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#### Abstract

In this present investigation we study certain application of differential subordination and superordination for the class of multivalent functions to be subordinated and superordinated by convex functions.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the class of analytic functions in $\Delta:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$ be a subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of functions of the form

$$
f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\ldots
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z):=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \Delta), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\mathcal{A}:=\mathcal{A}_{1}$. Komatu [4] introduced the family of integral operators, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{a}^{\sigma} f(z):=\frac{(1+a)^{\sigma}}{z^{a} \Gamma(\sigma)} \int_{0}^{z}\left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\sigma-1} t^{a-1} f(t) d t \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a>-1, \sigma>0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. It can be easily observed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{a}^{\sigma} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1+a}{n+a}\right) a_{n} z^{n} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.2) and (1.3) it can be seen that

$$
z\left(I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(1+a) I_{a}^{\sigma} f(z)-a I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)
$$

Let $p, h \in \mathcal{H}$ and let

$$
\phi(r, s, t ; z): \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C} .
$$

[^0] Komatu operator.

If $p(z)$ and $\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)$ are univalent and if $p(z)$ satisfy the second order superordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p$ is the solution of the differential superordination (1.4). (If $f$ is subordinate to $F$, then we say $F$ is superordinate to $f$ ). An analytic function $q$ is called a subordinant if $q \prec p$ for all $p$ satisfying (1.4). A univalent subordinant $\widetilde{q}$ that satisfy $q \prec \widetilde{q}$ for all subordinants $q$ of (1.4) is said to be best subordinant. Recently Miller and Mocanu [6] obtained conditions on $h, q$ and $\phi$ for which the following implication holds:

$$
h(z) \prec \phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \Rightarrow q(z) \prec p(z) .
$$

Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [6], Bulboacă [3] have considered certain classes of first order differential superordinations as well as superordination preserving integral operators [2].

Over many years, several authors have studied the application of differential subordination and superordination for functionals like $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}, 1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}, \frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}$. Recently Obradović and Owa [7] obtained some subordination result in terms of $\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}$.

In this present investigation we give some applications of first order differential subordination and superordination to obtain sufficient conditions for certain normalized analytic functions $f$ to satisfy

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec q_{2}(z)
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are univalent in $\Delta$. Interestingly various well known results are special cases of our results.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the present investigation we need the following definition and results.
Definition 2.1. [6, Definition 2, p. 817] Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the set of all functions $f$ that are analytic and injective on $\bar{\Delta}-E(f)$, where

$$
E(f)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial \Delta: \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z)=\infty\right\},
$$

and are such that $f^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \Delta-E(f)$.
Theorem 2.1 ([5, Theorem 3.4h, p. 132 ]). Let $q$ be univalent in the disk $\Delta$ and $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\Delta)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\Delta)$.
Set $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)), h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)$. Suppose that
(1) $Q$ is starlike univalent in $\Delta$ and
(2) $\Re \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}>0$ for $z \in \Delta$.

If $\xi$ is analytic in $\Delta$ with $\xi(\Delta) \subseteq D$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\xi(z))+z \xi^{\prime}(z) \phi(\xi(z)) \prec \theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\xi \prec q$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 2.2 ([3]). Let $q$ be univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$ and $\vartheta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\Delta)$, suppose that
(1) $\Re \frac{\vartheta^{\prime} q(z)}{\psi(q(z))}>0$ for all $z \in \Delta$ and
(2) $\xi(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \psi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent function in $\Delta$.

If $\xi \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$, with $\xi(\Delta) \subset D$, and $\vartheta(\xi(z))+z \xi^{\prime}(z) \psi(\xi(z))$ is univalent in $\Delta$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \psi(q(z)) \prec \vartheta(\xi(z))+z \xi^{\prime}(z) \psi(\xi(z)), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $q \prec \xi$ and $q$ is the best subordinant.
Theorem 2.3 ([5, Lemma 1, p. 71]). Let $h$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ with $h(0)=a$ and $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Re \gamma>0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ and

$$
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z)
$$

then

$$
p(z) \prec q(z) \prec h(z),
$$

where

$$
q(z)=\frac{\gamma}{n z^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} d t .
$$

The function $q$ is convex and is the best dominant.

