



HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE HURWITZ-LERCH ZETA FUNCTION

MAGED G. BIN-SAAD

ABSTRACT. The present work is a sequel to the papers [3] and [4], and it aims at introducing and investigating a new generalized double zeta function involving the Riemann, Hurwitz, Hurwitz-Lerch and Barnes double zeta functions as particular cases. We study its properties, integral representations, differential relations, series expansion and discuss the link with known results.

1. INTRODUCTION

The double zeta function of Barnes [1] is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \zeta_2(z; a, w) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a + n + \omega m)^{-z},$$

where $a > 0$ and ω is a non-zero complex number with $|\arg(\omega)| < \pi$.

The series (1.1) is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re} z > 2$ and its continuation is holomorphic with respect to z except for the poles at $z = 1$ and $z = 2$.

Received May 26, 2008; revised September 11, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M06, 11M35; Secondary 33C20.

Key words and phrases. Barnes double zeta function; Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function; Mellin-Barnes integrals; hypergeometric functions; hypergeometric-type generating functions.



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



For $m = 0$, equation (1.1) reduces to Hurwitz zeta function

$$(1.2) \quad \zeta(z, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a+n)^{-z}, \quad (a \neq \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}; \operatorname{Re} z > 1),$$

which is a generalization of the Riemann zeta function

$$(1.3) \quad \zeta(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{-z}.$$

As a generalization of both Riemann and Hurwitz zeta functions the so-called Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function is defined by [6, p. 27 (1)]

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi(y, z, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{(a+n)^z}, \quad (a \in C \setminus \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}; |y| < 1).$$

Φ is an analytic function in both variables y and z in a suitable region and it reduces to the ordinary Lerch zeta function when $y = e^{2\pi i \lambda}$:

$$(1.5) \quad \Phi(e^{2\pi i \lambda}, z, a) = \phi(\lambda, z, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i n \lambda}}{(a+n)^z}.$$

Next, here we recall a further generalization of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function $\Phi(y, z, a)$ in the form (see [10, p. 100, eq. (1.5)])

$$(1.6) \quad \Phi_{\mu}^*(x, z, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_n x^n}{(a+n)^z n!},$$

where $(\mu)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+n)}{\Gamma(\mu)} = \mu(\mu+1)\dots(\mu+n-1)$ denotes the Pochhammer's symbol, $\mu \in C$, $a \neq \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}$ and $|x| < 1$. Obviously, when $\mu = 1$, (1.6) reduces to (1.4).



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



In [4] Bin-Saad and Al-Gonah introduced two hypergeometric type generating functions of the generalized zeta function defined by (1.6) in the forms:

$$(1.7) \quad \zeta_{\mu}^{*}(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{\mu}^{*}(y, z + m, a) \frac{x^m}{m!},$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \zeta_{\mu, \nu}^{*}(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\nu)_m \Phi_{\mu}^{*}(y, z + m, a) \frac{x^m}{m!},$$

which, in the special case when $\mu = 1$, are essentially known formulas of Bin-Saad [3]. Also, by noting that [21, p. 20, eq. (26)]

$$(1.9) \quad \lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ (\lambda)_n \left(\frac{x^n}{\lambda} \right) \right\} = x^n,$$

we eventually end up with

$$(1.10) \quad \lim_{|\nu| \rightarrow 0} \zeta_{\mu, \nu}^{*} \left(\frac{x}{\nu}, y; z, a \right) = \zeta_{\mu}^{*}(x, y; z, a).$$

The present work is a sequel to the author's papers [3] and [4] and it aims at introducing and investigating a new kind of hypergeometric-type generating functions $\zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a)$ or infinite series associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function $\Phi(y, z, a)$. The results we will obtain and discuss are a further contribution a long line developed in [3] and [4]. The layout of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce and describe some properties and relationships for the function ζ_{λ}^{μ} . Relevant connections of the function $\zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a)$ with those considered in [3] and [4] are also indicated. In Section 3 we establish several integral representations for the function ζ_{λ}^{μ} involving integral representations of contour and Mellin-Barnes type of integrals. Section 4 is devoted to



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



the differentiation of the function ζ_λ^μ with respect to the arguments x, y, z, λ, μ and a . In the final section, we present some series expansions for the function ζ_λ^μ involving Appell's function of two variables F_2 and the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$.

2. THE GENERALIZED DOUBLE ZETA FUNCTION $\zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a)$

Suggested by (1.1), (1.4) and (1.6) here we introduce a generalized double zeta function of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\mu)_m \Phi(y, z, a + \lambda m) \frac{x^m}{m!},$$

where $|x| < 1$, $|y| < 1$; $\mu \in C \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, $\lambda \in C \setminus \{0\}$; $a \in C \setminus \{-(n + \lambda m)\}$, $\{n, m\} \in N \cup \{0\}$ and Φ is the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function defined by (1.4).

