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#### Abstract

In this paper we give a complete characterization of the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right)$ of the group algebra $F S_{3}$ of the symmetric group $S_{3}$ of degree 3 over a finite field $F$. Moreover, over the prime field $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$, presentation of the unit groups of group algebras $\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}$ in terms of generators and relators have also been obtained.


## 1. Introduction

Let $F G$ denote the group algebra of a group $G$ over a field F . For a normal subgroup $H$ of $G$, the natural homomorphism $g \mapsto g H: G \longrightarrow G / H$ can be extended to an $F$-algebra homomorphism from $F G$ onto $F[G / H]$ defined by $\sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g H$. Kernel of this homomorphism, denoted by $\omega(H)$, is an ideal of $F G$ generated by $\{h-1 \mid h \in H\}$. Thus, $F G / \omega(H) \cong F[G / H]$. The augmentation ideal, $\omega(F G)$, of the group algebra $F G$ is defined by

$$
\omega(F G)=\left\{\sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \mid a_{g} \in F, \sum_{g \in G} a_{g}=0\right\} .
$$

Clearly, $\omega(G)=\omega(F G)$. In general, $\omega(H)=\omega(F H) F G=F G \omega(F H)$. Also $F G / \omega(G) \cong F$ implies that the Jacobson radical $J(F G) \subseteq \omega(F G)$. It is known that, the natural homomorphism $x \mapsto x+J(F G): F G \longrightarrow F G / J(F G)$ induces an epimorphism: $\mathscr{U}(F G) \longrightarrow \mathscr{U}(F G / J(F G))$ with kernel $1+J(F G)$ so that $\mathscr{U}(F G) /(1+J(F G)) \cong \mathscr{U}(F G / J(F G))$.

This is also known that for any prime $p$ and for any positive integer $n$, there is a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[7]$.

Here we shall use the presentation of $S_{3}$ as

$$
S_{3}=\left\langle\sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^{3}=\tau^{2}=1, \tau \sigma=\sigma^{2} \tau\right\rangle .
$$

Thus, the elements of $S_{3}$ are $\left\{1, \sigma, \sigma^{2}, \tau, \sigma \tau, \sigma^{2} \tau\right\}$. The alternating group $A_{3}$ of degree 3 is given by $A_{3}=\left\{1, \sigma, \sigma^{2}\right\}$. The distinct conjugacy classes of $S_{3}$

[^0]are $\mathscr{C}_{0}=\{1\}, \mathscr{C}_{1}=\left\{\sigma, \sigma^{2}\right\}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{2}=\left\{\tau, \sigma \tau, \sigma^{2} \tau\right\}$. Hence, $\left\{\widehat{\mathscr{C}}_{0}, \widehat{\mathscr{C}_{1}}, \widehat{\mathscr{C}_{2}}\right\}$ form a basis of center $\mathcal{Z}\left(F S_{3}\right)$ of $F S_{3}$ (cf. Lemma 4.1.1 of [5]), where $\widehat{\mathscr{C}}_{i}$ denotes the class sum.
We shall use $V_{1}$ for the unit subgroup $1+J\left(F S_{3}\right)$.
The unit group of integral group ring $\mathbb{Z} S_{3}$ has been studied by Hughes and Pearson [2] and by Allen and Hobby [1]. The unit group has been discussed in terms of the bicyclic units by Jespers and Parmenter [3]. Sharma et al. [6] studied chains of subgroups of the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z} S_{3}\right)$. However, so far it seems the structure of the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right)$, for char $F=p>0$ is not known.

This paper gives a complete characterization of the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right)$ over a finite field $F$. Also we give the presentation of the unit groups of group algebras $\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}$ over the prime field $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ in terms of generators and relators.

## 2. The Unit Group of $F S_{3}$

In this Section, the following theorems gives a complete structure of the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right)$ over an arbitrary finite field $F$.

Let char $F=p$ and $|F|=p^{n}$.
Theorem 2.1. If $p=2$, then $\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right) / V_{1} \cong G L(2, F) \times F^{*}$ and $V_{1}$ is central elementary abelian 2-group of order $2^{n}$, where $G L(2, F)$ denotes the general linear group of degree 2 over $F$.

Theorem 2.2. If $p=3$ and $\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ is the center of $V_{1}$, then $\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $V_{1} / \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ both are elementary abelian 3-groups.

Theorem 2.3. If $p>3$, then

$$
\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right) \cong G L(2, F) \times F^{*} \times F^{*}
$$

Proof of the Theorem 2.1. We define a matrix representation of $S_{3}$,

$$
\rho: S_{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}(2, F) \oplus F
$$

by the assignment

$$
\sigma \mapsto\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), 1\right)
$$

and

$$
\tau \mapsto\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), 1\right)
$$

Thus, $\rho$ can be extended to an $F$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\rho^{*}: F S_{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}(2, F) \oplus F
$$

Let $x=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \sigma+\alpha_{2} \sigma^{2}+\alpha_{3} \tau+\alpha_{4} \sigma \tau+\alpha_{5} \sigma^{2} \tau \in \operatorname{Ker} \rho^{*}$, where $\alpha_{i}$ 's $\in F$. Therefore, $\rho^{*}(x)=0$ gives the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{5} & =0 \\
\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4} & =0 \\
\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5} & =0 \\
\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{5} & =0 \\
\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

By solving this system of equations we get all $\alpha_{i}$ 's are same. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \rho^{*}=\left\{\alpha\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}+\tau+\sigma \tau+\sigma^{2} \tau\right) \mid \alpha \in F\right\} .
$$

