

## FINITENESS OF LOCAL HOMOLOGY MODULES

SHAHRAM REZAEI

ABSTRACT. Let  $I$  be an ideal of Noetherian ring  $R$  and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. In this paper, we introduce the concept of weakly colaskerian modules and by using this concept, we give some vanishing and finiteness results for local homology modules.

Let  $I_M := \text{Ann}_R(M/IM)$ , we will prove that for any integer  $n$

- (i) If  $N$  is a weakly colaskerian linearly compact  $R$ -module such that  $(0 :_N I_M) \neq 0$  then

$$\text{width}_{I_M}(N) = \inf\{i \mid H_i^{I_M}(N) \neq 0\} = \inf\{i \mid H_i^I(M, N) \neq 0\}.$$

- (ii) If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a Noetherian local ring and  $N$  is an artinian  $R$ -module then

$$\cup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(H_i^{I_M}(N)) = \cup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(H_i^I(M, N)) = \\ \cup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N)),$$

$$\inf\{i \mid H_i^{I_M}(N) \text{ is not Noetherian } R\text{-module}\} = \\ \inf\{i \mid H_i^I(M, N) \text{ is not Noetherian } R\text{-module}\}.$$

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper assume that  $R$  is a commutative Noetherian ring,  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $M, N$  are  $R$ -modules. Cuong and Nam in [4] defined the local homology modules  $H_i^I(M)$  with respect to  $I$  by

$$H_i^I(M) = \varprojlim_n \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I^n, M).$$

This definition is dual to Grothendieck's definition of local cohomology modules and coincides with the definition of Greenlees and May in [7] for an artinian  $R$ -module  $M$ . For basic results about local homology we refer the reader to [4], [5] and [17]; for local cohomology refer to [1]. In [12], Nam introduced the definition of generalized local homology which is an extension of the usual local homology. In fact, the  $i$ -th generalized local homology module  $H_i^I(M, N)$  of  $M, N$  with respect to  $I$  is defined

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 13D45; secondary 16E30.

*Key words and phrases*: coregular sequence, local homology, weakly colaskerian.

Received February 6, 2019, revised September 2019. Editor J. Trlifaj.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2020-1-31

by

$$H_i^I(M, N) = \varprojlim_n \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M/I^n M, N).$$

Clearly, in the special case  $M = R$ ,  $H_i^I(R, N) = H_i^I(N)$  for all  $i$  and any  $R$ -module  $N$ . Basic facts and more information about generalized local homology can be obtained from [12], [14] and [19].

In this paper we study some properties of the local homology module  $H_n^I(M, N)$ , where  $M$  is a finitely generated and  $N$  an artinian  $R$ -module. The colocalization is an essential tool in our investigation. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $S$  a multiplicative set of  $R$ . The colocalization of  $M$  with respect to  $S$  is the  $R_S$ -module  ${}_S M := \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, M)$ . If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal and  $S = R - \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  then instead of  ${}_S M$  we write  ${}_{\mathfrak{p}} M$ . When  $M$  is an artinian module it is known that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, M)$  is almost never an artinian  $R_S$ -module (see [10]). Thus the functor co-localization is not closed on the category artinian modules. To avoid this difficulty we introduce the concept of weakly colaskerian modules and we will see that if  $M$  is an artinian  $R$ -module then  ${}_{\mathfrak{p}} M$  is weakly colaskerian  ${}_{\mathfrak{p}} R$ -module for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ .

Here by using the concept of weakly colaskerian we investigate finiteness of local homology modules. At first, we obtain the following main results about vanishing of local homology modules.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Let  $n$  be an integer and  $I_M := \operatorname{Ann}(M/IM)$ . If  $N$  is a weakly colaskerian linearly compact  $R$ -module such that  $(0 :_N I_M) \neq 0$  then*

$$\operatorname{width}_{I_M}(N) = \inf\{i \mid H_i^{I_M}(N) \neq 0\} = \inf\{i \mid H_i^I(M, N) \neq 0\}.$$

Then over a Noetherian local ring  $R$  we obtain the following main results.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and an artinian  $R$ -module. Let  $n$  be an integer and  $I_M := \operatorname{Ann}(M/IM)$ . Then*

- i)  $\bigcup_{i < n} \operatorname{Cos}_R(H_i^{I_M}(N)) = \bigcup_{i < n} \operatorname{Cos}_R(H_i^I(M, N)) = \bigcup_{i < n} \operatorname{Cos}_R(\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N))$ ,
- ii)  $\inf\{i \mid H_i^{I_M}(N) \text{ is not Noetherian } R\text{-module}\} = \inf\{i \mid H_i^I(M, N) \text{ is not Noetherian } R\text{-module}\}$ .

## 2. THE RESULTS

A Hausdorff linearly topologized  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be linearly compact if  $M$  has the following property: if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of closed cosets (i.e the cosets of closed submodules) in  $M$  which has the finite intersection property, then the cosets in  $\mathcal{F}$  have a non-empty intersection. It is clear that artinian  $R$ -modules are linearly compact with the discrete topology. If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a complete local ring, then finite  $R$ -modules are also linearly compact and discrete. For more facts about linearly compact modules see [8] and [20]. Let  $M$  and  $N$  two  $R$ -modules. When  $M$  is finitely generated module and  $N$  is artinian, we already note that the local

homology modules  $H_i^I(M, N)$  are linearly compact, (see [5, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5]).

A module is called cocyclic if it is a submodule of  $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$  for some maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . A prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is called coassociated to a non-zero  $R$ -module  $M$  if there is a cocyclic homomorphic image  $T$  of  $M$  with  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R T$  [18]. The set of coassociated primes of  $M$  is denoted by  $\text{Coass}_R(M)$ .

Recall that the cosupport of  $M$  is defined by  $\text{Cos}_R M = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid \mathfrak{p} M \neq 0\}$  (see [10]). Also, Yassemi [18] defined the co-support of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , denoted by  $\text{Cosupp}_R(M)$ , to be the set of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that there exists a cocyclic homomorphic image  $L$  of  $M$  with  $\text{Ann}(L) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . It is well known that in case  $M$  is an artinian  $R$ -module or  $M$  is a linearly compact  $R$ -module the equality  $\text{Cos}_R(M) = \text{Cosupp}_R(M)$  is true.

Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -module. We recall the notion of coregular sequence defined by Ooishi [15]. An element  $x$  of  $R$  is called  $N$ -coregular if  $N = xN$  and a sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  of elements in  $R$  is said to be an  $N$ -coregular sequence if  $0 :_N(x_1, \dots, x_r) \neq 0$  and  $x_i$  is an  $(0 :_N(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}))$ -coregular element for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . We denote by  $\text{width}_I(N)$  the length of the longest  $N$ -coregular sequence in  $I$ . In case  $N$  is an artinian  $R$ -module, we know  $\text{width}_I(N)$  is finite.

In [6, Definition 2.1], the authors call an  $R$ -module  $N$  weakly Laskerian if any quotient of  $N$  has finitely many associated prime ideals. In the following, as a dual case, we introduce the class of weakly colaskerian modules.

**Definition 2.1.** Given an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we say that  $M$  is a weakly colaskerian  $R$ -module, if for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , the set  $\text{Coass}_R(0 :_M I)$  is finite.

It is clear that artinian  $R$ -modules are weakly colaskerian. In the next result we see that a colocalization of an artinian module is weakly colaskerian module.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then*

- (i) *If  $M$  is weakly colaskerian then  $(0 :_M J)$  is weakly colaskerian for any ideal  $J$  of  $R$ .*
- (ii) *If  $M$  is artinian then  ${}_{\mathfrak{p}}M$  is weakly colaskerian  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ .*
- (iii) *If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a Noetherian local ring and  $M$  is linearly compact  $R$ -module then  ${}_{\mathfrak{p}}M$  is a linearly compact  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then

$$(0 :_{(0 :_M J)} I) \simeq (0 :_M I) \cap (0 :_M J) \simeq (0 :_M I + J).$$

Assumption implies that  $\text{Coass}_R(0 :_M I + J)$  is finite and so  $\text{Coass}_R(0 :_{(0 :_M J)} I)$  is finite.