The alternative representation

$$(2.2) \quad \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_\mu^* \left(x, z, \frac{a+n}{\lambda} \right) \frac{y^n}{\lambda^z},$$

where Φ_μ^* is the generalized zeta function defined by (1.6), follows by changing the order of summations and considering equation (1.6). Clearly, we have the following relationships

$$(2.3) \quad \zeta_\lambda^\mu(0, 1; z, a) = \zeta_1^1(1, 0; z, a) = \zeta(z, a),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \zeta_\lambda^\mu(0, y; z, a) = \Phi(y, z, a),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \zeta_1^\mu(x, 0; z, a) = \Phi_\mu^*(y, z, a),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \zeta_\lambda^1(1, 1; z, a) = \zeta_2(z; a, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta \left(z, \frac{a+n}{\lambda} \right) \lambda^{-z}.$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Indeed, the function ζ_λ^μ is a hypergeometric-type generating function of the functions Φ and Φ_μ^* defined by (1.4) and (1.6), respectively. The case when $y = 0$ of the definition (2.1) suggests us to define the following further generalization of the zeta function defined by (1.6)

$$(2.7) \quad \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, 0; z, a) = \Phi_{\mu, \lambda}^*(x, z, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m}{m!(a + \lambda m)^z},$$

where $|x| < 1$; $\mu \in C \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$; $a \in C \setminus \{-(\lambda m)\}$, $m \in N \cup \{0\}$.

In the case when $z = \lambda = 1$, we have simply

$$\zeta_1^\mu(x, y; 1, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{m!(a + m + n)} = a^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n} (\mu)_m (1)_n x^m y^n}{(a + 1)_{m+n} m! n!},$$

which implies the next result.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\max\{|x|, |y|\} < 1$, $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$. Then*

$$(2.8) \quad \zeta_1^\mu(x, y; 1, a) = a^{-1} F_1[a, \mu, 1; a + 1; x, y],$$


where F_1 is the Appell's function of two variables defined by the series [21, p. 22 (1)]

$$F_1[a, b, b'; c; x, y] = \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n} (b)_m (b')_n}{(c)_{m+n}} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!}.$$

According to the relationship (2.4), equation (2.8) yields the following known result [6, p. 30 (10)]

$$\Phi(y, 1, a) = a^{-1} {}_2F_1[a, 1; a + 1; y],$$

where ${}_2F_1$ is the Gaussian hypergeometric function [6].




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Corollary 2.2. Let $\lambda = 1$, $|x| < 1$ and $|y| < 1$. Then

$$(2.9) \quad \zeta_1^\mu(xy, y; z, a) = (1-x)^{-\mu} \Phi(y, z, a),$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \zeta_1^\mu(x, yx; z, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_n}{(a+n)^z} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, 1; \\ 1-\mu-n; \end{matrix} y \right] \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\zeta_1^\mu(xy, y; z, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^{n+m}}{m!(a+n+m)^z}.$$

Then by letting $n \rightarrow n-m$ and considering the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function $\Phi(y, z, a)$ defined by (1.4), we get (2.9). Similarly, one can prove the result (2.10). \square

Remark 2.1. In view of the definition (1.4), the results (2.9) and (2.10) can be rewritten in the forms

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mu)_n \Phi(y, z, a+n) \frac{(xy)^n}{n!} = (1-x)^{-\mu} \Phi(y, z, a),$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_n}{(a+n)^z} \Phi(yx, z, a+n) \frac{x^n}{n!} \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_n}{(a+n)^z} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, 1; \\ 1-\mu-n; \end{matrix} y \right] \frac{x^n}{n!},$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



respectively.

Further, for $y = 1$, the formulas (2.11) and (2.12) reduce to the interesting results

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mu)_n \zeta(z, a+n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = (1-x)^{-\mu} \zeta(z, a),$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mu)_n \Phi(x, z, a+n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \Phi_{\mu+1}^*(x, z, a),$$

respectively.

Remark 2.2. For $\mu = 1$, the formula (2.12) yields the result

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi(yx, z, a+n) x^n = (1-y)^{-1} \Phi(x, z, a).$$

Whereas, for $\mu = 1$ and $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{y}$, equation (2.11) yields

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta(z, a+n) y^n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{y}\right)^{-1} \Phi(y, z, a).$$

A similar result as in (2.16) can be obtained from equation (2.12). Next, we present a series representation for the function ζ_{λ}^{μ} . First, we recall the following well-known expansion formula of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function [6, p. 29 (8)]

$$(2.17) \quad \Phi(y, z, a) = \frac{\Gamma(1-z)}{y^a} \left[\log \frac{1}{y} \right]^{z-1} + \frac{1}{y^a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \zeta(z-k, a) \frac{(\log y)^k}{k!},$$




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



valid for $|\log(y)| < 2\pi$, $z \neq 1, 2, 3, \dots$; $a \neq \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\lambda > 0$, $|\log(y)| < 2\pi$ and $\left|\frac{x}{y^\lambda}\right| < 1$. Then

$$(2.18) \quad \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = \frac{1}{y^a} \left[\Gamma(1-z) \left[\log \frac{1}{y} \right]^{z-1} \left(1 - \frac{x}{y^\lambda} \right)^{-\mu} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, 1; z-k, a) \frac{(\log y)^k}{k!} \right],$$

valid for $z \neq 1, 2, 3, \dots$; $a \neq -(n + \lambda m)$, $\{m, n\} \in N \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Use the series representation (2.17) in the definition (2.1). □

If $\mu = x = 1$, in formula (2.18), we get an expansion for the zeta function of Barnes (1.1):

$$(2.19) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \zeta_2(z-k; a, \lambda) \frac{(\log y)^k}{k!} = y^a \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi(y, z, a + \lambda m) - \Gamma(1-z) \left[\log \frac{1}{y} \right]^{z-1} \left[1 - \frac{1}{y^\lambda} \right]^{-1},$$

valid for $\left|\frac{1}{y^\lambda}\right| < 1$, $\lambda \neq 0$, $z \neq 1, 2, 3, \dots$; $a \neq -(n + \lambda m)$, $\{m, n\} \in N \cup \{0\}$.

