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#### Abstract

The aim of this article is to extend the results that presented in $[1,2]$ for $k$-chordal polygons. Moreover, a conjecture that was stated in $[1,2]$ is disproved here by using the obtained results.


## 1. Introduction

$k$-chordal polygons are defined properly in [1, 2], where results concerning their existence are presented. Throughout the present article we use the same definitions and nomenclature as in $[1,2]$.

Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be positive reals. A $k$-chordal polygon with sides $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ is denoted (see [1, 2]) $\underline{A}=A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{n}$ and the following angles are defined: $\beta_{i}=\angle C A_{i} A_{i+1}$ and the central angles $\theta_{i}=\angle A_{i} C A_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n(C$ is the center of the circum-circle). For a $k$-chordal polygon it holds that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}=$ $2 k \pi$, which means that the total arc of the polygon is $k$ times the circumference of the circle.

The article is divided as follows: In Section 2 we present necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of $k$-chordal polygons. In Section 3 we disprove a conjecture (hypothesis) that was stated in [1, 2] concerning a sufficient condition for the existence of $k$-chordal polygons.

## 2. Existence results

For the rest of the article we consider $k$-chordal polygons with sides $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ which are positive reals and without loss of generality we let $\alpha_{1}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_{i}$. The following Corollary which gives a necessary condition is presented in [1, 2]:

Corollary 1. If $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are the sides of a $k$-chordal polygon then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}>2 k-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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It is proved in [2] with the use of a counterexample that (1) is not a sufficient condition. Thus, the next Hypothesis is stated as a potential sufficient condition:
Hypothesis. Let the lengths $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)^{2 m-1}>2 m-1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]$, i.e. $m=\frac{n-1}{2}$ if $n$ is odd and $m=\frac{n}{2}-1$ if $n$ is even. Then for each $k=1, \ldots, m$ there exists a $k$-chordal polygon with side lengths $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$.
The authors in [2] note that it is difficult to prove the Hypothesis. Since they do not provide a proof, they put some additional assumptions in order to prove another existence theorem (Theorem 2.1 [2]). We will see in Section 3 that the Hypothesis is false.

Let us first present the following Lemma, which gives a sufficient condition for the existence of a $k$-chordal polygon.
Lemma 1. Suppose that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)>(2 m-1) \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for each $k=1, \ldots, m$ there exists a $k$-chordal polygon with sides $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$.
Proof. We use similar arguments to those in Theorem 2.1 [2]. We want to prove that for each $k=1, \ldots, m$ there are angles $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\left(0<\beta_{i}<\pi / 2\right)$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\cos \beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} & =\cdots=\frac{\cos \beta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} & =(n-2 k) \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us first define certain angles $\gamma_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ such that: $\cos \gamma_{i}=\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}} \cos \gamma_{1}$. Thus, $\gamma_{i}=\arccos \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}} \cos \gamma_{1}\right)$. Our aim is for each $k=1, \ldots, m$ to find a $\gamma_{1}$ $\left(0<\gamma_{1}<\pi / 2\right)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}=(n-2 k) \frac{\pi}{2}$.

For each $k=1, \ldots, m$, we define the following functions in one variable:

$$
h_{k}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \arccos \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}} \cos \gamma_{1}\right)-(n-2 k) \frac{\pi}{2} .
$$

It is now enough to show that for each $k=1, \ldots, m$ there is a $\gamma_{1} \in(0, \pi / 2)$ such that $h_{k}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=0$. Since $h_{k}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ are continuous functions in $[0, \pi / 2]$ with respect to $\gamma_{1}$, we simply need to prove that for each $k=1, \ldots, m$ we have $h_{k}(\pi / 2)>0$ and $h_{k}(0)<0$.

First, we have

$$
h_{k}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}+(n-1) \frac{\pi}{2}-(n-2 k) \frac{\pi}{2}=k \pi>0
$$

Secondly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k}(0) & =\sum_{i=2}^{n} \arccos \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)-(n-2 k) \frac{\pi}{2} \\
& =(n-1) \frac{\pi}{2}-\sum_{i=2}^{n} \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)-(n-2 k) \frac{\pi}{2} \\
& =(2 k-1) \frac{\pi}{2}-\sum_{i=2}^{n} \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the inequality (3). This completes the proof.
Lemma 1 provides a sufficient condition for the existence of a $k$-chordal polygon. Note also that from inequality (3) we can get directly another sufficient condition. Thus, the next Corollary follows easily from Lemma 1.

Corollary 2. Suppose that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}} \geq(2 m-1) \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for each $k=1, \ldots, m$ there exists a $k$-chordal polygon with sides $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$.

Proof. Simply we use the fact that $\arcsin (x)>x$ for $0<x \leq 1$ and so inequality (4) implies inequality (3).

It is interesting to compare the necessary condition (1) to the sufficient condition (4). It is clear that the right hand side of inequality (1) must be multiplied by a factor $\frac{\pi}{2}>1$ in order to get the sufficient condition (4). Observe also that inequality (4) is only a sufficient condition for the existence of a $k$ chordal polygon and not a necessary one.

The question that arises naturally is if inequality (3) is also a necessary condition. In fact it is, and the next Theorem summarizes the results and provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of $k$-chordal polygons.

Theorem 1. For each $k=1, \ldots, m$ there exists a $k$-chordal polygon with sides $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)>(2 m-1) \frac{\pi}{2} .
$$

Proof. Assume that a $k$-chordal polygon with sides $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ exists. Then for the central angles $\theta_{i}$ we know that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}=2 k \pi$ and since $\theta_{i}=2 \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{2 R_{k}}\right)$ we get

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{2 R_{k}}\right)=k \pi
$$

Where $R_{k}$ is the circum-radius of the corresponding circum-circle. We also have that

$$
\alpha_{1}<2 R_{k} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}>\frac{\alpha_{i}}{2 R_{k}} \Longleftrightarrow \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)>\arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{2 R_{k}}\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)>k \pi \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=2}^{n} \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)>(2 k-1) \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

which holds for each $k=1, \ldots, m$. This proves the necessary part. For the sufficient part we use Lemma 1 and the proof is complete.

Before we disprove the Hypothesis in Section 3, let us note that Corollary 1 from $[1,2]$ could be proved directly from Theorem 1 . Since $\frac{\pi}{2} x \geq \arcsin (x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, from the necessary part of Theorem 1 we get

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{i=2}^{n} \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)>2 m-1
$$

which is exactly inequality (1) from Corollary 1.

## 3. Disprove of the Hypothesis

In this Section we disprove the Hypothesis that posed in [1, 2] by using a counterexample and Theorem 1.
Let $\alpha_{1}=100$ and $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}=\alpha_{5}=91$. We have a pentagon $(n=5)$ and we choose $m=\frac{n-1}{2}=2$ as in [1,2]. By direct calculation we get

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{5}\left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)^{3} \simeq 3.014284>2 m-1=3
$$

Since inequality (2) holds, from the Hypothesis we must conclude that the 2 -chordal pentagon with the given sides exists. On the other hand, by using Theorem 1 we have

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{5} \arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right) \simeq 4.5731362<(2 m-1) \frac{\pi}{2} \simeq 4.712389
$$

Thus, the 2 -chordal pentagon with the given sides does not exist. This means that the Hypothesis is false and inequality (2) is not a sufficient condition for the existence of a $k$-chordal polygon.
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