(ii) Since  $M$  is artinian,  $(0 :_M I)$  is artinian for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Thus  ${}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0 :_M I)$  is representable  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec}(R)$  by [10, Theorem 3.2] and so  $\text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}({}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0 :_M I))$  is finite. Since  ${}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0 :_M I) \simeq (0 :_{\mathfrak{p}M} I R_{\mathfrak{p}})$  it follows that  $\text{Coass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(0 :_{\mathfrak{p}M} I R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}({}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0 :_M I))$  is finite. This completes the proof.

(iii) Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . By [5, Lemma 2.5]  ${}_{\mathfrak{p}}M$  is linearly compact  $R$ -module. Assume that  $\{U_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a nuclear base of  ${}_{\mathfrak{p}}M$  consisting of submodules. Thus

${}_pM \simeq \varprojlim_{i \in J} {}_pM/U_i$ , in which  ${}_pM/U_i$  is an artinian  $R$ -module for all  $i \in J$  by [8, 4.7, 5.5]. It is easy to see that each  ${}_pM/U_i$  is artinian over  $R_p$  and  $\{{}_pM/U_i\}$  can be regard as an inverse system of artinian  $R_p$ -modules. Therefore  ${}_pM$  is linearly compact  $R_p$ -module by [8, 5.5].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module with  $|\text{Coass}_R(M)| < \infty$ . Then  $IM = M$  if and only if  $xM = M$  for some  $x \in I$ .*

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$  If not,  $I \subseteq \cup_{p \in \text{Coass}_R(M)} p$  by [18, Theorem 1.13]. Hence  $I \subseteq p$  for some  $p \in \text{Coass}_R M$ . Thus, there is a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $M/N$  is artinian and  $p = \text{Ann}_R(M/N)$ . Since  $I \subseteq p$ ,  $IM \subseteq N \subseteq M$ . But  $IM = M$  and so  $N = M$  which is a contradiction.

$\Leftarrow$ ) It is clear that  $M = xM \subseteq IM \subseteq M$ . Therefore  $IM = M$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  an  $R$ -module with  $|\text{Coass}_R(N)| < \infty$ . Then  $M \otimes_R N = 0$  if and only if there exists an  $N$ -coregular element in  $\text{Ann}_R(M)$ .*

**Proof.** By using [18, Theorem 1.9 and Theorem 1.21] we have

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_R N = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \text{Coass}_R(M \otimes_R N) = \phi \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Supp } M \cap \text{Coass}_R(N) = \phi \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Supp}_R(R/\text{Ann}_R(M)) \cap \text{Coass}(N) = \phi \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Coass}_R(R/\text{Ann}_R(M) \otimes_R N) = \phi \\ &\Leftrightarrow R/\text{Ann}_R(M) \otimes_R N = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow N = \text{Ann}_R(M)N. \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows by Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

The following result is an extention of [15, Theorem 3.9] for weakly colaskerian modules. The proof is similar to that of [15, Theorem 3.9].

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $N$  be a weakly colaskerian  $R$ -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i < n$  and for any finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $\text{Supp } M \subseteq V(I)$ .
- (ii)  $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N) = 0$  for all  $i < n$ .
- (iii)  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i < n$  and for a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $\text{Supp } M = V(I)$ .

