

REMARKS ON SPECIAL SYMPLECTIC CONNECTIONS

MARTIN PANÁK AND VOJTĚCH ŽÁDNÍK

ABSTRACT. The notion of special symplectic connections is closely related to parabolic contact geometries due to the work of M. Cahen and L. Schwachhöfer. We remind their characterization and reinterpret the result in terms of generalized Weyl connections. The aim of this paper is to provide an alternative and more explicit construction of special symplectic connections of three types from the list. This is done by pulling back an ambient linear connection from the total space of a natural scale bundle over the homogeneous model of the corresponding parabolic contact structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

Special symplectic connection on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is a torsion-free linear connection preserving ω which is special in the sense of definitions in 1.1. The definition of special symplectic connection is rather wide, however, there is a nice link between special symplectic connections and parabolic contact geometries, which was established in the profound paper [3]. The main result of that paper states that, locally, any special symplectic connection on M comes via a symplectic reduction from a specific linear connection on a one-dimension bigger contact manifold \mathcal{C} , the homogeneous model of some parabolic contact geometry. All the necessary background on parabolic contact geometries is collected in section 2. The construction and the characterization from [3] is quickly reminded in section 3, culminating in Theorem 3.2.

In the next section we provide an alternative and rather direct approach to special symplectic connections. Firstly we reinterpret the previous results in terms of parabolic geometries so that the specific linear connections on \mathcal{C} are exactly the exact Weyl connections corresponding to specific choices of scales. A choice of scale further defines a bundle projection from $T\mathcal{C}$ to the contact distribution $D \subset T\mathcal{C}$ and this gives rise to a partial contact connection on D . By the very construction, the only ingredient which yields the special symplectic connection on M is just the partial contact connection associated to the choice of scale, Proposition 4.2.

Finally, the direct construction of special symplectic connections works via a pull-back of an ambient symplectic connection on the total space of a canonical

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 53D15; secondary 53C15, 53B15.

Key words and phrases: special symplectic connections, parabolic contact geometries, Weyl structures and connections.

The first author was supported by the grant nr. 201/05/P088, the second author by the grant nr. 201/06/P379, both grants of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

scale bundle $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Namely for several specified cases we can find a convenient ambient connection on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and then compare the exact Weyl connection and the pull-back connection on \mathcal{C} corresponding to the choice of scale so that they coincide on the contact distribution D , Theorem 4.3. By the previous results, they give rise the same symplectic connection on M after the reduction. This construction applies to the projective contact structures, CR structures of hypersurface type, and Lagrangean contact structures, which are dealt in subsections 4.4, 4.5, and 4.6, respectively.

1.1. Special symplectic connections. Given a smooth manifold M with a symplectic structure $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$, linear connection ∇ on M is said to be *symplectic* if it is torsion free and ω is parallel with respect to ∇ . There is a lot of symplectic connections to a given symplectic structure, hence studying this subject, further restrictive conditions appear. Following the article [3], we consider the *special symplectic connections* defined as symplectic connections belonging to some of the following classes:

(i) *Connections of Ricci type.* The curvature tensor of a symplectic connection decomposes under the action of the symplectic group into two irreducible components. One of them corresponds to the Ricci curvature and the other one is the Ricci-flat part. If the curvature tensor consists only of the Ricci curvature part, then the connection is said to be of Ricci type.

(ii) *Bochner–Kähler connections.* Let the symplectic form be the Kähler form of a (pseudo-)Kähler metric and let the connection preserve this (pseudo-)Kähler structure. The curvature tensor decomposes similarly as in the previous case into two parts but this time under the action of the (pseudo-)unitary group. These are called Ricci curvature and Bochner curvature. If the Bochner curvature vanishes, the connection is called Bochner–Kähler.

(iii) *Bochner–bi-Lagrangean connections.* A bi-Lagrangean structure on a symplectic manifold consists of two complementary Lagrangean distributions. If a symplectic connection preserves such structure, i.e. both the Lagrangean distributions are parallel, then again the curvature tensor decomposes into the Ricci and Bochner part. If the Bochner curvature vanishes, we speak about Bochner–bi-Lagrangean connections.

(iv) *Connections with special symplectic holonomies.* We say that a symplectic connection has special symplectic holonomy if its holonomy is contained in a proper absolutely irreducible subgroup of the symplectic group. Special symplectic holonomies are completely classified and studied by various people.

Connections of Ricci type are characterized in the interesting article [2], see remark 4.4(a) for some detail. The Bochner–Kähler metrics (marginally also the Bochner–bi-Lagrangean structures) have been thoroughly studied in the deep article [1]. See also [11] for further investigation of the subject which is more relevant to our recent interests. For more remarks and references on special symplectic connections we generally refer to [3].

Note that all the previous definitions admit an analogy in complex/holomorphic setting but we are dealing only with the real structures in this paper.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank in the first place to Andreas Čap for the fruitful discussions and suggestions concerning mostly the Weyl structures, especially in the technical part of 4.4. Among others we would like to mention Lorenz Schwachhöfer, Jan Slovák and Jiří Vanžura, who were willing to discuss some aspects of the geometries in this article.

2. PARABOLIC CONTACT GEOMETRIES AND WEYL CONNECTIONS

In this section we provide the necessary background from parabolic geometries and generalized Weyl structures as can be found in [13], [7] or, the most comprehensively, in [6].