## 3. Application of Differential Subordination

Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ be complex numbers with $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ with $q(0)=1$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{\alpha+2 \beta q(z)}{\gamma}\right\}>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z):=\frac{\alpha}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\frac{\beta}{p^{2}}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{2 \mu}+\gamma \mu\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p f(z)}-1\right] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\psi(z) \prec \alpha q(z)+\beta q^{2}(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the function $\xi(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(z):=\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A computation using (3.3) shows that

$$
\frac{z \xi^{\prime}(z)}{\xi(z)}=\frac{z \mu f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\mu p
$$

Also we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(z) & :=\alpha \xi(z)+\beta \xi^{2}(z)+\gamma z \xi^{\prime}(z) \\
& =\frac{\alpha}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\frac{\beta}{p^{2}}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{2 \mu}+\gamma \mu\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p f(z)}-1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\psi(z) \prec \alpha q(z)+\beta q^{2}(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)$, this can be written as (2.1), when $\theta(w):=\alpha w+\beta w^{2}$ and $\phi(w):=\gamma$. Note that $\phi(w) \neq 0$ and $\theta(w), \phi(w)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(z) & :=\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) \\
h(z) & :=\theta(q(z))+Q(z) \\
& =\alpha q(z)+\beta q^{2}(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In light of the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, we see that $Q$ is starlike and

$$
\Re \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\Re\left\{\frac{\alpha+2 \beta q(z)}{\gamma}+\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>0 .
$$

Hence the result follows as an application of Theorem 2.1.

## Theorem 3.2.

(1) Let $0 \neq \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ with $q(0)=1$ and satisfy

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{\mu}{\delta}\right\}>0
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy

$$
(1-\delta)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\delta\left(f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}\right) \prec q(z)+\frac{\delta}{\mu} z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z) .
$$

(2) If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy

$$
f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}-\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec \frac{1}{\mu} z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Proof of the first part follows from Theorem 3.1, by taking $\alpha=p=$ $1, \beta=0$ and $\gamma=\frac{\delta}{\mu}$.

The proof of the second part follows from Theorem 3.1, by taking $\alpha=\beta=$ $0, p=1$ and $\gamma=\frac{1}{\mu}$.

By taking $\delta=\mu=n$ and $q(z)=\beta+(1-\beta)\left[-1-\frac{2}{z} \log (1-z)\right]$ in first part of Theorem 3.2, we get the following result of Ponnusamy [8].

Corollary 3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for a positive integer $n$, we have

$$
\Re\left\{(1-n)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{n}+n f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{n-1}\right\}>\beta
$$

implies

$$
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{n} \prec \beta+(1-\beta)\left(-1-\frac{2}{z} \log (1-z)\right)
$$

and $\beta+(1-\beta)\left(-1-\frac{2}{z} \log (1-z)\right)$ is the best dominant.
By taking $\mu=1$ and $q(z)=1+\left(\frac{A}{1+\delta}\right) z$ in Theorem 3.2 and $\mu=\delta=1$ and $q(z)=\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right) \frac{\log (1+B z)}{B z}$ where $\delta, A$ and $B$ are non zero complex numbers and $\Re \delta>0$ and $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ in Theorem 3.2 we get the following result of Ponnusamy and Juneja [9].

Corollary 3.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\delta$ be a complex number with $\Re \delta \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. Then

$$
(1-\delta) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\delta f^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+A z
$$

implies

$$
\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec 1+\left(\frac{A}{1+\delta}\right) z
$$

and the function $1+\left(\frac{A}{1+\delta}\right) z$ is the best dominant. Also

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}
$$

implies

$$
\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right) \frac{\log (1+B z)}{B z}
$$

and the function $\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right) \frac{\log (1+B z)}{B z}$ is the best dominant.
By taking $\alpha=p=1, \beta=0$ and $\gamma=\frac{1}{\mu}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following result:

Corollary 3.5. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy

$$
f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1} \prec q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{\mu}
$$

implies

$$
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 3.6. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ and $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ be starlike univalent in $\Delta$. Further assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{\beta q(z)}{\gamma}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ and if

$$
\alpha+\frac{\beta}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\gamma \mu\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right] \prec \alpha+\beta q(z)+\frac{\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

then

$$
\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z)
$$

where $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let $\theta(w):=\alpha+\beta w$ and $\phi(w):=\frac{\gamma}{w}$. Note that $\theta(w)$ and $\phi(w)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence the result follows as an application of Theorem 2.1 for $\xi(z):=\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}$.

By taking $\alpha=p=1, \beta=0, \gamma=\frac{1}{\mu}$ and $q(z)=e^{\lambda A z}$, in Theorem 3.6 we get the following result obtained by Obradović and Owa [7].