Finally, putting $\mu = \alpha + \beta$ in (2.1) and using the classical formula of Nörlund for the Pochhammer symbol (cf. [2, Section 1, Chapter 3])

$$(2.20) \quad (a+b)_k = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} (a)_{k-m} (b)_m,$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



we find the form (2.1) that

$$(2.21) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^{\alpha+\beta}(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\alpha)_m \Phi(y, z, a + \lambda m) \times {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -m, \beta; \\ 1 - \alpha - m; \end{matrix} \quad 1 \right] \frac{x^m}{m!}.$$

By exploiting the results

$$(2.22) \quad (a + n + \lambda m)^{-z} \Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{(a+n+\lambda m)t} t^{z-1} dt,$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad (a + n)^{-(z+m)} (z)_m = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{(a+n)t} t^{z-1} dt,$$

which follow from the Eulerian integral [2]

$$(2.24) \quad a^{-z} \Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{(a)t} t^{z-1} dt,$$

we can derive the following connection formula for the function ζ_{λ}^t with the functions ζ_{μ}^* and $\zeta_{\mu, \nu}^*$ (see (1.7) and (1.8)).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, then*

$$(2.25) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^z(x, y; z, a) = \frac{1}{(\Gamma(z))^2} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \zeta_1^*(xu e^{\lambda t}, y e^{u+t}; -z, a) e^{a(u+t)} u^{z-1} t^{z-1} du dt,$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



$$(2.26) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^z(x, y; z, a) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Gamma(z))^2} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \zeta_{1, \nu}^*(xuv^{-1} e^{\lambda t}, ye^{u+t}; -z, a) e^{a(u+t)} u^{z-1} t^{z-1} dudt \right\}.$$

Proof. Denote, for convenience, the right-hand side of formula (2.25) by I . Then, in view of definition (1.7) it is easily seen that

$$(2.27) \quad I = \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m y^n}{(a+n)^{-(z+m)}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{(a+n+\lambda m)t} t^{z-1} dt \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{(a+n)t} t^{z-1} dt.$$

Now, with the help of the results (2.22) and (2.23) and the definition (2.1), equation (2.27) gives us the left-hand side of formula (2.25). By employing relation (1.9) and exploiting the same procedure leading to (2.25) one can derive the formula (2.26). \square

In order to derive the inversion of Theorem 2.2, we first recall the definition of the integral operator D_x^{-1} (see [17] and [5])

$$(2.28) \quad D_x^{-m} x^{\lambda} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+m+1)} x^{\lambda+m}, \quad m \in N \cup \{0\},$$

and once acting on unity yields to

$$(2.29) \quad D_x^{-m} \{1\} = \frac{x^m}{m!}.$$

Now, it is not difficult to infer the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $Re a > 0$ and $Re z > 0$, then*

$$(2.30) \quad \zeta_z^*(x, y; z, a) = \frac{1}{(\Gamma(z))^2} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \zeta_{\lambda}^1(u e^{\lambda t} D_x^{-1}, e^{u+t} D_y^{-1}; -z, a) e^{a(u+t)} u^{z-1} t^{z-1} dudt,$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



$$(2.31) \quad \zeta_{\mu,z}^*(x,y;z,a) = \frac{1}{(\Gamma(z))^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \zeta_\lambda^\mu(xu e^{\lambda t}, e^{u+t} D_y^{-1}; -z, a) e^{a(u+t)} u^{z-1} t^{z-1} du dt.$$

Proof. We refer to the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

3. INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS

In many situations an integral representation of zeta function is more convenient to use than its series representation. First of all, we establish an integral representation for ζ_λ^μ that is derived directly from the corresponding integral representation of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function Φ [6, p. 27 (3)]

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi(y, z, a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-at} (1 - ye^{-t})^{-1} dt,$$

where $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$ and either $|y| \leq 1, y \neq 1, \operatorname{Re} z > 0$ or $y = 1, \operatorname{Re} z > 1$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\operatorname{Re} a > 0, \operatorname{Re} \mu > 0, \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ and either $|x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1, y \neq 1, x \neq 1, \operatorname{Re} z > 0$ or $x = 1, y = 1, \mu = 1, \operatorname{Re} z > 2$. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x,y;z,a) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-at} (1 - xe^{-\lambda t})^{-\mu} (1 - ye^{-t})^{-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} \frac{e^{-(a-1)t} (1 - xe^{-\lambda t})^{-\mu}}{(e^t - y)} dt. \end{aligned}$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Proof. From (2.1) and (3.1) we have

$$\zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m}{m!} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-(a+\lambda m)t} (1 - ye^{-t})^{-1} dt \right] x^m.$$