*If in addition,  $(0 :_N I) \neq 0$ , then the above three conditions are equivalent to the following condition:*

- (iv) *There exists an  $N$ -coregular sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  in  $I$ .*

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) are trivial.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): By induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 1$  and  $M \otimes_R N = 0$ . Thus by Lemma 2.4 there exists  $x \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$  such that  $xN = N$ . Since  $\text{Supp } M = V(I)$  there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $x^k \in I$ . Thus  $IN = N$  and so the result follows in this case. Now suppose, inductively that  $n > 1$  and the result is true for  $n - 1$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 :_N x^k \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{x^k} N \longrightarrow 0$$

we get the following exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \xrightarrow{x^k} \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^R(M, 0 :_N x^k) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Assumption and the above long exact sequence implies that  $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, 0 :_N x^k) = 0$  for all  $i < n - 1$ . By Lemma 2.2 (i)  $(0 :_N x^k)$  is weakly colaskerian module and so the induction assumption implies that  $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, 0 :_N x^k) = 0$  for all  $i < n - 1$ . On the other hand, from the above short exact sequence we have the following long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N) \xrightarrow{x^k} \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^R(R/I, 0 :_N x^k) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Thus for any integer  $i < n$  we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N) \xrightarrow{x^k} \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Since  $x^k \in I$  the above multiplication map is a zero-map. Therefore  $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N) = 0$  for all  $i < n$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): By using induction similar to the above argument.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): We use induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 1$  and  $M \otimes_R N = 0$ . In this case, the result follows by an argument similar to Lemma 2.4.

Let  $n > 1$ . Thus there exists an  $N$ -coregular element  $x_1 \in I$ . By assumption  $0 :_N x_1 \neq 0$  and so from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 :_N x_1 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{x_1} N \longrightarrow 0$$

we have the following exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^R(M, 0 :_N x_1) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Thus we obtain  $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, 0 :_N x_1) = 0$  for all  $i < n - 1$ . By Lemma 2.2 (i)  $(0 :_N x_1)$  is weakly colaskerian module and so the induction assumption implies that there exists an  $(0 :_N x_1)$ -coregular sequence  $(x_2, \dots, x_n)$  in  $I$ . Therefore  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is a  $N$ -coregular sequence in  $I$ , as required.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): The proof is similar to the proof of [15, Theorem 3.9 (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)].  $\square$

Ooishi [15] prove that, if  $N$  is artinian and  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that  $(0 :_N I) \neq 0$  then the length of an  $N$ -coregular sequence in  $I$  is finite and

$$\mathrm{width}_I(N) = \inf\{i \mid \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N) \neq 0\}.$$

The next result shows that this result is still true for weakly colaskerian modules.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $N$  a weakly colaskerian  $R$ -module such that  $(0 :_N I) \neq 0$ . Then*

$$\mathrm{width}_I(N) = \inf\{i \mid \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N) \neq 0\} .$$

**Proof.** It follows by Lemma 2.5.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ ,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  be an artinian  $R$ -module. Let  $n$  be an integer and  $I_M := \text{Ann}_R(M/IM)$ . Then*

$$\bigcup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(R/IM, N)) = \bigcup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N)).$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.2 (ii)  ${}_p N$  is weakly colaskerian  $R_p$ -module for all  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . On the other hand,  $M_p/(IM)_p \simeq M_p/I_p M_p$  is a finitely generated  $R_p$ -module and so,

$$\text{Supp}_{R_p}(M_p/I_p M_p) = V(\text{Ann}_{R_p}(M_p/I_p M_p)) = V(\text{Ann}_R(M/IM)_p) = V((I_M)_p).$$

Thus by using Lemma 2.5 (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii), for all  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$  we have

$$\text{Tor}_i^{R_p}(R_p/(I_M)_p, {}_p N) = 0, \quad \forall i < n \Leftrightarrow \text{Tor}_i^{R_p}(M_p/(IM)_p, {}_p N) = 0, \quad \forall i < n.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} p \notin \bigcup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(R/IM, N)) &\Leftrightarrow {}_p(\text{Tor}_i^R(R/IM, N)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < n \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Tor}_i^{R_p}(R_p/(I_M)_p, {}_p N) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < n \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Tor}_i^{R_p}(M_p/(IM)_p, {}_p N) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < n \\ &\Leftrightarrow {}_p(\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < n \\ &\Leftrightarrow p \notin \bigcup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N)). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ ,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a linearly compact  $R$ -module. Then*