Corollary 3.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+A z
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec e^{\lambda A z}
$$

where $e^{\lambda A z}$ is the best dominant.
We remark here that $q(z)=e^{\lambda A z}$ is univalent if and only if $|\lambda A|<\pi$.
For a special case when $q(z)=\frac{1}{(1-z)^{2 b}}$ where $b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$, and $\alpha=\mu=p=$ $1, \beta=0$ and $\gamma=\frac{1}{b}$ in Theorem 3.6, we have the following result obtained by the Srivatsava and Lashin [10].

Corollary 3.8. Let $0 \neq b \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right] \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}
$$

then

$$
\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2 b}},
$$

where $\frac{1}{(1-z)^{2 b}}$ is the best dominant.
By taking $q(z)=(1+B z)^{\frac{\lambda(A-B)}{B}}, \alpha=p=1, \beta=0$ and $\gamma=\frac{1}{\mu}$ in Theorem 3.6, then we have the following result of Obradović and Owa [7].

Corollary 3.9. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. Let $\mu, A$ and $B$ satisfy the relation either $\left|\frac{\lambda(A-B)}{B}-1\right| \leq 1$ or $\left|\frac{\lambda(A-B)}{B}+1\right| \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec(1+B z)^{\frac{\lambda(A-B)}{B}}
$$

and $(1+B z)^{\frac{\lambda(A-B)}{B}}$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 3.10. Let $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ be complex numbers and $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in $\Delta$ with $q(0)=1$. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ satisfy (3.1). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z):=\frac{\alpha}{p}\left(\frac{z^{p}}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\frac{\beta}{p^{2}}\left(\frac{z^{p}}{f(z)}\right)^{2 \mu}+\gamma \mu\left[\left(\frac{z^{p}}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}-\frac{1}{p} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{z^{p}}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\psi(z) \prec \alpha q(z)+\beta q^{2}(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{z^{p}}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. The proof is a straight forward application of Theorem 2.1.
By putting $\alpha=p=1, \beta=0$ and $\gamma=\frac{\lambda}{\mu}$ in Theorem 3.10 we have the following result:
Corollary 3.11. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} \prec q(z)+\frac{\lambda}{\mu} z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z) .
$$

By taking $\lambda=-1$ in Corollary 3.11 we get the following result.
Corollary 3.12. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} \prec q(z)-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{\mu}
$$

implies

$$
\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.

## 4. Application of Superordination

Theorem 4.1. Let $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ be complex numbers and $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ with $q(0)=1$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\left(\frac{\alpha+2 \beta q(z)}{\gamma}\right)\right\}>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\psi(z):=\frac{\alpha}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\frac{\beta}{p^{2}}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{2 \mu}+\gamma \mu\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right]
$$

and is univalent in $\Delta$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}, 0 \neq \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$ then

$$
\alpha q(z)+\beta q^{2}(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) \prec \psi(z)
$$

implies

$$
q(z) \prec \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

where $q$ is the best subordinant.
Proof. Define the function $\xi(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(z):=\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A computation using (4.2) shows that

$$
\frac{z \xi^{\prime}(z)}{\xi(z)}=\frac{z \mu f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p \mu
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(z) & :=\frac{\alpha}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\frac{\beta}{p^{2}}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{2 \mu}+\gamma \mu\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right] \\
& =\alpha \xi(z)+\beta \xi^{2}(z)+\gamma z \xi^{\prime}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha q(z)+\beta q^{2}(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) \prec \psi(z)$, this can be written as (2.2), when $\theta(w):=\alpha w+\beta w^{2}$ and $\phi(w):=\gamma$. Hence the result follows as an application of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 4.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\delta$ be complex number with $\Re \delta>0$ and $-1 \leq$ $B<A \leq 1$.
(i) If $(1-\delta) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\delta z f^{\prime}(z)$ is univalent in $\Delta$, then

$$
1+A z \prec(1-\delta) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\delta z f^{\prime}(z) \Rightarrow 1+\frac{A}{1+\delta} z \prec \frac{f(z)}{z}
$$

and $\frac{A}{1+\delta} z$ is the best subordinant.
(ii) If $f^{\prime}(z)$ is univalent in $\Delta$ then

$$
\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \prec f^{\prime}(z) \Rightarrow \frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right) \frac{\log (1+B z)}{B z} \prec \frac{f(z)}{z}
$$

and $\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right) \frac{\log (1+B z)}{B z}$ is the best subordinant.
Proof. Proof of first part follows from Theorem 4.1 by taking $\alpha=p=1, \beta=0$, $\gamma=\delta, \mu=1$ and $q(z):=1+\frac{A}{1+\delta} z$.