The desired result now follows by changing the order of summation and integration and employing the binomial expansion. \square

Another integral representation for the function ζ_{λ}^{μ} is based upon the simple observation that (see e.g. [21, p. 281 (25)])

$$(3.3) \quad (\lambda)_m = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{\lambda+m-1} dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0; \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

which indeed follows immediately from (2.24).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \mu > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$, $|x| < +\infty$ and either $|y| \leq 1$, $y \neq 1$, $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ or $y = 1$, $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$. Then*

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(z) \Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^{z-1} s^{\mu-1} e^{-at} e^{-(1-xe^{-\lambda t})s} (1 - ye^{-t})^{-1} ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The identities

$$(a + n + \lambda m)^{-z} x^m y^n = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{\infty} (xe^{-\lambda})^m t^{z-1} e^{-at} (ye^{-t})^n dt,$$

and

$$(\mu)_m = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^{\infty} s^{\mu+m-1} e^{-s} ds,$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



follow from the integral representation (2.24). The result now follows from the definition of ζ_λ^μ . \square

The Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function has the following contour integral representation [6, p. 28 (5)]

$$(3.5) \quad \Phi(y, z, a) = \frac{-\Gamma(1-z)}{2\pi i} \int_{\infty}^{0+} (-t)^{z-1} e^{-at} (1-y e^{-t})^{-1} dt,$$

valid for $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$, $z \in C$ and $|\arg(-t)|, \pi$, assuming as in [6] that the contour does not enclose any of the points $t = \log z \pm 2n\pi i$, ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), which are the poles of the integrand of (3.5).

Similarly, for the function ζ_λ^μ we have the following contour integral representation.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ and $|\arg(-t)| < \pi$. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = \frac{-\Gamma(1-z)}{2\pi i} \int_{\infty}^{0+} (-t)^{z-1} e^{-at} (1-x e^{-\lambda t})^{-\mu} (1-y e^{-t})^{-1} dt.$$


Proof. It follows from (2.1) and (3.5) that

$$\zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m}{m!} \left[\frac{-\Gamma(1-z)}{2\pi i} \int_{\infty}^{0+} (-t)^{z-1} e^{-(a+\lambda m)t} (1-y e^{-t})^{-1} \right] x^m.$$

The desired result now follows by changing the order of summation and integration and employing the binomial expansion. \square

Now, we shall prove ζ_λ^μ as an application of the Mellin-Barnes type of integral. Our starting point is the same as the starting point of Katsurada's argument in ([12] and [13]), that is the formula [22, Section 14.51, p. 289, Corollary]

$$(3.7) \quad (1-\omega)^{-z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{\Gamma(z+\nu)\Gamma(-\nu)(-\omega)^\nu}{\Gamma(z)} d\nu,$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



where z and ω are complex with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, $|\arg(\omega)| < \pi$, $\omega \neq 0$ and the path is the vertical line from $c - i\infty$ to $c + i\infty$. In [21] this formula is stated with $c = 0$, (with suitable modification of the path near the point $z = 0$), but it is clear that the formula is also valid for $-\operatorname{Re} z < c < 0$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(a - b) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} b > 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. Then*

$$(3.8) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{(\Gamma(\nu + z)\Gamma(-\nu))}{\Gamma(z)} \Phi(y, z + \nu, b) \\ \times \Phi_{\mu}^* \left(x, -\nu, \frac{a - b}{\lambda} \right) \lambda^{\nu} d\nu, \quad |x| < 1, |y| < 1.$$

Proof. Let $\omega = (b - a - \lambda m)/(b + n)$ in (3.7) and multiply both sides by

$$\frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{m!}, \quad (m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

to obtain

$$\left(\frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{m!} \right) (a + n + \lambda m)^{-z} = \int_c \frac{(\Gamma(\nu + z)\Gamma(-\nu))}{\Gamma(z)} \times \frac{(\mu)_m x^m}{m! (a - b + \lambda m)^{-\nu}} \\ \times \frac{y^n}{(b + n)^{z+\nu}} d\nu, \quad \text{for } m \geq 0, n > 0.$$

Therefore, if we assume $(1 - \operatorname{Re} \nu) < c < -1$, then from (1.4) and (1.6) we get (3.8). \square

Further, by using the definition (2.7) and (1.4), we can derive the following double integral representations for the function ζ_{λ}^{μ} .