2.1. Parabolic contact geometries. Semisimple Lie algebra admits a *contact grading* if there is a grading $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ such that \mathfrak{g}_{-2} is one dimensional and the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \times \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ is non-degenerate. If \mathfrak{g} admits a contact grading, then \mathfrak{g} has to be simple. Any complex simple Lie algebra, except $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, admits a unique contact grading, but this is not guaranteed generally in real case. However, the split real form of complex simple Lie algebra and most of non-compact non-complex real Lie algebras admit a contact grading.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a real simple Lie algebra admitting a contact grading, let $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ be the corresponding parabolic subalgebra, and let $\mathfrak{p}_+ := \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$. Let further $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ be the center of \mathfrak{g}_0 . Let $E \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ be the grading element of \mathfrak{g} and let $\mathfrak{g}'_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ be the orthogonal complement of E with respect to the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . From the invariance of the Killing form and the fact that $[\mathfrak{g}_{-2}, \mathfrak{g}_2] = \langle E \rangle$, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ is equivalently characterized by the fact that $[\mathfrak{g}'_0, \mathfrak{g}_2] = 0$. For later use let us denote $\mathfrak{p}' := \mathfrak{g}'_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_+$.¹

For a semisimple Lie group G and a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$, *parabolic geometry* of type (G, P) on a smooth manifold M consists of a principal P -bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$ and a Cartan connection $\eta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$, where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G . If \mathfrak{g} is simple Lie algebra admitting a contact grading and the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of P corresponds to this grading, then we speak about *parabolic contact geometry*. The contact grading of \mathfrak{g} gives rise to a contact structure on M as follows. Under the usual identification $TM \cong \mathcal{G} \times_P \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$ via η , the P -invariant subspace $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$, defines a distribution $D \subset TM$, namely

$$(1) \quad D \cong \mathcal{G} \times_P (\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}.$$

For regular parabolic geometries of these types, the distribution $D \subset TM$ defined by (1) is a contact distribution. The Lie bracket of vector fields induces the so-called *Levi bracket* on the associated graded bundle $\text{gr}(TM) = D \oplus TM/D$, which is an algebraic bracket of the form $\mathcal{L} : D \wedge D \rightarrow TM/D$. The regularity means the Levi bracket corresponds to the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$.

Any contact distribution can be always given as the kernel of a *contact form* $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$, i.e. a one-form such that $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$ is a volume form on M . In particular, the restriction of $d\theta$ to $D \wedge D$ is non-degenerate. For any choice of contact form θ , let $r_\theta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be the corresponding *Reeb vector field*, i.e. the unique vector field

¹Note that in [3] the essential subalgebras \mathfrak{g}'_0 and \mathfrak{p}' are denoted by \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{p}_0 , respectively.

on M satisfying $r_\theta \lrcorner d\theta = 0$ and $\theta(r_\theta) = 1$. This further provides a trivialization of the quotient bundle TM/D so that $TM \cong D \oplus \mathbb{R}$. Next, if X and Y are sections of $D = \ker \theta$ then $d\theta(X, Y) = -\theta([X, Y])$ by the definition of exterior differential. Altogether, under the trivialization above, the restriction of $d\theta$ to $D \wedge D$ coincides with the Levi bracket \mathcal{L} up to the sign.

2.2. Weyl structures. Let $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \eta)$ be a parabolic geometry of type (G, P) . Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebras of the Lie groups $P \subset G$ and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$ be the corresponding grading of \mathfrak{g} . Let $G_0 \subset P$ be the Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 and let $P_+ := \exp \mathfrak{p}_+$ so that $P = G_0 \rtimes P_+$. Let further $\mathcal{G}_0 := \mathcal{G}/P_+ \rightarrow M$ be the underlying G_0 -bundle and let $\pi_0 : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$ be the canonical projection. The filtration of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} gives rise to a filtration of TM and the principal G_0 -bundle $\mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow M$ plays the role of the frame bundle of the associated graded $\text{gr}(TM)$. The reduction of the structure group of TM to G_0 often corresponds to an additional geometric structure on M and this collection of data we call the *underlying structure* on M (see e.g. [7] for more precise formulations).

A *Weyl structure* for the parabolic geometry $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \eta)$ is a global smooth G_0 -equivariant section $\sigma : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of the projection π_0 . In particular, any Weyl structure provides a reduction of the P -principal bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$ to the subgroup $G_0 \subset P$. Denote by η_i the \mathfrak{g}_i -component of the Cartan connection $\eta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$. For a Weyl structure $\sigma : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, the pull-back $\sigma^*\eta_0$ defines a principal connection on the principal bundle \mathcal{G}_0 ; this is called the *Weyl connection* of the Weyl structure σ . Next, the form $\sigma^*\eta_- \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{g}_-)$ provides an identification of the tangent bundle TM with the associated graded tangent bundle $\text{gr}(TM)$ and the form $\sigma^*\eta_+ \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{p}_+)$ is called the *Rho-tensor*, denoted by P^σ . The Rho-tensor is used to compare the Cartan connection η on \mathcal{G} and the principal connection on \mathcal{G} extending the Weyl connection $\sigma^*\eta_0$ from the image of $\sigma : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$.

Any Weyl connection induces connections on all bundles associated to \mathcal{G}_0 , in particular, there is an induced linear connection on TM . By definition, any Weyl connection preserves the underlying structure on M . On the other hand, there are particularly convenient bundles such that the induced connection from $\sigma^*\eta_0$ is sufficient to determine whole the Weyl structure σ . These are the so-called bundles of scales, the oriented line bundles over M defined as follows.

2.3. Scales and exact Weyl connections. Let $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow M$ be a principal \mathbb{R}_+ -bundle associated to \mathcal{G}_0 . This is determined by a group homomorphism $\lambda : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ whose derivative is denoted by $\lambda' : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 is reductive, i.e. \mathfrak{g}_0 splits into a direct sum of the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and the semisimple part, hence the only elements that can act non-trivially by λ' are from $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. Next, the restriction of the Killing form B to \mathfrak{g}_0 and further to $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is non-degenerate. Altogether, for any representation $\lambda' : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there is a unique element $E_\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ such that

$$(2) \quad \lambda'(A) = B(E_\lambda, A)$$

for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. By Schur's lemma, E_λ acts by a real scalar on any irreducible representation of G_0 . An element $E_\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is called a *scaling element* if it acts by a non-zero real scalar on each G_0 -irreducible component of \mathfrak{p}_+ . (In general,

the grading element of \mathfrak{g} is a scaling element.) A *bundle of scales* is a principal \mathbb{R}_+ -bundle associated to \mathcal{G}_0 via a homomorphism $\lambda : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, whose derivative is given by (2) for some scaling element E_λ . Bundle of scales $\mathcal{L}^\lambda \rightarrow M$ corresponding to λ is naturally identified with $\mathcal{G}_0/\ker \lambda$, the orbit space of the action of the normal subgroup $\ker \lambda \subset G_0$ on \mathcal{G}_0 .