If $\widehat{S}_{3}$ is the sum of all elements in $S_{3}$, then $\widehat{S}_{3}^{2}=0$, because $F$ is a field of characteristic 2. It follows that $\operatorname{Ker} \rho^{*}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $F S_{3}$. Hence, Ker $\rho^{*} \subseteq J\left(F S_{3}\right)$. Since, $\rho^{*}$ is onto, we have $\rho^{*}\left(J\left(F S_{3}\right)\right) \subseteq J(\mathbb{M}(2, F) \oplus$ $F)=0$ and hence $J\left(F S_{3}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \rho^{*}$. Hence, $J\left(F S_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ker} \rho^{*}=F \widehat{S}_{3}$ and so $F S_{3} / J\left(F S_{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{M}(2, F) \oplus F$. It follows that $\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right) / V_{1} \cong \mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3} / J\left(F S_{3}\right)\right) \cong$ $G L(2, F) \times F^{*}$.

Further, assume $f(X)$ is a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $n$ over the field $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[X] /\langle f(X)\rangle \cong F$. Assume $\xi$ is the residue class of $X \bmod \langle f(X)\rangle$. So the structure of $V_{1}$ is

$$
V_{1}=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\langle 1+\xi^{i} x \mid x=\widehat{S_{3}}\right\rangle,
$$

a central subgroup of order $2^{n}$.
Proof of the Theorem 2.2. Since $A_{3}$ is a normal subgroup of $S_{3}$ and $\left[S_{3}: A_{3}\right]=$ 2, which is invertible in F , we have $J\left(F S_{3}\right)=J\left(F A_{3}\right) F S_{3}$ (cf. Lemma 7.2.7 of [5]). Further, since char $F=3$ and $A_{3}$ is a 3-group, we get $J\left(F A_{3}\right)=\omega\left(F A_{3}\right)$ (cf. Lemma 8.1.17 of [5]). Consequently,

$$
J\left(F S_{3}\right)=\omega\left(F A_{3}\right) F S_{3}=\omega\left(A_{3}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
F S_{3} / J\left(F S_{3}\right)=F S_{3} / \omega\left(A_{3}\right) \cong F\left[S_{3} / A_{3}\right] \cong F C_{2} \cong F \oplus F .
$$

Thus,

$$
\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right) / V_{1} \cong \mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3} / J\left(F S_{3}\right)\right) \cong F^{*} \times F^{*} .
$$

Now, $V_{1}=1+J\left(F S_{3}\right)=1+\omega\left(A_{3}\right)=1+\omega\left(F A_{3}\right) F S_{3}$ and $\omega\left(F A_{3}\right)^{3}=0$, then $\omega\left(A_{3}\right)^{3}=0$. Thus, every non identity element of $V_{1}$ is of order 3 . For $\alpha \in F$ and $x=1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}$, let $u_{\alpha}=1+\alpha x$ and $v_{\alpha}=1+\alpha x \tau$. Both $u_{\alpha}$ and $v_{\alpha}$ are central elements of $F S_{3}$ as well as elements of $V_{1}$. Take $U=\left\{u_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in F\right\}$ and $V=\left\{v_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in F\right\}$. Since, $u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}=u_{\alpha+\beta}$, and $v_{\alpha} v_{\beta}=v_{\alpha+\beta}$, it follows that both $U$ and $V$ are central subgroups of $V_{1}$. Further, since all the elements in $U$ and $V$ are distinct we have $|U|=|V|=3^{n}$. If possible, let $u \in U \cap V$, i.e. $u=u_{\alpha}=v_{\beta}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in F$. Thus, we have $\alpha\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)=\beta\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau$,
which implies that $\alpha=\beta=0$ and so $U \cap V=\{1\}$. Then $U \times V \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$, which gives us that $\left|\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right| \geq 3^{2 n}$.

Assume $w_{\alpha}=1+\alpha(\sigma-1)$ and $t_{\alpha}=1+\alpha(\sigma-1) \tau$ are two noncommuting elements in $V_{1} \backslash Z\left(V_{1}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{\alpha}^{2} & =1+2 \alpha(\sigma-1)+\alpha^{2}\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)=w_{2 \alpha} u_{\alpha^{2}} \\
t_{\alpha}^{2} & =1+2 \alpha(\sigma-1) \tau+2 \alpha^{2}\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)=t_{2 \alpha} u_{2 \alpha^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be verified that $w_{\alpha} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right) w_{\beta} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)=w_{\alpha+\beta} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$. Therefore, we get that $\left\{w_{\alpha} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right) \mid \alpha \in F\right\}$ is a subgroup of $V_{1} / \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$. If possible, let $w_{\alpha} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)=$ $w_{\beta} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$. Then $w_{\alpha} w_{\beta}^{2} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$, i.e. $w_{\alpha} w_{2 \beta} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$. But, $w_{\alpha} w_{2 \beta}=w_{\alpha+2 \beta}$ $\left(\bmod \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right)$. Hence, $w_{\alpha} w_{\beta}^{2} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ implies $\alpha=\beta$. This shows that all the elements in $\left\{w_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in F\right\}\left(\bmod \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right)$ are distinct. Thus, the number of elements in $\left\{w_{\alpha} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right) \mid \alpha \in F\right\}$ are $3^{n}$. Also, since $t_{\alpha} t_{\beta}=t_{\alpha+\beta} u_{2 \alpha \beta}$, by using the similar argument we get $\left\{t_{\alpha} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right) \mid \alpha \in F\right\}$ is a subgroup of $V_{1} / \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ with order $3^{n}$. Note that $w_{\alpha} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $t_{\beta} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ commute with each other.