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Theorem 3.5. Let $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \nu < 0$. Then

$$(3.9) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-\nu)} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{z-1} s^{-\nu} e^{-a(t+s)}}{(1-ye^{-t})} \Phi_{\mu, \lambda}^{*}(xe^{-\lambda(t+s)}, \nu-1, a) ds dt,$$

$$(3.10) \quad = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-\nu)} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{z-1} s^{-\nu} e^{-a(t+s)}}{(1-xe^{-t})^{\mu}} \Phi(ye^{-(t+s)}, \nu-1, a) ds dt.$$

Proof. The results follow directly from the definitions (2.7), (1.4) and the integral representation of gamma function (3.3). \square

Furthermore, we can easily prove the following inversion relations of the Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \nu < 0$. Then

$$(3.11) \quad \Phi_{\mu, \lambda}^{*}(x, z, a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-\nu)(1-y)^{-1}} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^{-\nu} s^{z-1} e^{-a(t+s)} \times \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(xe^{-\lambda(s+t)}, ye^{-t}; \nu-1, a) ds dt,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \Phi(x, z, a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-\nu)(1-x)^{-\mu}} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^{-\nu} s^{z-1} e^{-a(t+s)} \times \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(xe^{-\lambda t}, ye^{-(t+s)}; \nu-1, a) ds dt.$$

Proof. We refer to the proof of Theorem 3.5. \square

Other integral representations of the functions $\Phi_{\mu, \lambda}^{*}$ and $\phi(\lambda, z, a)$ can be deduced from the formulas (3.2), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6). For instance, when $y = 0$, the formula (3.4) yields the integral




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



representation

$$(3.13) \quad \Phi_{\mu, \lambda}^*(x, z, a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} s^{\mu-1} e^{-at} e^{-(1-xe^{-\lambda t})s} ds \quad dt.$$

Similarly, for the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function Φ equation (3.6) yields the following Mellin-Barnes integral formula

$$(3.14) \quad \Phi(y, z, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{\Gamma(\nu + z)\Gamma(-\nu)}{\Gamma(z)} \Phi(y, z + \nu, a - \lambda) \lambda^\nu d\nu.$$

More interestingly, based on the relation (1.5), the representation (3.14) reduces further to a known result due to Katsurada [14, p. 168 (2.6)]

$$(3.15) \quad \phi(e^{2\pi i \alpha}, a + \lambda, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{\Gamma(\nu + z)\Gamma(-\nu)}{\Gamma(z)} \phi(e^{2\pi i \alpha}, a, z + \nu) \lambda^\nu d\nu.$$

4. DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONS

The generalized zeta function ζ_λ^μ as a function satisfies some differential recurrence relations. Fortunately these properties of ζ_λ^μ can be developed directly from the definition (2.1). First, by recalling the familiar derivative formula from calculus in terms of the gamma function [17]

$$(4.1) \quad D_x^m x^n = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-m+1)} x^{n-m}, \quad n-m \geq 0, \quad D_x = \frac{d}{dx},$$

where $m \in N$, we aim now to derive the following differential relation for ζ_λ^μ .



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Theorem 4.1. Let $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mu - n \neq \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$. Then

$$(4.2) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n y^n}{(1-\mu)_n} D_x^n \left[\Phi_{\mu-n}^* \left(x, z, \frac{a + (1-\lambda)n}{\lambda} \right) \lambda^{-z} \right].$$

Proof. In view of (1.6) and (4.1) we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n y^n}{(1-\mu)_n} D_x^n \left[\Phi_{\mu-n}^* \left(x, z, \frac{a + (1-\lambda)n}{\lambda} \right) \lambda^{-z} \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(-1)^n y^n}{(1-\mu)_n} \frac{(\mu-n)_m x^{m-n}}{(m-n)!(a + \lambda m + (1-\lambda)n)^z}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using the identities

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n A(k, n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A(k, n+k)$$

and

$$(a)_{-n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{(1-a)_n},$$

it leads to the result (4.2). □

Secondly, we show that the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function Φ is related to the function ζ_{λ}^{μ} for $\mu \in N$ by the following differential relation.



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Theorem 4.2. Let $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mu > 1$ be a positive integer number. Then

$$(4.4) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_x^{\mu-1} \left[\Phi \left(x, z, \frac{a+n+\lambda(1-\mu)}{\lambda} \right) \lambda^{-z} \right] y^n.$$

Proof. We refer to the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

For $\lambda = 1$ with $y = 0$, equation (4.4) reduces to the following differential relation connecting the functions Φ and Φ_{μ}^*

$$(4.5) \quad D_x^{\mu-1} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \Phi(x, z, a - \mu + 1) \right] = \Phi_{\mu}^*(x, z, a).$$

On the other hand, from (4.5) and with the aid of the formula (4.1), we can easily derive the following inversion relation of equation (4.5) in the form

$$(4.6) \quad D_x^{1-\mu} [\Gamma(\mu) \Phi_{\mu}^*(x, z, a + \mu - 1)] = \Phi(x, z, a).$$

Next, we establish the derivative of the function ζ_{λ}^{μ} with respect to the argument λ .