Proof of the second part follows from Theorem 4.1 by taking $\alpha=p=1$, $\beta=0, \gamma=\delta=1, \mu=1$ and $q(z):=\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right) \frac{\log (1+B z)}{B z}$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ be complex numbers and $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ and $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ be starlike univalent in $\Delta$. Further assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{\beta q(z)}{\gamma}\right\}>0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha+\frac{\beta}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\gamma \mu\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right]$ is univalent in $\Delta$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}, \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \in$ $\mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$ then

$$
\alpha+\beta q(z)+\frac{\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \prec \alpha+\frac{\beta}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\gamma \mu\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right]
$$

implies

$$
q(z) \prec \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

where $q$ is the best subordinant.
Proof. Let $\theta(w):=\alpha+\beta w$ and $\phi(w):=\frac{\gamma}{w}$. Note that $\theta(w)$ and $\phi(w)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence the result follows as an application of Theorem 2.2, when $\xi(z):=\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}$.

Note that by taking $\alpha=p=1, \beta=0, \gamma=\frac{1}{\mu}$ and $q(z):=e^{\lambda A z}$ we get the corresponding superordination result of Corollary 3.7. Also by taking $\alpha=\mu=p=1, \beta=0, \gamma=\frac{1}{b}$ and $q(z):=\frac{1}{(1-z)^{2 b}}$ we obtain the superordination result of Corollary 3.8

Theorem 4.4. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ with $q(0)=1$ and satisfy

$$
\Re\left\{\left(\frac{\alpha+2 \beta q(z)}{\gamma}\right)\right\}>0
$$

Let $\psi(z)$ as defined by (3.5) be univalent in $\Delta$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ and $0 \neq \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{z^{p}}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \in$ $\mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$ then

$$
\alpha q(z)+\beta q^{2}(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) \prec \psi(z)
$$

implies

$$
q(z) \prec \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{z^{p}}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}
$$

where $q$ is the best subordinant.
By letting $\alpha=p=1, \beta=0$ and $\gamma=\frac{\lambda}{\mu}$ in Theorem 4.4, we get the following result:

Corollary 4.5. Let $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ with $q(0)=1$ and satisfy

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{\mu}{\lambda} q^{\prime}(z)\right\}>0
$$

Let

$$
\psi_{1}(z):=(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}-\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1}
$$

be univalent in $\Delta$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$ then

$$
q(z)+\frac{\lambda}{\mu} q^{\prime}(z) \prec \psi_{1}(z)
$$

implies that

$$
q(z) \prec\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant.
By taking $\lambda=-1$ in Corollary 4.5 we get the following result:
Corollary 4.6. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
q(z)-\frac{q^{\prime}(z)}{\mu} \prec f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}
$$

implies

$$
q(z) \prec\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant.

## 5. Sandwich Results

By combining Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 we get the following sandwich type result.

Theorem 5.1. Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$, satisfying (4.1) and (3.1) respectively. Let $\psi(z)$ as given by (3.2) be univalent in $\Delta$. If $0 \neq$ $\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$ then

$$
\alpha q_{1}(z)+\beta q_{1}^{2}(z)+\gamma z q_{1}^{\prime}(z) \prec \psi(z) \prec \alpha q_{2}(z)+\beta q_{2}^{2}(z)+\gamma z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)
$$

implies

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec q_{2}(z)
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.
Now by combining Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 4.3 with $p=1$ we have the following result.
Theorem 5.2. Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$, satisfying (4.3) and (3.4) respectively. Suppose $\frac{z q_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{i}(z)}$ be starlike univalent in $\Delta$ for $i=1$, 2. Let

$$
\eta(z):=\alpha+\beta\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\gamma \mu\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)
$$

be univalent in $\Delta$. If $0 \neq\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$ then

$$
\alpha+\beta q_{1}(z)+\gamma \frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{1}(z)} \prec \eta(z) \prec \alpha+\beta q_{2}(z)+\gamma \frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{2}(z)}
$$

implies

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec q_{2}(z)
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are respectively best subordinant and best dominant.
Theorem 5.3. Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be convex univalent satisfying (4.1) and (3.1) respectively. Let $0 \neq\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$.
(i) Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and $(1-\delta)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\delta f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}$ is univalent in $\Delta$ then

$$
\frac{1+\left(1-2 \beta_{1}\right) z}{1-z} \prec(1-\delta)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\delta f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1} \prec \frac{1+\left(1-2 \beta_{2}\right) z}{1-z}
$$