- i)  $H_i^I(M, N) \simeq H_i^{\sqrt{I}}(M, N)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ ,
- ii)  $H_i^I(M, N) \simeq H_i^{I_M}(M, N)$  for all  $i \geq 0$  where  $I_M = \text{Ann}_R(M/IM)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Since  $R$  is Noetherian, there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $(\sqrt{I})^k \subseteq I$ . Thus for all  $t > 0$  we have

$$(\sqrt{I})^{kt}(M \otimes_R N) \subseteq I^t(M \otimes_R N) \subseteq (\sqrt{I})^t(M \otimes_R N)$$

hence

$$\lim_{\leftarrow t} \frac{M \otimes_R N}{I^t(M \otimes_R N)} \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow t} \frac{M \otimes_R N}{(\sqrt{I})^t(M \otimes_R N)}.$$

By notation of [13, Definition 3.1] we have  $\Lambda_I(M, N) \simeq \Lambda_{\sqrt{I}}(M, N)$ .

Thus  $L_i \Lambda_I(M, N) \simeq L_i \Lambda_{\sqrt{I}}(M, N)$  where  $L_i \Lambda_I(M, N)$  is the  $i$ -th derived module of  $\Lambda_I(M, N)$  (see [13]). Now the result follows by [13, Theorem 3.6].

(ii) By [16, 9.23] we have  $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M/IM)} = \sqrt{I + \text{Ann}_R(M)}$  and so  $H_i^{I_M}(M, N) \simeq H_i^{I + \text{Ann}_R(M)}(M, N)$  by (i). But, by using definition, it is easy to see that  $H_i^{I + \text{Ann}_R(M)}(M, N) \simeq H_i^I(M, N)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Thus  $H_i^I(M, N) \simeq H_i^{I_M}(M, N)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . □

In the next theorem we obtain a vanishing result of generalized local homology modules.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ ,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a weakly colaskerian linearly compact  $R$ -module. Then  $H_i^I(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i < n$  if and only if  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N) = 0$  for all  $i < n$ .*

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$ ) By induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 1$ . If  $H_0^I(M, N) = \varprojlim_t (M/I^t M \otimes_R N) = 0$  then  $M/IM \otimes_R N = 0$ . Let  $n > 1$ . By Lemma 2.4, there exists  $x \in \text{Ann}_R(M/IM)$  such that  $xN = N$ . Since  $\varphi : N \xrightarrow{x} N$  is a continuous  $R$ -module homomorphism, and  $0$  is a closed submodule of  $N$ ,  $\ker(\varphi) = (0 :_N x)$  is linearly compact  $R$ -module by [3, Lemma 2.2]. Thus  $0 \rightarrow 0 :_N x \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of linearly compact modules and by using [13, Corollary 3.7] we have the following exact sequences:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_i^I(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_i^I(M, N) \rightarrow H_{i-1}^I(M, 0 :_N x) \rightarrow \cdots ,$$

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i-1}^R(M/IM, 0 :_N x) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

By using assumption, the first sequence implies that  $H_i^I(M, 0 :_N x) = 0$  for all  $i < n - 1$ . But by Lemma 2.2 (i)  $(0 :_N x)$  is weakly colaskerian  $R$ -module. Therefore, by the induction assumption we conclude that  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, 0 :_N x) = 0$  for all  $i < n - 1$ . Since  $x.(M/IM) = 0$ , the multiplication map in the second sequence is surjective and zero-map for all  $i < n$ . Therefore we get  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N) = 0$  for all  $i < n$ , as required.

$\Leftarrow$ ) We use induction on  $n$ . Let  $n=1$ . If  $M/IM \otimes_R N = 0$  then  $M/I^t M \otimes_R N = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and so  $\varprojlim_t (M/I^t M \otimes_R N) = H_0^I(M, N) = 0$ . Thus the result follows in this case. Now suppose, inductively that  $n > 1$  and the result is true for  $n - 1$ . Let  $I_M := \text{Ann}_R(M/IM)$ . Lemma 2.4 implies that there exists  $x \in I_M$  such that  $xN = N$ . From the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 :_N x \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \longrightarrow 0$$

we have the following long exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i-1}^R(M/IM, 0 :_N x) \rightarrow \cdots ,$$

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_i^{I_M}(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_i^{I_M}(M, N) \rightarrow H_{i-1}^{I_M}(M, 0 :_N x) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

The first above sequence implies that  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, 0 :_N x) = 0$  for all  $i < n - 1$ . Thus by the induction assumption, we get  $H_i^I(M, 0 :_N x) = 0$  for all  $i < n - 1$  and so by Lemma 2.8(ii) we have  $H_i^{I_M}(M, 0 :_N x) = 0$  for all  $i < n - 1$ . Thus the second long exact sequence implies that  $H_i^{I_M}(M, N) = x H_i^{I_M}(M, N)$ . Since  $x \in I_M$  by [14, Proposition 2.3(i)] we have  $\cap_{t>0} x^t H_i^{I_M}(M, N) = 0$ . Thus  $H_i^{I_M}(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i < n$ . By Lemma 2.8(ii) we conclude that  $H_i^I(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i < n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ ,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  a weakly colaskerian linearly compact  $R$ -module. Let*

$I_M := \text{Ann}(M/IM)$  such that  $(0 :_N I_M) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\text{width}_{I_M}(N) = \inf\{i \mid H_i^{I_M}(N) \neq 0\} = \inf\{i \mid H_i^I(M, N) \neq 0\}.$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.5

$$\text{width}_{I_M}(N) = \inf\{i \mid \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I_M, N) \neq 0\} = \inf\{i \mid \text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N) \neq 0\}$$

But by Theorem 2.9 we have

$$\inf\{i \mid \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I_M, N) \neq 0\} = \inf\{i \mid H_i^{I_M}(N) \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\inf\{i \mid \text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N) \neq 0\} = \inf\{i \mid H_i^I(M, N) \neq 0\}.$$

and so the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ ,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  be an artinian  $R$ -module. Let  $n$  be an integer. Then*

$$\bigcup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N)) = \bigcup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(H_i^I(M, N)).$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.2  ${}_{\mathfrak{p}}N$  is weakly colaskerian linearly compact  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Thus by using [13, Proposition 3.13], Theorem 2.9 and [11, Proposition 3.5] we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p} \notin \bigcup_{i < n} \text{Cos}(H_i^I(M, N)) &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}(H_i^I(M, N)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < n \\ &\Leftrightarrow (H_i^{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, {}_{\mathfrak{p}}N)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < n \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}/I_{\mathfrak{p}}M_{\mathfrak{p}}, {}_{\mathfrak{p}}N)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < n \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}(\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < n \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \notin \bigcup_{i < n} \text{Cos}(\text{Tor}_i^R(M/IM, N)). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ ,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  be an artinian  $R$ -module. Let  $n$  be an integer and let  $I_M := \text{Ann}(M/IM)$ . Then  $\bigcup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(H_i^{I_M}(N)) = \bigcup_{i < n} \text{Cos}_R(H_i^I(M, N))$ .*

**Proof.** It follows by Theorems 2.11 and 2.7.  $\square$

In the remainder, we obtain some results about Noetherianness of local homology modules over local rings.

In the following proof we need the concept of coatomic modules. Recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called coatomic, if every proper submodule of  $M$  is contained in a maximal submodule of  $M$ . This property can also be expressed by  $\text{Coass}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Max } R$ . Coatomic modules have been studied by Zöschinger [21].



**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  be an ideal of  $R$ ,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module,  $N$  be an artinian  $R$ -module and  $n$  an integer. Then  $\text{Cos}_R(\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  for all  $i < n$  if and only if  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N)$  is a Noetherian  $R$ -module for all  $i < n$ .*

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$  At first, note that by [12, Lemma 2.3]  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N)$  is linearly compact  $R$ -module for all  $i \geq 0$ .