Let $\mathcal{L}^\lambda \rightarrow M$ be a fixed bundle of scales and let $\sigma : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a Weyl structure of a parabolic geometry $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \eta)$. Then the Weyl connection $\sigma^*\eta_0$ on \mathcal{G}_0 induces a principal connection on \mathcal{L}^λ and [7, Theorem 3.12] shows that this mapping establishes a bijective correspondence between the set of Weyl structures and the set of principal connections on \mathcal{L}^λ . Note that the surjectivity part of the statement is rather implicit, however there is a distinguished subclass of Weyl structures which allow more satisfactory interpretation, namely the *exact Weyl structures* defined as follows. Any bundle of scales is trivial and so it admits global smooth sections, which we usually refer to as *choices of scale*. Any choice of scale gives rise to a flat principal connection on \mathcal{L}^λ and the corresponding Weyl structure is then called *exact*.

Furthermore, due to the identification $\mathcal{L}^\lambda = \mathcal{G}_0/\ker \lambda$, the sections of $\mathcal{L}^\lambda \rightarrow M$ are in a bijective correspondence with reductions of the principal bundle $\mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow M$ to the structure group $\ker \lambda \subset G_0$. Altogether for any choice of scale, the composition of the two reductions above is a reduction of the principal P -bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$ to the structure group $\ker \lambda \subset G_0 \subset P$; let us denote the resulting bundle by \mathcal{G}'_0 . Hence the corresponding exact Weyl connection has holonomy in $\ker \lambda$ and by general principles from the theory of G -structures, it preserves the geometric quantity corresponding to the choice of scale.

In the cases of parabolic contact geometries, the canonical candidate for the bundle of scales is the bundle of positive contact one-forms. Note that this is the bundle of scales corresponding to (a non-zero multiple of) the grading element $E \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, hence the Lie subalgebra $\ker \lambda' \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ is identified with \mathfrak{g}'_0 from 2.1. Let G'_0 be the connected subgroup in G corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}'_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Reinterpreting the general principles above: the choice of a contact one-form $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ yields a reduction $\mathcal{G}'_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ of the principal bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$ to the subgroup $G'_0 \subset P$ and a principal connection on \mathcal{G}'_0 , which preserves not only the underlying structure on M (so in particular the contact distribution $D = \ker \theta$), but moreover the form θ itself. In other words, θ is parallel with respect to the induced linear connection on TM .

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF SPECIAL SYMPLECTIC CONNECTIONS

In this section the quick review of the construction of the special symplectic connections from the article [3] is described. Consult e.g. [8] for details on invariant symplectic structures on homogeneous spaces.

3.1. Adjoint orbit and its projectivization. Let \mathfrak{g} be a real simple Lie algebra admitting a contact grading and let $e^2_+ \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a maximal root element, i.e. a generator of \mathfrak{g}_2 . Let G be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Consider the

adjoint orbit of e_+^2 and its oriented projectivization:

$$(3) \quad \hat{\mathcal{C}} := \text{Ad}_G(e_+^2) \subset \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathcal{C} := \mathcal{P}^o(\hat{\mathcal{C}}) \subset \mathcal{P}^o(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The restriction of the natural projection $p : \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^o(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ yields the principal \mathbb{R}_+ -bundle $p : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which we call *the cone*. The right action of \mathbb{R}_+ is just the multiplication by positive real scalars. The fundamental vector field of this action is the *Euler vector field* \hat{E} defined as $\hat{E}(x) := x$, for any $x \in \hat{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Since $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is an adjoint orbit of G in \mathfrak{g} , and \mathfrak{g} can be identified with \mathfrak{g}^* via the Killing form, there is a canonical G -invariant symplectic form $\hat{\Omega}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. For any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$, the value of $\hat{\Omega}$ is given by the formula

$$\hat{\Omega}(\text{ad}_X^*(\alpha), \text{ad}_Y^*(\alpha)) := \alpha([X, Y]),$$

where $\text{ad}^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is the infinitesimal coadjoint representation and $\text{ad}_X^*(\alpha) = -\alpha \circ \text{ad}_X$ is viewed as an element of $T_\alpha \hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Under the identification $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$ the previous formula reads as

$$(4) \quad \hat{\Omega}(\text{ad}_X(a), \text{ad}_Y(a)) = B(a, [X, Y]),$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $a \in \hat{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, where $B : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Killing form. The Euler vector field and the canonical symplectic form defines a (canonical) G -invariant one-form $\hat{\alpha}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ by

$$(5) \quad \hat{\alpha} := \frac{1}{2} \hat{E} \lrcorner \hat{\Omega}.$$

Immediately from definitions it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{E}} \hat{\Omega} = 2\hat{\Omega}$ and consequently $d\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\Omega}$.

Lemma. *Let $p : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the cone defined by (3) and let $P' \subset P$ be the connected subgroups in G corresponding to the subalgebras $\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ from 2.1. Then $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong G/P'$ and $\mathcal{C} \cong G/P$ so that the contact distribution $D \subset T(G/P)$ is identified with $Tp \cdot \ker \hat{\alpha} \subset TC$.*

Proof. By definition, the group G acts transitively both on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \hat{\mathcal{C}}/\mathbb{R}_+$. Since $[A, e_+^2] = 0$ if and only if $A \in \mathfrak{p}'$ and we assume the Lie subgroup $P' \subset G$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is connected, the stabilizer of e_+^2 is precisely P' . Hence the orbit $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is identified with the homogeneous space G/P' . Since $P \supset P'$ is also connected, P/P' is identified with the subgroup $\{\exp tE : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \cong \mathbb{R}_+$ in P . Hence P preserves the ray of positive multiples of e_+^2 so that $\mathcal{C} = \hat{\mathcal{C}}/\mathbb{R}_+$ is identified with G/P .

For the last part of the statement, note that the Euler vector field is generated by (a non-zero multiple of) the grading element $E \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. The canonical one-form $\hat{\alpha}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is G -invariant, so it is determined by its value in the origin $o \in G/P'$, i.e. $e_+^2 \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$, which is a P' -invariant one-form ϕ on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}'$. By (4) and (5), ϕ is explicitly given as $\phi(X) = B(e_+^2, [E, X])$, possibly up to a non-zero scalar multiple. The formula is obviously independent of the representative of X in $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}'$ and the kernel of ϕ is just $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}'$. The tangent map of the projection $p : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ corresponds to the natural projection $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$, hence $Tp \cdot \ker \hat{\alpha} \subset TC$ corresponds to $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$ which defines the contact distribution $D \subset T(G/P)$ in (1). \square

Remarks. (a) Note that in contrast to the definition of the cone in [3] we do not assume the center of G is trivial. Hence the two approaches differ by a (usually finite) covering. Because of the very local character of all the constructions that follow, this causes no problem and we will not mention the difference below.

(b) The homogeneous space $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong G/P'$ is an example of a symplectic homogeneous space, i.e. a homogeneous space with an invariant symplectic structure. According to [8, Corollary 1], for G being semisimple, any simply connected symplectic homogeneous space of a Lie group G is isomorphic to a covering of some G -orbit in \mathfrak{g} , which is thought with the (restriction of the) canonical symplectic form. Moreover the covering map and hence the orbit are unique.

(c) According to [3, Prop. 3.2], the bundle $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ can be identified with the bundle of positive contact forms on \mathcal{C} so that $\hat{\Omega} = d\hat{\alpha}$ corresponds to the restriction of the canonical symplectic form on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{C}$. In detail, a section $s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ yields the contact one-form $\theta_s := s^*\hat{\alpha}$ and, by the naturality of the exterior differential, $d\theta_s = s^*\hat{\Omega}$.

3.2. General construction. Let a be an element of a real simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} admitting a contact grading. With the notation as before, let ξ_a be the fundamental vector field of the left action of G on $\mathcal{C} \cong G/P$ corresponding to $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let us denote by \mathcal{C}_a the (open) subset in \mathcal{C} where ξ_a is transverse to the contact distribution $D \subset T\mathcal{C}$ and oriented in accordance with a fixed orientation of $T\mathcal{C}/D$. The vector field ξ_a gives rise to a unique contact one-form θ_a on \mathcal{C}_a such that ξ_a is its Reeb field. In other words, $\theta_a \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{C}_a)$ is uniquely determined by the conditions

$$(6) \quad \ker \theta_a = D \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_a(\xi_a) = 1.$$

Since ξ_a is a contact symmetry, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_a}D \subset D$, it easily follows that $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_a}\theta_a = 0$ and consequently $\xi_a \lrcorner d\theta_a = 0$. Let $T_a \subset G$ denote the one-parameter subgroup corresponding to the fixed element $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. We say that an open subset $U \subset \mathcal{C}_a$ is *regular* if the local leaf space $M_U := T_a \setminus U$ is a manifold. Since $\xi_a \lrcorner d\theta_a = 0$ and $d\theta_a$ has maximal rank, it descends to a symplectic form ω_a on M_U , for any regular $U \subset \mathcal{C}_a$.

Next, let $\pi: G \rightarrow G/P \cong \mathcal{C}$ be the canonical P -principal bundle and consider its restriction to \mathcal{C}_a . If \mathcal{C}_a is non-empty, then [3, Theorem 3.4] describes explicitly a subset Γ_a in $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_a) \subset G$, which forms a G'_0 -principal bundle over \mathcal{C}_a where G'_0 is the subgroup of P as in 2.3. For a regular open subset $U \subset \mathcal{C}_a$, denote $\Gamma_U := \pi^{-1}(U) \subset \Gamma_a$. Note that Γ_U is invariant under the action of T_a . Denoting $B_U := T_a \setminus \Gamma_U$, $B_U \rightarrow M_U$ is a G'_0 -principal bundle and [3, Theorem 3.5] shows that the restriction of the $(\mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}'_0)$ -component of the Maurer–Cartan form $\mu \in \Omega^1(G, \mathfrak{g})$ to Γ_U descends to a $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}'_0)$ -valued coframe on B_U . Altogether, the bundle $B_U \rightarrow M_U$ is interpreted as a classical G'_0 -structure and the \mathfrak{g}'_0 -part of the coframe above induces a linear connection on M_U . It turns out this connection is special symplectic connection with respect to the symplectic form ω_a .

Surprisingly, [3, Theorem B] proves that any special symplectic connection can be at least locally obtained by the previous construction. With an assumption on

$\dim \mathfrak{g} \geq 14$, which is equivalent to $\dim M_U \geq 4$, we reformulate the main result of [3] as follows.

Theorem ([3]). *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of dimension ≥ 14 admitting a contact grading. With the same notation as above, let $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ be such that $C_a \subset \mathcal{C}$ is non-empty and let $U \subset C_a$ be regular. Then*

- (a) *the local quotient M_U carries a special symplectic connection,*
- (b) *locally, connections from (a) exhaust all the special symplectic connections.*

An instance of the correspondence between the various classes of special symplectic connections and contact gradings of simple Lie algebras is as follows. For $\dim M_U = 2n$, special symplectic connections of type (i), (ii) and (iii), according to the definitions in 1.1, corresponds to the contact grading of simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sp}(2n + 2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{su}(p + 1, q + 1)$ with $p + q = n$, and $\mathfrak{sl}(n + 2, \mathbb{R})$, respectively. The corresponding parabolic contact structure on $\mathcal{C} \cong G/P$ is the projective contact structure, CR structure of hypersurface type, and Lagrangean contact structure, respectively. Details on each of these structures are treated in the next section in details.

4. ALTERNATIVE REALIZATION OF SPECIAL SYMPLECTIC CONNECTIONS

Below we describe parabolic contact structures corresponding to special symplectic connections of type (i), (ii) and (iii) as mentioned above. The aim of this section is, for each of the listed cases, to provide the characterization of Theorem 3.2, and so the realization of special symplectic connections, in more explicit and satisfactory way. For this purpose we interpret the model cone $p: \hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong G/P' \rightarrow G/P \cong \mathcal{C}$ in each particular case and look for a natural ambient connection $\hat{\nabla}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ which is good enough to give rise the easier interpretation. We start with a reinterpretation of the construction from 3.2 in terms of Weyl structures and connections.

4.1. Partial contact connections. In order to formulate the next results we need the notion of partial contact connections. For a general distribution $D \subset TM$ on a smooth manifold M , a *partial linear connection* on M is an operator $\Gamma(D) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ satisfying the usual conditions for linear connections. In other words, we modify the notion of linear connection on TM just by the requirement to differentiate only in the directions lying in D . If a partial linear connection preserves D , then restricting also the second argument to D yields an operator of the type $\Gamma(D) \times \Gamma(D) \rightarrow \Gamma(D)$; in the case the distribution $D \subset TM$ is contact, we speak about the *partial contact connection*.

Given a contact distribution $D \subset TM$ and a classical linear connection ∇ on M , any choice of a contact one-form induces a partial contact connection ∇^D as follows. Let $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ be a contact one-form with the contact subbundle D and let r_θ be the corresponding Reeb vector field as in 2.1. Let us denote by $\pi_\theta: TM \rightarrow D$ the bundle projection induced by θ , namely the projection to D in the direction of $\langle r_\theta \rangle \subset TM$. Now for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$, the formula

$$(7) \quad \nabla_X^D Y := \pi_\theta(\nabla_X Y)$$

defines a partial contact connection and we say that ∇^D is induced from ∇ by θ . The *contact torsion* of the partial contact connection ∇^D is a tensor field of type $D \wedge D \rightarrow D$ defined as the projection to D of the classical torsion. More precisely, if ∇^D is induced from ∇ by θ , T^D denotes the contact torsion of ∇^D and T is the torsion of ∇ , then $T^D(X, Y) = \pi_\theta(T(X, Y)) = \nabla_X^D Y - \nabla_Y^D X - \pi_\theta([X, Y])$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.

4.2. General construction revisited. In the construction of special symplectic connection in 3.2, we started with a choice of an element $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ which in particular induced a contact one-form θ_a on \mathcal{C}_a . Then we described the G'_0 -principal bundle $\Gamma_a \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_a$ which is actually a reduction of the P -principal bundle $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_a) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_a$ to the structure group $G'_0 \subset P$. In terms of subsection 2.3, the couple $(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_a) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_a, \mu)$ forms a flat parabolic geometry of type (G, P) and the contact form θ_a represents a choice of scale. In this vein, the reduction $\Gamma_a \subset \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_a)$ above is interpreted as (the image of) an exact Weyl structure and below we show this is exactly the one corresponding to θ_a . In particular, the restriction of the \mathfrak{g}'_0 -part of the Maurer–Cartan form μ to Γ_a defines the exact Weyl connection preserving θ_a .

Further restriction to a regular subset $U \subset \mathcal{C}_a$ and the factorization by T_a finally yielded a special symplectic connection on $M_U = T_a \setminus U$. In the current setting together with the definitions in 4.1, it is obvious that the resulting connection on M_U is fully determined by the partial contact connection induced by θ_a from the exact Weyl connection on $U \subset \mathcal{C}_a$ corresponding to θ_a . Since any Weyl connection preserves the contact distribution D , the induced partial contact connection is just the restriction to the directions in D . Altogether, we can recapitulate the results in 3.2 as follows.

Proposition. *Let $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ be so that $\mathcal{C}_a \subset \mathcal{C}$ is non-empty and let $U \subset \mathcal{C}_a$ be regular. Let θ_a be the contact one-form on $U \subset \mathcal{C}_a$ determined by $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ as in (6). Then the special symplectic connection on M_U constructed in 3.2 is fully determined by the partial contact connection induced from the exact Weyl connection corresponding to θ_a .*

Proof. According to the discussion above, we only need to show that the exact Weyl structure represented by $\Gamma_a \subset G$ is the one corresponding to the scale θ_a . This easily follows from the definitions of θ_a and Γ_a :

The contact one-form θ_a is defined in (6) by ξ_a , the fundamental vector field corresponding to the element $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. The vector field ξ_a on $\mathcal{C}_a \subset G/P$ is the projection of the right invariant vector field on $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_a) \subset G$ generated by a . Using the identification $TC \cong G \times_P (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})$, the frame form corresponding to ξ_a is the equivariant map $G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$ given by $g \mapsto \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(a) + \mathfrak{p}$.

On the other hand, the subset $\Gamma_a \subset \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_a)$ is explicitly described in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.4] as

$$\Gamma_a = \left\{ g \in G : \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(a) = \frac{1}{2}e_-^2 + \mathfrak{p}' \right\},$$

where e_-^2 is the unique element of \mathfrak{g}_{-2} such that $B(e_-^2, e_+^2) = 1$. Obviously, the restriction of the frame form of ξ_a to Γ_a is constant, which just means that the vector field ξ_a is parallel with respect to the exact Weyl connection corresponding

to Γ_a . Since ξ_a is the Reeb vector field of the contact one-form θ_a , the latter is parallel if and only if the former is, which completes the proof. \square

4.3. Pull-back connections. Let $p: \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the cone as in 3.1. Any smooth section $s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ determines a principal connection on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$; the corresponding horizontal lift of vector fields is denoted as $X \mapsto X^{\text{hor}}$. An ambient linear connection $\hat{\nabla}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ defines a linear connection ∇^s on \mathcal{C} by the formula

$$(8) \quad \nabla_X^s Y := Tp(\hat{\nabla}_{X^{\text{hor}}} Y^{\text{hor}}).$$

We call ∇^s the *pull-back connection* corresponding to s . On the other hand, for any section s , which we call a choice of scale by 2.3, let $\theta_s = s^*\hat{\alpha}$ be the contact form and let $\bar{\nabla}^s$ be the corresponding exact Weyl connection on \mathcal{C} . In the rest of this section, we are looking for an ambient connection $\hat{\nabla}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ so that both ∇^s and $\bar{\nabla}^s$ induce the same partial contact connection on $D \subset T\mathcal{C}$. For this reason it turns out that $\hat{\nabla}$ has to be symplectic, i.e. $\hat{\nabla}\hat{\Omega} = 0$.

The following statement provides together with Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.2 the desired simple realization of special symplectic connections of type (i), (ii), and (iii) according to the list in 1.1. The point is that in all these cases the ambient connection $\hat{\nabla}$ is very natural and easy to describe.

Theorem. *Let $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the model cone for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n + 2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{su}(p + 1, q + 1)$ or $\mathfrak{sl}(n + 2, \mathbb{R})$. Then there is an ambient symplectic connection $\hat{\nabla}$ on the total space of $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ so that, for any section $s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$, the induced partial contact connections of the exact Weyl connection and the pull-back connection corresponding to s coincide.*

Although the definition of the cone $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is pretty general, its convenient interpretation necessary to find a natural candidate for $\hat{\nabla}$ is no more universal. In order to prove the Theorem, we deal in following three subsections with each case individually. It follows that the reasonable interpretation of the cone in any discussed case is more or less standard and we refer primarily to [6] for a lot of details. The candidate for an ambient connection $\hat{\nabla}$ is almost canonical, therefore in the proofs of subsequent Propositions we focus only in the justification of the choices.

Note that a natural guess for $\hat{\nabla}$ to be a G -invariant symplectic connection on $\hat{\mathcal{C}} = G/P'$ does help only for contact projective structures, i.e. the structures corresponding to the contact grading of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n + 2, \mathbb{R})$. This is due to the following statement, which is an immediate corollary of [12, Theorem 3]: For a connected real simple Lie group G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the nilpotent adjoint orbit $\mathcal{C} = \text{Ad}_G(e_+^2)$ admits a G -invariant linear connection if and only if $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{sp}(m, \mathbb{R})$.

For a reader's convenience we assume the dimension of $\mathcal{C} = G/P$ to be always $m = 2n + 1$. Consequently, $\dim \hat{\mathcal{C}} = 2n + 2$ and we further continue the convention that all important objects on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ are denoted with the hat.

4.4. Contact projective structures. Contact projective structures correspond to the contact grading of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n + 2, \mathbb{R})$, the only real form of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n + 2, \mathbb{C})$ admitting the contact grading. These structures are studied in [9]

in whole generality: *contact projective structure* on a contact manifold (M, D) is defined as a contact path geometry such that the paths are among geodesics of a linear connection on M ; the paths are then called *contact geodesics*. In analogy to classical projective structures, a contact projective structure is given by a class of linear connections $[\nabla]$ on TM having the same contact torsion and the same non-parametrized geodesics such that the following property is satisfied: if a geodesic is tangent to D in one point then it remains tangent to D everywhere.

The model contact projective structure is observed on the projectivization of symplectic vector space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}, \hat{\Omega})$ with $\hat{\Omega}$ being a standard symplectic form. Let G be the group of linear automorphisms of \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} preserving $\hat{\Omega}$, i.e. $G := \text{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$. In order to represent conveniently the contact grading of the corresponding Lie

algebra, let $\hat{\Omega}$ be given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \mathbb{J} & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, with respect to the standard

basis of \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} , where $\mathbb{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I}_n \\ -\mathbb{I}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and \mathbb{I}_n is the identity matrix of rank n . For $\mathbb{J}^t = -\mathbb{J}$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$ is represented by block matrices of the form

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & Z & z \\ X & A & \mathbb{J}Z^t \\ x & -X^t\mathbb{J} & -a \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

where the non-specified entries are arbitrary, i.e. $x, a, z \in \mathbb{R}$, $X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n*}$, and the fact $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ means that $A^t\mathbb{J} + \mathbb{J}A = 0$. Particular subspaces of the contact grading $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ of \mathfrak{g} is read along the diagonals so that \mathfrak{g}_{-2} is represented by $x \in \mathbb{R}$, \mathfrak{g}_{-1} by $X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, etc. In particular, \mathfrak{g}_0 is represented by the pairs $(a, A) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ so that $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is the semisimple part \mathfrak{g}_0^{ss} and the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is generated by the grading element E corresponding to the pair $(1, 0)$. Following the general setup in 2.1, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$, $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{g}_0^{ss} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$, and P, P' are the corresponding connected Lie subgroups in G . Schematically, the parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ is given as

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & r^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}$$

and $P' \subset P$ corresponds to $r = 1$. Easily, G acts transitively on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\}$, P' is the stabilizer of the first vector of the standard basis, and P is the stabilizer of the corresponding ray. Hence $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong G/P'$ is identified with $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\}$ and its oriented projectivization $\mathcal{C} \cong G/P$ is further identified with the sphere $S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$. Altogether, we have interpreted the model cone for contact projective structures as

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow S^{2n+1} \cong \mathcal{C}.$$

It is easy to check that the canonical symplectic form on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ corresponds to the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} , which is G -invariant by definition. As a particular interpretation of the general definition in 3.1, the contact distribution

$D \subset TS^{2n+1}$ is given by $D_v = v^\perp \cap T_v S^{2n+1}$, where $v \in S^{2n+1}$ and $v^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} : \hat{\Omega}(v, x) = 0\}$.

Next, let $\hat{\nabla}$ be the canonical flat connection on \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} . Then the connections on S^{2n+1} defined by (8) form projectively equivalent connections having the great circles as common non-parametrized geodesics. Any great circle is the intersection of S^{2n+1} with a plane passing through 0. If the plane is isotropic with respect to $\hat{\Omega}$, we end up with contact geodesics. Note that no connection in the class preserves the contact distribution, since it is obviously torsion-free, however the induced partial contact connection coincides with the restriction of an exact Weyl connection to D :

Proposition. *Let $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the model cone for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathcal{C} \cong S^{2n+1}$, $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\}$, $\hat{\Omega}$ corresponds to the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} , and the ambient symplectic connection $\hat{\nabla}$ from Theorem 4.3 is the canonical flat connection on \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} .*

Proof. Since $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong G/P'$, the tangent bundle $T\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is identified with the associated bundle $G \times_{P'} (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}')$ via the Maurer–Cartan form μ on G , where the action of P' on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}'$ is induced from the adjoint representation. On the other hand, $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\}$, so $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}' \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ as vector spaces. \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} is the standard representation of G and the essential observation for the next development is that its restriction to $P' \subset G$ is isomorphic to the representation of P' on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}'$. Explicitly, the isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}'$ is given by

$$(9) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a \\ X \\ x \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 & 0 \\ x & -X^t \mathbb{J} & -a \end{pmatrix} + \mathfrak{p}'.$$

Altogether, $T\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong G \times_{P'} \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ and since the representation of P' is the restriction of a representation of G , the Maurer–Cartan form μ induces a linear connection on $T\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ by general arguments as in [5]. More precisely, $G \times_{P'} \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \cong (G \times_{P'} G) \times_G \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$, where the (homogeneous) principal bundle $G \times_{P'} G \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ represents the symplectic frame bundle of $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. The Maurer–Cartan form μ on G extends to a G -invariant principal connection on $G \times_{P'} G$. The latter connection induces connections on all associated bundles, in particular, this gives rise to a flat invariant symplectic connection on $T\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, i.e. the canonical flat connection $\hat{\nabla}$ on \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} . Due to this interpretation of $\hat{\nabla}$, we are going to describe the covariant derivative with respect to $\hat{\nabla}$ in an alternative way which will provide a comparison of the pull-back and exact Weyl connections.