Since, $\omega\left(F A_{3}\right)$ is $F$-linear combination of $(\sigma-1)$ and $\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)$, we have $\omega\left(A_{3}\right)$ is $F$-linear combination of $(\sigma-1),\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right),(\sigma-1) \tau$ and $\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right) \tau$ so that any element $1+x$ in $V_{1}$, for $x \in \omega\left(A_{3}\right)$, can be written as

$$
1+x=1+\alpha_{0}(\sigma-1)+\alpha_{1}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)+\alpha_{2}(\sigma-1) \tau+\alpha_{3}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right) \tau
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ 's $\in F$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\alpha_{1}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right) & =1+2 \alpha_{1}(\sigma-1)+\alpha_{1}\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(1+2 \alpha_{1}(\sigma-1)\right)\left(1+\alpha_{1}\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =w_{2 \alpha_{1}} u_{\alpha_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+\alpha_{0}(\sigma-1)\right) & \left(1+\alpha_{1}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)\right) \\
& =1+\alpha_{0}(\sigma-1)+\alpha_{1}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)+2 \alpha_{0} \alpha_{1}\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(1+\alpha_{0}(\sigma-1)+\alpha_{1}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)\right) u_{2 \alpha_{0} \alpha_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left(1+\alpha_{0}(\sigma-1)+\alpha_{1}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)\right)=w_{\alpha_{0}} w_{2 \alpha_{1}} u_{\alpha_{1}} u_{\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1}}$. Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+\alpha_{0}(\sigma-1)\right. & \left.+\alpha_{1}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)\right)\left(1+\alpha_{2}(\sigma-1) \tau\right) \\
& =\left(1+\alpha_{0}(\sigma-1)+\alpha_{1}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)+\alpha_{2}(\sigma-1) \tau\right) \times \\
& \times\left(1+\alpha_{0} \alpha_{2}\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau\right)\left(1+2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau\right) \\
& =\left(1+\alpha_{0}(\sigma-1)+\alpha_{1}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)+\alpha_{2}(\sigma-1) \tau\right) v_{\alpha_{0} \alpha_{2}} v_{2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left(1+\alpha_{0}(\sigma-1)+\alpha_{1}\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)+\alpha_{2}(\sigma-1) \tau\right)=w_{\alpha_{0}} w_{2 \alpha_{1}} u_{\alpha_{1}} u_{\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1}} t_{\alpha_{2}} v_{2 \alpha_{0} \alpha_{2}} v_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}$. In similar way one can show that any element of $V_{1}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $w_{\alpha}\left(\bmod \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right), t_{\alpha}\left(\bmod \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right)$, for $\alpha \in F$.

If possible, let $w_{\alpha} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)=t_{\beta} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in F$. Then $w_{\alpha} t_{\beta}^{2} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$, i.e. $w_{\alpha} t_{2 \beta} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$. But,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{\alpha} t_{2 \beta} & =(1+\alpha(\sigma-1))(1+2 \beta(\sigma-1) \tau) \\
& =(1+\alpha(\sigma-1)+2 \beta(\sigma-1) \tau)\left(\bmod \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $w_{\alpha} t_{2 \beta} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ when $\alpha=\beta=0$. Thus,

$$
\left\{w_{\alpha} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right) \mid \alpha \in F\right\} \cap\left\{t_{\alpha} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right) \mid \alpha \in F\right\}=\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)
$$

Hence, the order of $V_{1} / \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ is $3^{2 n}$, so that the order of $\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ is $3^{2 n}$.
Let $f(X)$ be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[X]$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[X] /\langle f(X)\rangle \cong F$. Further, since order of each $u_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}$ is $3, \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ is an elementary abelian 3 -group and the structure of $\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ is given as

$$
\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\langle 1+\alpha^{i} x\right\rangle \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left\langle 1+\alpha^{j} x \tau\right\rangle
$$

where $\alpha$ is residue class of $X$ modulo $\langle f(X)\rangle$.
The presentation of $V_{1} / \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
V_{1} / \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\langle\left(1+\alpha^{i}(\sigma-1) \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right\rangle \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left\langle\left(1+\alpha^{j}(\sigma-1) \tau\right) \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right\rangle\right.
$$

Proof of the Theorem 2.3. Since $p \nmid\left|S_{3}\right|$, by Maschke's theorem $F S_{3}$ is a semisimple Artinian algebra over $F$. Then by Wedderburn structure theorem we get

$$
F S_{3} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{M}\left(n_{i}, D_{i}\right)
$$

where $D_{i}$ 's are finite dimensional division algebras over $F$. Since F is a finite field, $D_{i}$ 's are finite division algebras, and hence they are fields. In this case denote $D_{i}$ by $F_{i}$. Thus,

$$
F S_{3} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{M}\left(n_{i}, F_{i}\right)
$$

where $F_{i}$ 's are finite field extension of $F$.
Since, $\operatorname{dim}_{F}\left(F S_{3}\right)=3, F S_{3}$ is noncommutative, and not simple, the possible structures of the group algebra $F S_{3}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F S_{3} \cong \mathbb{M}(2, F) \oplus F \oplus F \text { or } \\
& F S_{3} \cong \mathbb{M}(2, F) \oplus F_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_{2}$ is a quadratic extension of $F$. No other case is possible. Since, if $\mathbb{M}\left(2, F_{2}\right)$ occurs in the right hand side in the place of $\mathbb{M}(2, F)$, but then $\operatorname{dim}_{F}\left(\mathbb{M}\left(2, F_{2}\right)\right)=8$, a contradiction. Therefore, only $\mathbb{M}(2, F)$ will occur in the right hand side. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{F}\left(F S_{3}\right)=6$, we get $\mathbb{M}(2, F)$ to be a direct
summand of $F S_{3}$ of codimension 2. So only two cases as mentioned above may arise.