Theorem 4.3. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z - 1, a + \lambda b) \\ &= (1 - z) \left[x \mu \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu+1}(x, y; z, a + \lambda(b + 1)) + b \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a + \lambda b) \right]. \end{aligned}$$




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Proof. We have

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z - 1, a + \lambda b) = (1 - z) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{(m-1)!(a+n+\lambda(m+b))^z} + b \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{m!(a+n+\lambda(m+b))^z} \right].$$

Now, let $m \rightarrow m + 1$ in the first summation of (4.8) and then use the identity

$$(\mu)_{m+n} = (\mu)_n (\mu + n)_m$$

to obtain (4.7). □

The same type of differentiation gives the next result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $q \in R$. Then*

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z - 1, a + bq) = b(1 - z) \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a + bq).$$

Proof. We refer to the proof of Theorem 4.3. □

It is easily observed that the relations (4.7) and (4.9) are generalizations of the known results (see e.g. [7, p. 451]):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \zeta(z - 1, a + \lambda b) = b(1 - z) \zeta(z, a + qb),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \zeta(z, \lambda) = -z \zeta(z + 1, \lambda).$$




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Further, we show that the function ζ_λ^μ satisfies the following theorem

Theorem 4.5. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(4.10) \quad D_x^k \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = (\mu)_k \zeta_\lambda^{\mu+k}(x, y; z, a + \lambda k),$$

$$(4.11) \quad D_y^k \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = k! \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m}{m!} \Phi_{k+1}^*(y, z, a + k + \lambda m) x^m,$$

$$(4.12) \quad D_a^k \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = (-1)^k (z)_k \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z + k, a).$$

Proof. Using (4.1), we get

$$(4.13) \quad D_x^k \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^{m-k} y^n}{(m-k)! (a+n+\lambda m)^z}.$$

Now, letting $m \rightarrow m+k$ in (4.13) and considering the definition (2.1), we get the right-hand side of formula (4.10). Similarly, one can proof the formulas (4.11) and (4.12). \square

Note that the results (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) can be obtained directly from equation (4.2) by differentiating both sides of (4.2) with respect to x , y and a , respectively.

In view of the relationship (2.6), we find from equation (4.12) that

$$(4.14) \quad D_a^k \zeta_2(z; a, \lambda) = (-1)^k (z)_k \zeta_2(z+k; a, \lambda).$$

Similarly, according to the relation (2.3) formula (4.12) reduces to the result

$$(4.15) \quad D_a^k \zeta(z, a) = (-1)^k (z)_k \zeta(z+k, a),$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



which is a known result (see e.g. [8, p. 2 (1.8)]). A function closely associated with the derivative of the gamma function is the digamma function, defined by

$$(4.16) \quad \psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}, \quad x \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$$

Now, we wish to establish the derivative of the function ζ_λ^μ with respect to the parameter μ .

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mu \in C \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$. Then*

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\mu)_m \Phi(y, z, a + \lambda m) [\psi(\mu + m) - \psi(\mu)] \frac{x^m}{m!}.$$

Proof. By noting that

$$(4.18) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} [(\mu)_m] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\mu + m)}{\Gamma(\mu)} \right] = (\mu)_m [\psi(\mu + m) - \psi(\mu)],$$

we obtain the result (4.17). □

According to the algebraic identity (cf. [17, p. 295 (6.7)]):

$$(4.19) \quad \psi(x + 1) - \psi(x + m + 1) = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^k m! \Gamma(x + 1)}{k(m - k)! \Gamma(x + k + 1)},$$

the formula (4.17) can be rewritten in the following more compact form

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \Gamma(\mu + m)}{k(m - k)! \Gamma(\mu + k)} \Phi(y, z, a + \lambda m) x^m.$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Finally, let us recall the definition of the Weyl fractional derivative of the exponential function e^{-at} , $a > 0$ of order ν in the form (see [17, p. 248 (7.4)])

$$(4.21) \quad D^\nu e^{-at} = a^\nu e^{-at}, \quad (\nu \text{ is not restricted to be positive integer}).$$

We now proceed to find the fractional derivative of the function ζ_λ^μ with respect to z .

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\nu > 0$. Then*

$$(4.22) \quad D_z^\nu [\zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a)] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{m!(a+n+\lambda m)^z} \times [\log(a+n+\lambda m)]^\nu.$$

Proof. Since

$$(a+n+\lambda m)^{-z} = e^{-z \log(a+n+\lambda m)},$$

we have

$$\zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z, a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{m!} e^{-z \log(a+n+\lambda m)}.$$

The desired result now follows by applying the formula (4.21) to the above identity. □

5. SERIES EXPANSIONS

Series expansions play an important role in the investigation of various useful properties of the sequences which they expand. This section aims at establishing some series relations for the double series zeta function ζ_λ^μ . First, based on two forms of Taylor's theorem for the deduction of addition and multiplication theorems for the confluent hypergeometric function (cf. [9, p. 63, eq. (2.8.8) and (2.8.9)] or [20, p. 21–22]):

$$(5.1) \quad f(x+y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f^{(m)}(x) \frac{y^m}{m!},$$




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



and

$$(5.2) \quad f(xy) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f^{(m)}(x) \frac{[(y-1)x]^m}{m!},$$

where $|y| < \rho$, ρ being the radius of convergence of the analytic function $f(x)$, we aim to discuss certain addition and multiplication theorems of the generalized double zeta function ζ_{λ}^{μ} .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $|\omega| < 1$. Then*

$$(5.3) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x + \omega, y; z, a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mu)_k \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu+k}(x, y; z, a + \lambda k) \frac{\omega^k}{k!},$$

$$(5.4) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y + \omega; z, a) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (\mu)_m \Phi_{n+1}^*(\omega, z, a + n + \lambda m) \frac{x^m y^n}{m!},$$

$$(5.5) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x\omega, y; z, a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mu)_k \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu+k}((\omega-1)x, y; z, a + \lambda k) \frac{x^k}{k!},$$

$$(5.6) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y\omega; z, a) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (\mu)_m \Phi_{n+1}^*(y(\omega-1), z, a + n + \lambda m) \frac{x^m y^n}{m!}.$$

Proof. The proof is a direct application of the formulas (5.1), (5.2) and the first two results of Theorem 4.5. □

Next, we derive the Taylor expansion of ζ_{λ}^{μ} in the fourth variable a .