For a vector field $\hat{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$ let us denote by $f_{\hat{X}}$ the corresponding frame form, i.e. the P' -equivariant map from G to $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}' \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$. As $\hat{\nabla}$ is an instance of tractor connection, the frame form of the covariant derivative of \hat{Y} in the direction of \hat{X} turns out to be expressed as

$$(10) \quad f_{\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{X}} \hat{Y}} = \hat{\xi} \cdot f_{\hat{Y}} + \mu(\hat{\xi}) \circ f_{\hat{Y}},$$

where $\hat{\xi} \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ is a lift of $\hat{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$ and \circ denotes the standard representation of \mathfrak{g} on \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} ; see [5, section 2] or [13, section 2.15].

From now on, let $s : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ be a fixed section of the model cone, i.e. a choice of scale, and let $\sigma^s : \mathcal{G}'_0 \rightarrow G$ be the corresponding exact Weyl structure, where \mathcal{G}'_0 is the principal G'_0 -bundle as in 2.3. Since $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \cong \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \langle E \rangle$ as G'_0 -modules, the section s provides the identification $T\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathcal{G}'_0 \times_{G'_0} (\mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \langle E \rangle)$ (similarly, $TC \cong \mathcal{G}'_0 \times_{G'_0} \mathfrak{g}_-$). If \hat{X} is a vector field on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and $f_{\hat{X}}$ the corresponding frame form as above, than the frame form corresponding to the identification $T\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathcal{G}'_0 \times_{G'_0} (\mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \langle E \rangle)$ is given by $f_{\hat{X}} \circ \sigma^s$. (Similarly for vector fields on \mathcal{C} .) Restricting to the image of σ^s within G , we do not distinguish between these two interpretations.

In the definition of the pull-back connection, $X^{\text{hor}} \in \mathfrak{X}(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$ denotes the horizontal lift of vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the principal connection on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ determined by s . According to the identifications above, the horizontality in terms of the frame forms is expressed as $f_{X^{\text{hor}}} = (0, f_X)^t \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \cong \langle E \rangle \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$. Hence the formula (10) yield

$$(11) \quad f_{\hat{\nabla}_{X^{\text{hor}}} Y^{\text{hor}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \hat{\xi} \cdot f_Y \end{pmatrix} + \mu(\hat{\xi}) \circ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

The tangent map of the projection $p: \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ corresponds to the projection $\pi: \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \langle E \rangle \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_-$ in the direction of $\langle E \rangle$, hence the result of the covariant derivative $\nabla_X^s Y$ with respect to the pull-back connection defined by (8) corresponds to the \mathfrak{g}_- part of (11).

On the other hand, the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla}^s$ with respect to the exact Weyl connection corresponding to s is given by $f_{\bar{\nabla}_X^s Y} = \xi^s \cdot (f_Y \circ \sigma^s)$, where $\xi^s \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{G}'_0)$ is the horizontal lift of $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the principal connection on \mathcal{G}'_0 . This is characterized by $\mu_0(T\sigma^s \cdot \xi^s) = 0$, i.e. $T\sigma^s \cdot \xi^s = \xi + \zeta_{\mathbf{P}^s(\xi)}$ where ξ is the lift such that $\mu(\xi) \in \mathfrak{g}_-$ and \mathbf{P}^s is the Rho-tensor. Since $\xi^s \cdot (f_Y \circ \sigma^s) = (T\sigma^s \cdot \xi^s) \cdot f_Y$, we conclude by the formula

$$(12) \quad f_{\bar{\nabla}_X^s Y} = \xi \cdot f_Y - \text{ad}(\mathbf{P}^s(\xi))(f_Y).$$

Altogether, considering $T\sigma^s \cdot \xi^s$ instead of $\hat{\xi}$ in (11), the desired comparison of the pull-back connection and the exact Weyl connection determined by s is given by

$$(13) \quad f_{\nabla_X^s Y - \bar{\nabla}_X^s Y} = \pi \left((\mu(\xi) + \mathbf{P}^s(\xi)) \circ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_Y \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where π denotes the projection $\mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \langle E \rangle \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_-$ as before. In particular, expressing the standard action on the right hand side of (13) for $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$, i.e. for $\mu(\xi)$ and f_Y having values in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} , the difference tensor turns out to be of the form

$$(14) \quad \nabla_X^s Y - \bar{\nabla}_X^s Y = -d\theta_s(X, Y)r_s$$

where θ_s and r_s is the contact form and the Reeb vector field, respectively, corresponding to the scale $s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$. This shows that the induced partial contact connections of the pull-back connection and the exact Weyl connection determined by s coincide. □

Remarks. (a) The paper [2] provides a characterization of symplectic connections of Ricci type with specific symplectic connections obtained by a reduction procedure from a hypersurface in a symplectic vector space. More specifically, for $a \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$ the hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} is defined by

$$\Sigma_a := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} : \hat{\Omega}(x, ax) = 1\},$$

where $\hat{\Omega}$ is the standard symplectic form, and all the connections are induced from the flat ambient connection on \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} . Basically, this is just another view on the description of pull-back connections which is conceivable whenever \mathcal{C} can be interpreted as a hypersurface in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$; the section $s : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is then understood as a deformation of the hypersurface. In the current case, $\mathcal{C} \cong S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\} \cong \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and one easily shows that for the section s_a corresponding to an element $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, the image of s_a really coincides with the hypersurface Σ_a above.