We will prove that second case is not possible. If possible, let second case holds. In this case $\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right) \cong G L(2, F) \times F_{2}^{*}$. In $F_{2}^{*}$, there is an element of order $p^{2 n}-1$, i.e. there is an element in the center of $\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right)$ of order $p^{2 n}-1$. Now, $\mathcal{Z}\left(F S_{3}\right)$ is $F$-linear combination of $\widehat{\mathscr{C}}, \widehat{\mathscr{C}}_{1}$ and $\widehat{\mathscr{C}}_{2}$, so any element $x \in \mathcal{Z}\left(F S_{3}\right)$ can be written as $x=\alpha_{0} \widehat{\mathscr{C}_{0}}+\alpha_{1} \widehat{\mathscr{C}_{1}}+\alpha_{2} \widehat{\mathscr{C}_{2}}$, where $\alpha_{i} \in F$. Since, $p>3$, we get either $3 \mid\left(p^{n}-1\right)$ or $3 \mid\left(p^{n}+1\right)$. In both the cases it can be verified that $\left(\widehat{\mathscr{C}_{1}}\right)^{p^{n}}=\widehat{\mathscr{C}_{1}}$ and $\left(\widehat{\mathscr{C}_{2}}\right)^{p^{n}}=\widehat{\mathscr{C}_{2}}$. This gives $x^{p^{n}}=\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \widehat{\mathscr{C}_{1}}+\alpha_{2} \widehat{\mathscr{C}_{2}}\right)^{p^{n}}=$ $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \widehat{\mathscr{C}_{1}}+\alpha_{2} \widehat{\mathscr{C}_{2}}=x$. Hence, $x^{p^{n}}=x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{Z}\left(F S_{3}\right)$. But then $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathcal{Z}\left(F S_{3}\right)\right)$ ) is a group of exponent ( $p^{n}-1$ ), a contradiction. Hence, second case does not arise. Thus,

$$
F S_{3} \cong \mathbb{M}(2, F) \oplus F \oplus F
$$

Hence,

$$
\mathscr{U}\left(F S_{3}\right) \cong G L(2, F) \times F^{*} \times F^{*}
$$

## 3. Unit Groups of $\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}$

In this section we give presentation of the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} S_{3}\right)$ for the prime field $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, when $p=2,3$.

Theorem 3.1. The unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}\right)$ is isomorphic to $D_{12}$, the dihedral group of order 12. In particular, if $S_{3}=\left\langle\sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^{3}=\tau^{2}=1, \tau \sigma=\sigma^{2} \tau\right\rangle$ then $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}\right)=\left\langle\omega, \tau \mid \omega^{6}=\tau^{2}=1, \tau \omega=\omega^{5} \tau\right\rangle$, where $\omega=1+\sigma^{2}+\tau+\sigma \tau+\sigma^{2} \tau$.
Proof. Any element of even length in $\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}$ cannot be a unit, since any such element belongs to the augmentation ideal $\omega\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}\right)$. Elements of length 1 are trivial units in $\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}$. Let $x=g_{1}+g_{2}+g_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}$, be an element of length 3. Then $x=g_{1}\left(1+g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}+g_{1}^{-1} g_{3}\right)$ is a unit if and only if $1+g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}+g_{1}^{-1} g_{3}$ is a unit. Hence, we can assume that any element of length 3 is of the form $x=1+g_{1}+g_{2}$ for some non-identity elements $g_{1}, g_{2} \in S_{3}$. The following two cases arise:

Case 1. Elements $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ commute with each other. First, note that, $x^{2}=\left(1+g_{1}+g_{2}\right)^{2}=1+g_{1}^{2}+g_{2}^{2}$. Since $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are the only elements of $S_{3}$ which commute each other, we get $x=1+g_{1}+g_{2}=1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}$. Since, $x$ is an idempotent, it can not be a unit.

Case 2. If $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ do not commute with each other, then also $x$ can not be a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}$. For that, take $g_{1}, g_{2} \in\left\{\tau, \sigma \tau, \sigma^{2} \tau\right\}$, then $x^{2}=1+g_{1} g_{2}+g_{2} g_{1}=$ $1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}$, an idempotent; hence $x^{2}$ and therefore $x$ cannot be a unit. Next, assume $g_{1} \in\left\{\tau, \sigma \tau, \sigma^{2} \tau\right\}$ and $g_{2} \in\left\{\sigma, \sigma^{2}\right\}$, then $x^{2}=g_{2}^{2}+g_{1} g_{2}+g_{2} g_{1}=$ $g_{2}^{2}\left(1+g_{2} g_{1} g_{2}+g_{2}^{2} g_{1}\right)$. If $x$ is a unit then $y=1+g_{2} g_{1} g_{2}+g_{2}^{2} g_{1}$ is also a unit. But, this is not possible, because $g_{2} g_{1} g_{2}$ and $g_{2}^{2} g_{1} \in\left\{\tau, \sigma \tau, \sigma^{2} \tau\right\}$. Hence, no element of length 3 is a unit.