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Theorem 5.2. Let $|\omega| < \operatorname{Re}(a)$. Then

$$(5.7) \quad \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a + \omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \Phi(y, z + k, a) \times \Phi_{\mu, \lambda}^*(x, -k, \omega) \frac{(z)_k}{k!}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a + \omega) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{m!} (a + n)^{-z} \left(1 + \frac{\omega + \lambda m}{a + n}\right)^{-z}.$$

The result now follows from the binomial expansion and the definitions (1.4) and (2.7). \square

In fact, equation (5.7) gives a number of known and new series expansions as particular cases. For instance, in view of the relation (2.4) we find from (5.7) that

$$(5.8) \quad \Phi(y, z, a - \omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (z)_k \Phi(y, z + k, a) \frac{\omega^k}{k!}, \quad (z \neq 1, \quad |\omega| < |a|),$$

which is a known result due to Raina and Chhajer [18, p. 93 (3.3)]. Moreover according to the relationship (2.3), equation (5.7) yields

$$(5.9) \quad \zeta(z, a + \omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (z)_k \zeta(z + k, a) \frac{\omega^k}{k!}.$$

Note that, formula (5.9) is a known result due to Kanemitsu et al. [11, p. 5, (2.6*)]. Further, in view of the relation (2.6), formula (5.7) yields

$$(5.10) \quad \zeta_2(z; a + \omega, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (z)_k \zeta(z + k, a) \times \zeta\left(-k, \frac{\omega}{\lambda}\right) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}.$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Furthermore, if in (5.8) we let $y = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ (in conjunction with (1.5)), formula (5.8) reduces to a known power series expansion due to Klusch [15]

$$(5.11) \quad \phi(\alpha, a + \omega, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (z)_k \phi(\alpha, a, z + k) \omega^k, \quad |\omega| < a.$$

Another expansion function for ζ_{λ}^{μ} can be derived by using the result [16, p. 374, exercise 9.4(7)]

$$(5.12) \quad {}_2F_1 \left[a, a + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; x \right] = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sqrt{x})^{-2a} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \sqrt{x})^{-2a}.$$

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mu \geq 1$, $\operatorname{Re}(a) > 0$, $|x| < 1$, $|y| < 1$ and $|\omega| < |a|$. Then*

$$(5.13) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{z + 2k - 1}{2k} \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z + 2k, a) \omega^{2k} = \frac{1}{2} [\zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a - \omega) + \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z, a + \omega)].$$

Proof. We have

$$(5.14) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{z + 2k - 1}{2k} \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu}(x, y; z + 2k, a) \omega^{2k} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{m!(a + n + \lambda m)^z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z)_{2k} \omega^{2k}}{(2k)!(a + n + \lambda m)^{2k}}.$$

By applying the formula (5.12) to the last summation in the right-hand side of equation (5.14), we come to the result (5.13). \square



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Next, we derive a series expansion for the function ζ_λ^μ involving Appell's function F_2 of two variables defined by the series (see e.g. [21, p. 23 (3)])

$$(5.15) \quad F_2 [a, b, b'; c, c'; x, y] = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n} (b)_m (b')_n x^m y^n}{(c)_m (c')_n m! n!}.$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\max\{|x/b|, |y/b|\} < 1$, $|b| < \operatorname{Re} a$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. Then*

$$(5.16) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\nu)_k \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z+k, a+b) \frac{\omega^k}{k!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{m!} \\ \times F_2 \left[z, \nu, 1; z, 1; \frac{\omega}{a+n+\lambda m}, \frac{-b}{a+n+\lambda m} \right] (a+n+\lambda m)^{-z}.$$

Proof. Since

$$(a+n+\lambda m+b)^{-(z+k)} = (a+n+\lambda m)^{-(z+k)} \left(1 + \frac{b}{a+n+\lambda m} \right)^{-(z+k)},$$

it follows that

$$(5.17) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\nu)_k \zeta_\lambda^\mu(x, y; z+k, a+b) \frac{\omega^k}{k!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m x^m y^n}{m! (a+n+\lambda m)^z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z)_{k+s} (\nu)_k}{k! s! (z)_k} \\ \times \left(\frac{\omega}{a+n+\lambda m} \right)^k \left(\frac{-b}{a+n+\lambda m} \right)^s.$$

The result (5.16) now follows from the definition (5.15). □




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Indeed, equation (5.16) is a generalization and unification of the well-known result of Ramanujan

$$\zeta(\nu, 1+x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu)_n}{n!} \zeta(\nu+n)(-x)^n.$$