implies
$1+\left(-1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{z} \log (1-z) \prec\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec 1+\left(-1-\beta_{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{z} \log (1-z)\right.\right.$
where $1+\left(-1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{z} \log (1-z)\right.$ and $1+\left(-1-\beta_{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{z} \log (1-z)\right.$ are respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.
(ii) If $(1-\delta) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\delta f^{\prime}(z)$ is univalent in $\Delta$, then

$$
1+A_{1} z \prec(1-\delta) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\delta f^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+A_{2} z
$$

implies

$$
1+\frac{A_{1}}{1+\delta} z \prec \frac{f(z)}{z} \prec 1+\frac{A_{2}}{1+\delta} z
$$

where $1+\left(\frac{A_{1}}{1+\delta}\right) z$ and $1+\left(\frac{A_{2}}{1+\delta}\right) z$ are respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.

Proof. The proof of the first part follows from Theorem 5.1 by taking $q_{i}(z)=1+\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)(-1-2 \log (1-z)$ for $i=1,2$ and by taking $\alpha=p=1, \beta=0$ and $\gamma=\frac{\delta}{\mu}$ and the proof of second part follows by taking $q_{i}(z)=1+\left(\frac{A_{i}}{1+\delta}\right) z$ for $i=1,2$ and by taking $\alpha=\mu=p=1, \beta=0$ and $\gamma=\frac{\delta}{\mu}$.
In a similar manner we may obtain the sandwich result by combining Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 3.10.

## 6. Application to Komatu operator

Theorem 6.1. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}, h(0)=1, h^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ and satisfy

$$
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>-\frac{1}{2} \quad(z \in \Delta)
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{m}$ satisfy the differential subordination

$$
\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma} f(z)}{z} \prec h(z)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}{z} \prec g(z) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g(z):=\frac{1+a}{m z^{\frac{1+a}{m}}} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) t^{\frac{1+a}{m}-1} d t .
$$

The function $g$ is convex and is the best dominant.
Proof. Let the function $p(z)$ be defined by

$$
p(z):=\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}{z} .
$$

A simple computation shows that

$$
\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\left[\frac{z\left(I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}-1\right] .
$$

By using the identity

$$
z\left(I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(1+a) I_{a}^{\sigma} f(z)-a I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)
$$

we have

$$
\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\left[\frac{(1+a) I_{a}^{\sigma} f(z)}{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}-(a+1)\right]
$$

and hence

$$
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{a+1}=\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma} f(z)}{z} .
$$

The assertion (6.1) of Theorem 6.1 follows by an application of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 6.2. Let the function $q(z)$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ and $q(z) \neq 0$. Suppose that $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in $\Delta$ and $q(z)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{\beta}{\delta} q(z)+\frac{2 \gamma}{\delta} q^{2}(z)-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let
(6.3) $\quad \chi(z):=$

$$
\alpha+\beta\left(\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\gamma\left(\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^{2 \mu}+\delta \mu(1+a)\left[\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma} f(z)}{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}-1\right] .
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(z) \prec \alpha+\beta q(z)+\gamma q^{2}(z)+\frac{\delta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
p(z):=\left(\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}
$$

Note the function $p(z)$ is analytic in $\Delta$. By a straight forward computation we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi(z):=\alpha+\beta\left(\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\gamma\left(\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^{2 \mu}+\delta \mu(1+a)\left[\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma} f(z)}{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}-1\right] \\
& (6.5) \quad=\alpha+\beta p(z)+\gamma p^{2}(z)+\frac{\delta z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} . \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (6.5) in subordination (6.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha+\beta p(z)+\gamma p^{2}(z)+\frac{\delta z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec \alpha+\beta q(z)+\gamma q^{2}(z)+\frac{\delta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subordination (6.6) is same as (2.1) with $\theta(w):=\alpha+\beta w+\gamma w^{2}$ and $\phi(w):=\frac{\delta}{w}$. Clearly $\theta(w)$ and $\phi(w)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence the result follows as an application of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 6.3. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$ and $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ be starlike univalent in $\Delta$. Further assume that

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{2 \gamma}{\delta} q^{2}(z)+\frac{\beta}{\delta} q(z)\right\}>0
$$

Let $\chi(z)$ as defined by (6.3), is univalent in $\Delta$. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}, 0 \neq\left(\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} \in$ $\mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$ then

$$
\alpha+\beta q(z)+\gamma q^{2}(z)+\frac{\delta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \prec \chi(z)
$$

implies

$$
q(z) \prec\left(\frac{I_{a}^{\sigma+1} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant.
By combining Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.3 we obtain the sandwich result, however the details are omitted.
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