This leaves only one case to explore, namely the elements of length 5. All elements of length 5 are units. These are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1} & =u_{1}^{-1}=1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}+\sigma \tau+\sigma^{2} \tau ; \\
u_{2} & =u_{2}^{-1}=1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}+\tau+\sigma \tau ; \\
u_{3} & =u_{3}^{-1}=1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}+\tau+\sigma^{2} \tau ; \\
v & =v^{-1}=\sigma+\sigma^{2}+\tau+\sigma \tau+\sigma^{2} \tau \text { and } \\
w & =1+\sigma^{2}+\tau+\sigma \tau+\sigma^{2} \tau, \text { with } \\
w^{-1} & =1+\sigma+\tau+\sigma \tau+\sigma^{2} \tau ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}$ is

$$
\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, v, w, w^{-1}, 1, \sigma, \sigma^{2}, \tau, \sigma \tau, \sigma^{2} \tau\right\} .
$$

Further, $w^{2}=\sigma^{2}, w^{3}=\sigma^{2} w=v, w^{4}=\sigma^{4}=\sigma, w^{5}=w \sigma=w^{-1}, w^{6}=1$ and $w \tau=u_{3}, w^{3} \tau=u_{1}$ and $w^{5} \tau=u_{2}$. We get

$$
\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} S_{3}\right)=\left\langle w, \tau \mid w^{6}=\tau^{2}=1, w \tau=\tau w^{5}\right\rangle,
$$

which is a dihedral group of order 12. This completes the proof of this theorem.

Next, we will discuss about the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$ over the prime field $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$. For the field $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$, structure of the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$ is given as follows:
Theorem 3.2. Let $V_{1}=1+J\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$ and let $\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ denotes the center of $V_{1}$. Then
(i) both the groups $\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $V_{1} / \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ are isomorphic to $C_{3} \times C_{3}$.
(ii) the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right) / V_{1}$ is isomorphic to $C_{2} \times C_{2}$. In particular, order of $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$ is 324.

The above theorem is direct consequence of the Theorem 2.2.
Now, we give more precise presentations of the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$. In fact, we present all units in their canonical forms.

In Example 8, Kulshammer and Sharma [4] showed that

$$
\omega\left(A_{3}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{3} u+\mathbb{Z}_{3} v+\mathbb{Z}_{3} u v+\mathbb{Z}_{3} v u
$$

for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}$. Let $u=\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)$ and $v=\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1+\tau)$. Thus, $u v=2\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)+2\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau$ and $v u=2\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)+\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau$ and so $\mathbb{Z}_{3} u+\mathbb{Z}_{3} v+\mathbb{Z}_{3} u v+\mathbb{Z}_{3} v u \subseteq \omega\left(A_{3}\right)$.

Further, $\left\{(1-\sigma),\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right),(1-\sigma) \tau,\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right) \tau\right\}$ form a basis of $\omega\left(A_{3}\right)$. One can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\sigma & =u v+v u-u-v, \\
1-\sigma^{2} & =u v+v u+u+v, \\
(1-\sigma) \tau & =u v-v u-v+u, \\
\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right) \tau & =u v-v u+v-u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, any element of $\omega\left(A_{3}\right)$ can be expressed as $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-linear combination of $u, v, u v$ and $v u$. Hence $\omega\left(A_{3}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{3} u+\mathbb{Z}_{3} v+\mathbb{Z}_{3} u v+\mathbb{Z}_{3} v u$.

Since $J\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)=\omega\left(A_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
V_{1}=1+J\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)=\left\{1+\alpha_{1} u+\alpha_{2} v+\alpha_{3} u v+\alpha_{4} v u \mid 0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq 2\right\}
$$

for $i=1,2,3,4$. Let

$$
x=u v+v u, y=u v-v u, \omega_{1}=1+v, \omega_{2}=1+u .
$$

Assume $H_{1}=\langle 1+x, 1+y\rangle$. Now, $1+x, 1+y \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$ and $u^{2}=0, v^{2}=0$ and $u v u=0$, implies $x^{2}=y^{2}=0$. Thus,

$$
H_{1}=\left\langle 1+x \mid(1+x)^{3}=1\right\rangle \times\left\langle 1+y \mid(1+y)^{3}=1\right\rangle \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right) .
$$

Hence, $H_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$. For the converse, observe that $u v, v u \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$. Therefore, if $z=1+\alpha_{1} u+\alpha_{2} v+\alpha_{3} u v+\alpha_{4} v u \in \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$, then $\alpha_{1} u+\alpha_{2} v$ commutes with every element of $V_{1}$. In particular, $\alpha_{1} u+\alpha_{2} v$ commutes with $1+v$ but, then it commutes with $v$ also. This implies that $\alpha_{1} u$ commutes with $v$. This gives that $\alpha_{1} y=\alpha_{1}(u v-v u)=\alpha_{1}(u v)-\alpha_{1}(v u)=\left(\alpha_{1} u\right) v-v\left(\alpha_{1} u\right)=\left(\alpha_{1} u\right) v-\left(\alpha_{1} u\right) v=0$. But, then $\alpha_{1}(1+y)=\alpha_{1}$. Since, $(1+y)$ is a unit, we get $\alpha_{1}=0$. Similarly, we get $\alpha_{2}=0$. Hence, $z=1+\alpha_{3} u v+\alpha_{4} v u$, i.e. $\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)=1+\mathbb{Z}_{3} u v+\mathbb{Z}_{3} v u$. Since, $H_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\left|H_{1}\right|=\left|\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right|=9$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right) & =1+\mathbb{Z}_{3} u v+\mathbb{Z}_{3} v u \\
& =\left\langle 1+x \mid(1+x)^{3}=1\right\rangle \times\left\langle 1+y \mid(1+y)^{3}=1\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle 2+\sigma+\sigma^{2} \mid\left(2+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)^{3}=1\right\rangle \times \\
& \times\left\langle\left( 1+\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau\left|\left(1+\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau\right)^{3}=1\right\rangle .\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We have so far got that

$$
H_{1}=\left\langle 1+x \mid(1+x)^{3}=1\right\rangle \times\left\langle 1+y \mid(1+y)^{3}=1\right\rangle=\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right) .
$$