In view of the relations (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) formula (5.16) yields the following interesting special cases:

$$(5.18) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\nu)_k \zeta(z+k, a+b) \frac{\omega^k}{k!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_2 \left[z, \nu, 1; z, 1; \frac{\omega}{a+n}, \frac{-b}{a+n} \right] (a+n)^{-z},$$

$$(5.19) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\nu)_k \Phi(y, z+k, a+b) \frac{\omega^k}{k!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_2 \left[z, \nu, 1; z, 1; \frac{\omega}{a+n}, \frac{-b}{a+n} \right] \frac{y^n}{(a+n)^z},$$

and

$$(5.20) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\nu)_k \zeta_2(z+k; a+b, \lambda) \frac{\omega^k}{k!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_2 \left[z, \nu, 1; z, 1; \frac{\omega}{a+n+\lambda m}, \frac{-b}{a+n+\lambda m} \right] (a+n+\lambda m)^{-z},$$

respectively.



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Finally, we recall here a generating function of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function due to Raina and Srivastava in the form (see [19, p. 302] or [18, p. 96 (3.11)])

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.21) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu)_n (\beta)_n}{(\gamma)_n} \Phi(y, \nu + \beta - \gamma + n, a) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^k}{(a+k)^{\nu+\beta-\gamma}} {}_2F_1 \left[\nu, \beta; \gamma; \frac{\omega}{(a+k)} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

A further generalization of the above known formula (5.21) is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\operatorname{Re} \nu > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \beta > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \mu > 0$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and $|\omega/a| < 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.22) \quad & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu)_n (\beta)_n}{(\gamma)_n} \zeta_{\lambda}^{\mu+n}(x, y; \nu + \beta - \gamma + k, a) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_m y^k}{(a+k+\lambda m)^{\nu+\gamma-\beta}} \times {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \nu, \beta, \mu+m; \\ \gamma, \mu; \end{matrix} \frac{\omega}{(a+k+\lambda m)} \right] \frac{x^m}{m!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We refer to the proof of Theorem 5.4. □

Clearly, in view of the relationship (2.4) formula (5.22) reduces to (5.21).

1. Barnes E. W., *The theory of the double gamma function*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc.(A), **196** (1901), 265–387.
2. Berge C., *Principles of combinatorics*, Academic Press 1971.




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



3. Bin-Saad Maged G., *Sums and partial sums of double power series associated with the generalized zeta function and their N-fractional calculus*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., **49** (2007), 37–52.
4. Bin-Saad Maged G. and Al-Gonah A.A., *On hypergeometric-type generating functions associated with the generalized zeta function*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae **75(2)** (2006), 253–266.
5. Dattoli G., *Generalized polynomials and associated operational identities*, J. Comp. App. Math. **108** (1999), 209–218.
6. Erdélyi A., Magnus W., Oberhettinger F. and Tricomi F. G., *Higher transcendental functions*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill, New York, Toronto and London 1953.
7. Espinosa O. and Moll V. H., *On some integrals involving the Hurwitz zeta function: Part 2*, The Ramanujan J., **6** (2002), 159–188.
8. ———, *A generalized polygamma function*, Integral transforms and special functions **15** (2004), 101–115.
9. Exton H., *Multiple hypergeometric functions and applications*, Halsted Press, London, 1976.
10. Goyal S. and Laddha R. K., *On the generalized Riemann zeta function and the generalized Lambert transform*, Ganita Sandesh **11** (1997), 99–108.
11. Kanemitsu S., Katsurada M. and Yoshimoto M., *On the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function*, Aequationes Math. **59** (2000), 1–19.
12. Katsurada M., *An application of Mellin-Barnes type integrals to the mean square of Lerch zeta-function* Collect. Math. **48** (1997), 137–153.
13. Katsurada M., *An application of Mellin-Barnes type integrals to the mean square of Lerch zeta-function*, Let. Mat. Rink. **38** (1998), 77–88.
14. ———, *Power series asymptotic series associated with the Lerch zeta-function*, Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A **74** (1998), 167–170.
15. Klusch D., *On the Taylor expansion of Lerch zeta-function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **170** (1992), 513–523.
16. Larry C. A., *Special functions of mathematics for engineers*, SPIE Press and Oxford University Press, New York, London, 1998.
17. Miller K.S. and Ross B., *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*, New York, 1993.
18. Raina P. K. and Chhajed P. K., *Certain results involving a class of functions associated with the Hurwitz zeta function*, Acta. Math. Univ. Comenianae **73(1)** (2004), 89–100.
19. Raina R. K. and Srivastava H. M., *Certain results associated with the generalized Riemann zeta functions*, Rev. Tec. Ing. Univ. Zulia, **18(3)** (1995), 301–304.




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



20. Slater L. J., *Confluent hypergeometric functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1960.
21. Srivastava H. M. and Karlsson P. K., *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*, Halsted Press, Bristone, London, New York, 1985.
22. Whittaker E.T. and Watson G.N., *A course of modern analysis*, 4th ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1927.

Maged G. Bin-Saad, Department of Mathematics, Aden University-Aden, Kohrmakssar, P.O.Box 6014, Yemen,
e-mail: mgbinsaad@yahoo.com



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit