) dt \\ &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p}{n+p} \right) \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^{n+p}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $\frac{zG'_p}{p} = h_p$ .

**Theorem 5.**

- (i) *If  $a, b > 0$  and  $c > a + b$ , then a sufficient condition for  $G_p(a, b; c; z)$  defined by (22) to be in  $S^*(p)$  is that*

$$(23) \quad \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-b)} \leq 2.$$

- (ii) *If  $a, b > -1$ ,  $c > 0$ , and  $ab < 0$ , then  $G_p(a, b; c; z)$  defined by (22) is in  $T(p)$  or  $S(p)$  if only if  $c > \max\{a, b\}$ .*

*Proof.* Since

$$G_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p}{n+p} \right) \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^{n+p},$$

we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+p) \left( \frac{p}{n+p} \right) \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} &= p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} \\ &= p \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} - 1 \right] \end{aligned}$$



is bounded above by  $p$  if and only if (23) holds.

To prove (ii), we apply Lemma 3 to

$$G_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p - \frac{|ab|}{c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \binom{p}{n} \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} z^n.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} \leq \frac{c}{|ab|}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} = \frac{c}{ab} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \leq \frac{c}{|ab|}.$$

But this is equivalent to

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} - 1 \geq -1,$$

which is true if and only if  $c > \max\{a, b\}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$

Now  $G_p(a, b; c; z) \in K_p(\alpha)(K(p, \alpha))$  if and only if

$$\frac{z}{p} G'_p(a, b; c; z) = h_p(a, b; c; z) \in S_p^*(\alpha)(S^*(p, \alpha)).$$

This follows upon observing that  $\frac{zG'_p}{p} = h_p$ ,  $\frac{z}{p} G''_p = h'_p - \frac{1}{p} G'_p$ , and so

$$1 + \frac{zG''_p}{G_p} = \frac{zh'_p}{h_p}.$$

Thus any  $p$ -valent starlike about  $h_p$  leads to a  $p$ -valent convex about  $G_p$ . Thus from Theorems 1 and 2, we have

**Theorem 6.**

- (i) If  $a, b > 0$  and  $c > a + b + 1$ , then a sufficient condition for  $G_p(a, b; c; z)$  defined in Theorem 5 to be in  $K_p(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha < p)$  is that

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left[ 1 + \frac{ab}{(p-\alpha)(c-a-b-1)} \right] \leq 2.$$

- (ii) If  $a, b > -1$ ,  $ab < 0$ , and  $c > a + b + 2$ , then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $G_p(a, b; c; z)$  to be in  $C(p, \alpha)(C_p(\alpha))$  is that

$$c \geq a + b + 1 - \frac{ab}{(p-\alpha)}.$$

**Remark 3.** Putting  $p = 1$  in all the above results, we obtain the results obtained by Silverman [7].

**Acknowledgment.** The authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions to improve the paper.

## REFERENCES

1. Carlson B. C. and Shaffer D. B., *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **15** (1984), 737–745.
2. Chen M.-P., *Multivalent functions with negative coefficients in the unit disc*, Tamkang J. Math. **17(3)** (1986), 127–137.
3. Merkes E. and Scott B. T., *Starlike hypergeometric functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 885–888.
4. Owa S., *On certain classes of  $p$ -valent functions with negative coefficients*, Simon Stevin, **59** (1985), 385–402.
5. Patil D. A. and Thakare N. K., *On convex hulls and extreme points of  $p$ -valent starlike and convex classes with applications*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N.S.), **27(75)** (1983), 145–160.
6. Ruscheweyh St. and Singh V., *On the order of starlikeness of hypergeometric functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **113** (1986), 1–11.
7. Silverman H., *Starlike and convexity properties for hypergeometric functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **172** (1993), 574–581.

R. M. El-Ashwah, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt, *e-mail*: r.elashwah@yahoo.com

M. K. Aouf, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt, *e-mail*: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

A. O. Moustafa, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt, *e-mail*: adelaeg254@yahoo.com