Next, $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \notin \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ as $\omega_{1} \omega_{2} \neq \omega_{2} \omega_{1}$. Otherwise,

$$
(1+v)(1+u)=(1+u)(1+v) \Rightarrow u v-v u=y=x \tau=0 .
$$

But, then $x=1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}=0$, a contradiction. Further, since $v^{2}=0$, $\omega_{1}^{3}=(1+v)^{3}=1$. Similarly, we get $\omega_{2}^{3}=1$. Also,

$$
\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}^{-1} \omega_{2}^{-1} \omega_{1} \omega_{2}=\omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{2}^{2} \omega_{1} \omega_{2} .
$$

Observe that $\omega_{1}^{2}=(1+v)^{2}=1+2 v+v^{2}=1+2 v=1-v$. Similarly, $\omega_{2}^{2}=1-u$.
So $\omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{2}^{2}=(1-v)(1-u)=1-u-v+v u$ and

$$
\omega_{1} \omega_{2}=(1+v)(1+u)=1+u+v+v u
$$

and therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{2}^{2} \omega_{1} \omega_{2} & =(1-u-v+v u)(1+u+v+v u) \\
& =(1-u-v)(1+u+v)+v u+v u \text { since } v u \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right), u^{2}=v^{2}=0 \\
& =1-(u+v)^{2}+2 v u \\
& =1-(u v+v u)-v u \\
& =1-2 v u-u v \\
& =1+v u-u v \\
& =1-y=(1+y)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=(1+y)^{2} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ implies that $\omega_{1} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\omega_{2} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ commute with each other. Also $\left(\omega_{1} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right)^{3}=\left(\omega_{2} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right)^{3}=\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ as

$$
\omega_{1}^{3}=\omega_{2}^{3}=1
$$

Since, $\left|V_{1} / \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right|=9$, we get $V_{1} / \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)=\left\langle\omega_{1} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right\rangle \times\left\langle\omega_{2} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right\rangle$. This discussion summarizes the following:

Lemma 3.3. Let $V_{1}$ be $1+J\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$ and $\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$ be its center. Then
(i) $\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)=\langle 1+x\rangle \times\langle 1+y\rangle$, where $x=1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}$ and

$$
y=\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau ;(1+x)^{3}=(1+y)^{3}=1
$$

(ii) $\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)=\left\{1+\alpha u v+\beta v u \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right\}$, where $u=\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)$, $v=\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1+\tau)$
(iii) $V_{1} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)=\left\langle\omega_{1} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right\rangle \times\left\langle\omega_{2} \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)\right\rangle$, where $\omega_{1}=1+v, \omega_{2}=1+u$.

This gives
Theorem 3.4. If $x=1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}, y=\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau, u=\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)$ and $v=\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1+\tau)$, then
(i) $V_{1}=\left\{1+\alpha_{1} u+\alpha_{2} v+\alpha_{3} u v+\alpha_{4} v u \mid \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right.$ for $\left.i=1,2,3,4\right\}$
(ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}=\langle 1+x, 1+y, 1+v, 1+u| \\
& \quad(1+x)^{3}=(1+y)^{3}=(1+v)^{3}=(1+u)^{3}=1 \\
& \quad(1+u)(1+v)=(1+y)(1+v)(1+u) \\
& \quad \text { and } 1+x, 1+y \text { commute with every generator }\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $V_{1}=\left\{(1+x)^{i}(1+y)^{j}(1+v)^{k}(1+u)^{l} \mid 0 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2\right\}$;
(iv) $V_{1}=[H] K$, the semidirect product of $H$ by $K$, where

$$
H=\langle 1+x\rangle \times\langle 1+y\rangle \times\langle 1+v\rangle
$$

and $K=\langle 1+u\rangle$ or $\langle\sigma\rangle$;
(v) $V_{1}=W \times\langle 1+x\rangle$ where

$$
W=\langle 1+u, 1+v\rangle=[\langle 1+y\rangle \times\langle 1+v\rangle]\langle 1+u\rangle .
$$

Proof. Proof of Part ( $i$ ) directly follows from our earlier discussion. First, we prove part (iv). Observe that $H=\langle 1+x, 1+y, 1+v\rangle=\langle 1+x\rangle \times\langle 1+y\rangle \times\langle 1+v\rangle$ is an abelian subgroup of the form $C_{3} \times C_{3} \times C_{3}$ of $V_{1}$, because $\langle 1+x\rangle \times\langle 1+y\rangle=$ $H_{1}=\mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right)$. It is known that for a finite group G of order $|G|$, if $p$ is the smallest prime such that $p$ divides $|G|$, then a subgroup of index $p$ is normal in $G$. Hence, $H \unlhd V_{1}$. Already we have checked that $(1+v)(1+u) \neq(1+u)(1+v)$. Hence $(1+u) \notin H$. Thus, $V_{1}=H K$ and $H \cap K=\{1\}$, where $K=\langle 1+u\rangle$. Therefore, $V_{1}=[H]\langle 1+u\rangle$, the semi direct product of $H$ and $\langle 1+u\rangle$. Further, observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+u) & (1+v)(1+y) \\
& =(1+u+v+u v)(1+u v-v u) \\
& =1+(u+v+u v)+(u v-v u), \text { since } u^{2}=v^{2}=0 \text { and } u v \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right) \\
& =1+\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)+\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1+\tau)+2\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \\
& =1+2\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)+2\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \\
& =1+2(1+2 \sigma) \\
& =\sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation $\sigma=(1+u)(1+v)(1+y)$ gives that $\sigma \in\langle(1+y),(1+v),(1+u)\rangle$.
Also $\sigma(1+v) \neq(1+v) \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \notin H$. Hence, $\sigma \in[H]\langle 1+u\rangle$. This proves that $[H]\langle\sigma\rangle \subseteq[H]\langle 1+u\rangle$.