We use induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 1$ . There exists an epimorphism  $N \rightarrow N/I^t N \rightarrow 0$  for all  $t > 0$  and so we have an epimorphism  $N \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_0^I(N) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $t > 0$ . Hence  $\mathbb{H}_0^I(N)$  is an artinian  $R$ -module. By using [5, 2.7] it is easy to see that  $\mathbb{H}_0^I(M, N) \simeq M \otimes_R \mathbb{H}_0^I(N)$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{H}_0^I(M, N)$  is artinian  $R$ -module. But  $\text{Cos}_R(\mathbb{H}_0^I(M, N)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  and so  $\mathbb{H}_0^I(M, N)$  is a Noetherian  $R$ -module by [10, Proposition 7.4].

Now, let  $n > 1$ . Since  $N$  is artinian there is a positive integer  $u$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^t N = \mathfrak{m}^u N$  for all  $t \geq u$ . Set  $K = \mathfrak{m}^u N$ . The short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/K \rightarrow 0$  induces an exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{i+1}^I(M, N/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N/K) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Clearly  $N/K$  is complete in the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology, and  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Thus  $N/K$  is complete in the  $I$ -adic topology and so by [14, Lemma 2.7]  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N/K) \simeq \text{Tor}_i^R(M, N/K)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Since  $N/K$  is artinian and  $\mathfrak{m}^u(N/K) = 0$  it follows that  $N/K$  is of finite length. Thus  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N/K)$  is of finite length and so  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N/K)$  is an  $R$ -module of finite length for all  $i \geq 0$ . So  $\text{Cos}_R(\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N/K)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  for all  $i \geq 0$  by [10, Proposition 7.4]. Now by the above long exact sequence and assumption  $\text{Cos}_R(\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  for all  $i < n$  also it follows that  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K)$  is Noetherian if and only if  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N)$  is Noetherian for all  $i < n$ . Thus it is sufficient to show that  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K)$  is Noetherian  $R$ -module for all  $i < n$ .

Since  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N)$  is linearly compact, by [2, 4.2]  $\text{Coass}_R(\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N)) \subseteq \text{Cos}_R(\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N))$  and so assumption implies that  $\text{Coass}_R(\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  for all  $i < n$ . Thus  $\text{Coass}_R(\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N))$  is coatomic  $R$ -module for all  $i < n$  and so by [21, Satz 2.4] we can find an integer  $t \geq 1$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^t \mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N)$  is Noetherian for all  $i < n$ . But  $\mathfrak{m}K = K$  and so  $\mathfrak{m}^t K = K$ . Thus there is an element  $x \in \mathfrak{m}^t$  such that  $xK = K$  by [9, 2.8]. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 0 :_K x \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{x} K \rightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K) \xrightarrow{x} \mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{i-1}^I(M, 0 :_K x) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{i-1}^I(M, K) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

From the above long exact sequence we conclude that  $\text{Cos}_R(\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, 0 :_K x)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  for all  $i < n-1$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, 0 :_K x)$  is Noetherian  $R$ -module for all  $i < n-1$ . Hence  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K)/x \mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K)$  is Noetherian  $R$ -module for all  $i < n$ . But  $x \in \mathfrak{m}^t$ . Thus  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K)/\mathfrak{m}^t \mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K)$  is Noetherian  $R$ -module for all  $i < n$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathfrak{m}^t \mathbb{H}_i^I(M, N)$  is Noetherian for all  $i < n$  it follows that  $\mathbb{H}_i^I(M, K)$  is a Noetherian  $R$ -module for all  $i < n$ , as required.