For the converse, observe that $(1+u)(1+v)=(1+y)(1+v)(1+u)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+y)(1+v) & =(1+u v-v u)(1+v) \\
& =1+v+(u v-v u)+(u v-v u) v \\
& =1+v+u v-v u
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+y)(1+v)(1+u) & =(1+v+u v-v u)(1+u) \\
& =1+v+u v-v u+u+v u+(u v-v u) u \\
& =1+u+v+u v \\
& =(1+u)(1+v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+y)(1+v)^{2} \sigma & =(1+y)(1+v)^{2}(1+u)(1+v)(1+y) \\
& =(1+y)^{2}(1+v)^{2}\{(1+u)(1+v)\} \\
& =(1+y)^{2}(1+v)^{2}\{(1+y)(1+v)(1+u)\} \\
& =(1+y)^{3}(1+v)^{3}(1+u) \\
& =(1+u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation $(1+u)=(1+y)(1+v)^{2} \sigma$ gives that $1+u \in[H]\langle\sigma\rangle$. But, then $[H]\langle 1+u\rangle \subseteq[H]\langle\sigma\rangle$. Hence, $V_{1}=[H]\langle 1+u\rangle=[H]\langle\sigma\rangle$. This proves part (iv).

Now, for part $(i i)$, observe that each of $(1+x),(1+y),(1+v),(1+u)$ is a unit of order 3. Also $(1+u)(1+v)=(1+y)(1+v)(1+u)$ and that $(1+x),(1+y)$ commute with each generator. This proves part (ii) as

$$
V_{1}=[H]\langle 1+u\rangle=\langle 1+x, 1+y, 1+u, 1+v\rangle .
$$

The canonical form of part (iii) now, follows from part (ii). For the proof of the part $(v)$, observe that $W=\langle 1+u, 1+v\rangle$ is a nonabelian normal subgroup of $V_{1}$ of order 27. The following relations can be verified:

$$
(1+u)^{3}=(1+v)^{3}=1 \text { and } 1+y=((1+u),(1+v)) \in \mathcal{Z}\left(V_{1}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
W=\langle 1+u, 1+v\rangle=\langle 1+u, 1+v, 1+y\rangle
$$

satisfies the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+u)^{3} & =(1+v)^{3}=(1+y)^{3}=1, \\
(1+u)(1+v) & =(1+v)(1+u)(1+y), \\
(1+u)(1+y) & =(1+y)(1+u), \\
(1+v)(1+y) & =(1+y)(1+v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be easily seen that $W=[\langle 1+v, 1+y\rangle]\langle 1+u\rangle$, the semidirect product of $\langle 1+v, 1+y\rangle$ by $\langle 1+u\rangle$. Further, $1+x \notin W$ otherwise $1+x \in \mathcal{Z}(W)=\langle 1+y\rangle$, a contradiction. Hence, $V_{1}=W \times\langle 1+x\rangle$. The proof of the theorem is now complete.

Further, $V_{1}$ is a 3 -group, $\tau$ and -1 are units in $\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}$ of order 2 , we get $\tau,-1 \notin$ $V_{1}$. Also, $V_{1}$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$ of index 4 with $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right) / V_{1} \cong$ $C_{2} \times C_{2}$. Hence we can explicitly write all the units as follows:

Theorem 3.5. The unit group

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right) & =\left[V_{1}\right](\langle-1\rangle \times\langle\tau\rangle)=\left( \pm V_{1}\right) \cup\left( \pm V_{1} \tau\right) \\
& =\left\{ \pm\left(1+\alpha_{1} u+\alpha_{2} v+\alpha_{3} u v+\alpha_{4} v u\right),\right. \\
& \left. \pm\left(1+\alpha_{1}^{\prime} u+\alpha_{2}^{\prime} v+\alpha_{3}^{\prime} u v+\alpha_{4}^{\prime} v u\right) \tau \mid \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write a presentation of the unit group as follows:

## Theorem 3.6.

$$
\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)=\left\{(1+x)^{i}(1+y)^{j} \omega_{1}^{k} \omega_{2}^{l}(-1)^{m} \tau^{n} \mid 0 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2 ; 0 \leq m, n \leq 1\right\} .
$$

The canonical form obtained here uses 6 generators. Let $u_{1}=2+u+v+u v+$ $v u, u_{2}=1+u+v+u v+v u, u_{3}=\tau+u+v+u v+v u$, and $u_{4}=1+u$. They can be re-written as $u_{1}=-\sigma^{2}, u_{2}=-\left(1+\sigma^{2}\right), u_{3}=1-\sigma^{2}+\tau, u_{4}=1+\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)$. The following relations can be verified:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{1} & =u_{1}^{4} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{4} u_{4}^{2}, \omega_{2}=u_{4}, 1+x=u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2}, \\
(1+y) & =u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{4},-1=u_{1}^{3}, \tau=u_{2}^{2} u_{3} u_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For example

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}^{4}= & \left(-\sigma^{2}\right)^{4}=\sigma^{8}=\sigma^{2} \\
u_{2}^{2}= & \left\{-\left(1+\sigma^{2}\right)\right\}^{2}=\left(1+\sigma^{2}\right)^{2}=1+\sigma+2 \sigma^{2}=1+\sigma-\sigma^{2} \\
u_{3}^{2}= & \left(1-\sigma^{2}+\tau\right)^{2}=\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right)^{2}+\tau^{2}+\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right) \tau+\tau\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right) \\
= & \left(1+\sigma^{4}-2 \sigma^{2}\right)+\tau^{2}+\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right) \tau+(1-\sigma) \tau \\
= & \left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)+1+\left(2-\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right) \tau \\
= & \left(2+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)-\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau \\
= & 1+\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)-\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right) \tau \\
= & 1+x-y . \\
u_{3}^{4}= & (1+x-y)^{2}=1+x^{2}+y^{2}+2 x-2 y-2 x y \\
= & 1-x+y=1-x+x \tau \\
& \quad \text { since } x, y \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right), \quad x^{2}=0, y^{2}=0, \text { and } y=x \tau \\
= & 1-x(1-\tau)=1-\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, $(1-\tau)\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)=\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)-\left(\sigma^{2}-\sigma\right) \tau=\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1+\tau)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{4}^{2} & =\left\{1+\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)\right\}^{2} \\
& =1+2\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)+\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau) \\
& =1+2\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)=1-\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}^{4} u_{2}^{2} & =\sigma^{2}\left(1+\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2}+1-\sigma=1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}, \\
u_{1}^{4} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{4} & =\left(1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)\left\{1-\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)\right\} \\
& =\left(1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)-\left(1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau) \\
& =\left(1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)-\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau), \\
u_{1}^{4} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{4} u_{4}^{2} & =\left\{\left(1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)-\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)\right\}\left\{1-\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)\right\} \\
& =\left(1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)-\left(1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)-\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau) \\
& +\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, $(1-\tau)\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)=\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1+\tau)$, we get $\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)=$ 0 . Further $\left(1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)=-1+\sigma^{2}$.

Combining, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}^{4} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{4} u_{4}^{2} & =\left(1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)-\left(-1+\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau)-\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau) \\
& =\left(1-\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)-\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1-\tau) \\
& =1-2\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)+\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right) \tau \\
& =1+\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)+\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right) \tau=1+\left(\sigma-\sigma^{2}\right)(1+\tau) \\
& =\omega_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $u_{1}^{4} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{4} u_{4}^{2}=\omega_{1}$.
This proves the first relation, namely $u_{1}^{4} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{4} u_{4}^{2}=\omega_{1}$. Similarly, other relations can be proved. Hence, $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right) \subseteq\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\rangle$.

Further the following relations can be shown to hold among $u_{i}^{\prime} s$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{1}^{6}=u_{3}^{6}=u_{2}^{3}=u_{4}^{3}=1, u_{1} u_{2}=u_{2} u_{1}, u_{3} u_{1}=u_{1} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{5} \\
u_{3} u_{2}=u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{3}, u_{4} u_{3}=u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{5} u_{4}^{2}, u_{4} u_{1}=u_{1}^{5} u_{2} u_{3}^{2} u_{4}, u_{4} u_{2}=u_{1}^{2} u_{3}^{4} u_{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

and that $u_{1}^{3}, u_{3}^{2}$ commute with each $u_{i}$. The group $\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\rangle$ is obviously contained in $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$. We have obtained canonical form presentation of the unit group $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)$ as follows:

Theorem 3.7. $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)=\left\{u_{1}^{i} u_{2}^{j} u_{3}^{k} u_{4}^{l} \mid 0 \leq i, k \leq 5,0 \leq j, l \leq 2\right\}$, where $u_{1}=-\sigma^{2}, u_{2}=-\left(1+\sigma^{2}\right), u_{3}=1-\sigma^{2}+\tau, u_{4}=1+\left(\sigma-\overline{\sigma^{2}}\right)(1-\tau)$ and they satisfy the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}^{6}=u_{3}^{6}=u_{2}^{3}=u_{4}^{3}=1, \\
& u_{1} u_{2}=u_{2} u_{1}, u_{3} u_{1}=u_{1} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{5}, \\
& u_{3} u_{2}=u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{3}, u_{4} u_{3}=u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{5} u_{4}^{2}, \\
& u_{4} u_{1}=u_{1}^{5} u_{2} u_{3}^{2} u_{4}, u_{4} u_{2}=u_{1}^{2} u_{3}^{4} u_{4} \\
& \text { and } u_{1}^{3}, u_{3}^{2} \text { commute with each } u_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can also write a presentation of the unit group in terms of 3- generators as follows:

Theorem 3.8. The unit group

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{U}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} S_{3}\right)=\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right| & v_{1}^{6}=v_{2}^{6}=v_{3}^{3}=1, v_{3} v_{2}=v_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{3}^{2} \\
& v_{3} v_{1}=v_{2} v_{1}^{5} v_{2}^{5} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{1}=v_{1}^{2} v_{2} v_{1}^{2} v_{2} v_{1} v_{2}^{-1} v_{1}^{2} \\
& \left.v_{1}^{3} \text { and } v_{2}^{2} \text { commute with each } v_{i}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be done by taking $v_{1}=u_{1}, v_{2}=u_{3}, v_{3}=u_{4}$ in the presentation given in the earlier theorem.
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