$\Leftrightarrow$ ) Since  $H_i^I(M, N)$  is linearly compact by [11, Theorem 3.8] we have  $\text{Cos}_R(H_i^I(M, N)) = \text{Cosupp}_R(H_i^I(M, N))$  for each  $i$ . Now the result follows by [18, 2.10].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.14.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  be an ideal of  $R$ ,  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  an artinian  $R$ -module. Then*

$$\inf\{i \mid \text{Cos}_R(H_i^I(M, N)) \not\subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}\} = \inf\{i \mid H_i^I(M, N) \text{ is not a Noetherian } R\text{-module}\}.$$

**Proof.** It follows by Theorem 2.13.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.15.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $N$  be an artinian  $R$ -module. Then*

$$\inf\{i \mid \text{Cos}_R(H_i^I(N)) \not\subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}\} = \inf\{i \mid H_i^I(N) \text{ is not a Noetherian } R\text{-module}\}.$$

**Proof.** It follows by Corollary 2.14 by using  $M = R$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.16.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  be an ideal of  $R$ ,  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N$  an artinian  $R$ -module. Let  $I_M := \text{Ann}_R(M/IM)$ . Then*

$$\inf\{i \mid H_i^{I_M}(N) \text{ is not Noetherian } R\text{-module}\} = \inf\{i \mid H_i^I(M, N) \text{ is not Noetherian } R\text{-module}\}.$$

**Proof.** By Corollary 2.12

$$\inf\{i \mid \text{Cos}_R(H_i^{I_M}(N)) \not\subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}\} = \inf\{i \mid \text{Cos}_R(H_i^I(M, N)) \not\subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}\}.$$

Now by using Corollaries 2.14 and 2.15 we obtain the result.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the referee for his/her useful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Brodmann, M.P., Sharp, R.Y., *Local cohomology – An algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [2] Cuong, N.T., Nam, T.T., *On the co-localization, Co-support and Co-associated primes of local homology modules*, Vietnam J. Math. **29** (4) (2001), 359–368.
- [3] Cuong, N.T., Nam, T.T., *On the representable linearly compact modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (7) (2001), 1927–1936.
- [4] Cuong, N.T., Nam, T.T., *The  $I$ -adic completion and local homology for Artinian modules*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **131** (2001), 61–72.
- [5] Cuong, N.T., Nam, T.T., *A local homology theory for linearly compact modules*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 4712–4737.
- [6] Divaani-Aazar, K., Mafi, A., *Associated primes of local cohomology modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (3) (2005), 655–660.
- [7] Greenlees, J.P.C., May, J.P., *Derived functors of  $I$ -adic completion and local homology*, J. Algebra **149** (1992), 438–453.
- [8] Macdonald, I.G., *Duality over complete local rings*, Topology **1** (1962), 213–235.
- [9] Macdonald, I.G., *Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring*, Symposia Mathematica **11** (1973), 23–43.

- [10] Melkersson, L., Schenzel, P., *The co-localization of an artinian module*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **38** (1995), 121–131.
- [11] Nam, T.T., *Co-support and Coartinian modules*, Algebra Colloquium **15** (1) (2008), 83–96.
- [12] Nam, T.T., *A finiteness result for co-associated and associated primes of generalized local homology and cohomology modules*, Comm. Algebra **37** (2009), 1748–1757.
- [13] Nam, T.T., *Left-derived functors of the generalized  $I$ -adic completion and generalized local homology*, Comm. Algebra **38** (2010), 440–453.
- [14] Nam, T.T., *Generalized local homology for artinian modules*, Algebra Colloquium **1** (2012), 11205–1212.
- [15] Ooishi, A., *Matlis duality and the width of a modul*, Hiroshima Math. J. **6** (1976), 573–587.
- [16] Shar, R.Y., *Steps in commutative algebra*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 19, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [17] Tang, Z., *Local homology theory for artinian modules*, Comm. Algebra **22** (1994), 1675–1684.
- [18] Yassemi, S., *Coassociated primes*, Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), 1473–1498.
- [19] Yen, D.N., Nam, T.T., *Generalized local homology and duality*, Int. J. Algebra Comput. **29** (3) (2019), 581–601.
- [20] Zelinsky, D., *Linearly compact modules and rings*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 79–90.
- [21] Zöschinger, H., *Koatomare Moduln*, Math. Z. **170** (1980), 221–232.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE,  
PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY,  
TEHRAN, IRAN  
*E-mail*: Sha.Rezaei@gmail.com