(b) Note that the argument in the proof of Proposition above can be directly generalized in at least two ways: First, the homogeneous model and the flat connection $\hat{\nabla}$ can be replaced by a general manifold M with contact projective structure and the unique ambient connection on the total space of a scale bundle over M , respectively, which is established in [9, Theorem B]. The general ambient connection is induced by a canonical Cartan connection in the very same manner as above. Second, the comparison of pull-back connections and exact Weyl connections can be extended to general Weyl connections. Indeed, any Weyl connection corresponds by 2.3 to a principal connection on a scale bundle, which actually is the important ingredient in the definition of pull-back connections in (8). The fact that the principal connection on the bundle of scales is given by a section plays no role in this context.

(c) By [9, Theorem A], any choice of scale determines a unique linear connection on M so that it preserves the corresponding contact form and its differential, represents the contact projective structure, and has a normalized torsion. Note that this is neither the pull-back connection nor the exact Weyl connection, however the induced partial contact connection is still the same. Connections of this type are close analogies of Webster–Tanaka connections well known in CR geometry.

4.5. CR structures of hypersurface type. These structures correspond to the contact grading of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1)$, a real form of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$, where $p+q = n$ once for all. In fact the correct full name of the general geometric structure of this type is *non-degenerate partially integrable almost CR structure of hypersurface type*. This structure on a smooth manifold M is given by a contact distribution $D \subset TM$ with a complex structure $J : D \rightarrow D$ so that the Levi bracket $\mathcal{L} : D \wedge D \rightarrow TM/D$ is compatible with the complex structure, i.e. $\mathcal{L}(J-, J-) = \mathcal{L}(-, -)$ for any $-, - \in \Gamma(D)$. A choice of contact form provides an identification of $T_x M/D_x$ with \mathbb{R} , for any $x \in M$, and the latter condition on the Levi bracket says that $\mathcal{L}(-, J-)$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on D , that is a pseudo-metric. Hence $\mathcal{L}(-, J-) + i\mathcal{L}(-, -)$ is a Hermitian form on D whose signature (p, q) is the *signature* of the CR structure.

The classical examples of CR structures of the above type are induced on non-degenerate real hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . In general, for a real submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, the CR structure on M is induced from the ambient complex space \mathbb{C}^{n+1} so that the distribution D is the maximal complex subbundle in TM , and the complex structure J is the restriction to D of the multiplication by i . The model CR structures of hypersurface type are induced on the so-called *hyperquadrics*, cf. [10]. A typical hyperquadric of signature (p, q) is described as a graph

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{Q} := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} : \Im(w) = h(z, z)\},$$

or as

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{S} := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} : h(z, z) + |w|^2 = 1\},$$

where h is a Hermitean form of signature (p, q) . It turns out that the induced CR structures on \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{S} are equivalent and the equivalence is established by the restriction of the biholomorphism $(z, w) \mapsto \left(\frac{z}{w-i}, \frac{1-iw}{w-i}\right)$. Note that this identification is almost global (only the point $(0, i) \in \mathcal{S}$ is mapped to infinity) and projective. In particular, \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{S} are different affine realizations of a projective hyperquadric in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ which is identified with the homogeneous space G/P as follows.

Let G be the group of complex linear automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^{n+2} preserving a Hermitean form H of signature $(p + 1, q + 1)$, i.e. $G := SU(p + 1, q + 1)$. Let

the Hermitean form H be given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \\ 0 & \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ \frac{i}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, with respect to

the standard basis $(e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1})$, where $\mathbb{I} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_p & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I}_q \end{pmatrix}$ represents the

Hermitean form h of signature (p, q) on $\langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$. According to this choice, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1)$ is represented by matrices of the following form with blocks of sizes 1, n , and 1

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c & 2iZ & v \\ X & A & \mathbb{I}\bar{Z}^t \\ u & -2i\bar{X}^t\mathbb{I} & -\bar{c} \end{pmatrix} : u, v \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathfrak{u}(p, q), \text{tr}(A) + 2i\Im(c) = 0 \right\},$$

where the non-specified entries are arbitrary, i.e. $X \in \mathbb{C}^n, Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n*}$, and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. (Note that $A \in \mathfrak{u}(p, q)$ means $\bar{A}^t\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I}A = 0$, so in particular $\text{tr}(A)$ is purely imaginary complex number.) The contact grading of \mathfrak{g} is read along the diagonals as in 4.4. In particular, \mathfrak{g}_0 is represented by the pairs $(c, A) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{u}(p, q)$ with the constrain $\text{tr}(A) + 2i\Im(c) = 0$. The center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is two-dimensional, where the grading element E corresponds to the pair $(1, 0)$, and the semisimple part \mathfrak{g}_0^{ss} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'_0 \cong \mathfrak{u}(p, q)$ corresponds to the pairs of the form $(-\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(A), A)$. Note that the compatibility of the Levi bracket with the complex structure on D is reflected here by the fact that $[iX, iY] = [X, Y]$ for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. Subalgebras $\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are defined as in 2.1, $P' \subset P$ are the corresponding connected subgroups in G . The parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ is

schematically indicated as

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} re^{i\theta} & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{r}e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} : r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}$$

and $P' \subset P$ corresponds to $r = 1$.

Let \mathcal{N} be the set of non-zero null-vectors in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} with respect to the Hermitian form H . Clearly, G preserves and acts transitively on \mathcal{N} . If $Q \subset G$ denotes the stabilizer of the first vector of the standard basis then \mathcal{N} is identified with the homogeneous space G/Q . Obviously $Q \subset P' \subset P$ corresponds to $r = 1$ and $\theta = 0$ according to the description of P above. Since $P'/Q \cong U(1)$, the group of complex numbers of unit length, the homogeneous space G/P' is identified with $\mathcal{N}/U(1)$. Next $P \supset P'$ is the stabilizer of the complex line generated by the first vector of the standard basis, so the homogeneous space G/P is identified with \mathcal{N}/\mathbb{C}^* , the complex projectivization of \mathcal{N} . Altogether a natural interpretation of the model cone in this case is

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathcal{N}/U(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/\mathbb{C}^* \cong \mathcal{C}.$$

A direct substitution shows that the hyperquadric \mathcal{Q} from (15) is the intersection of \mathcal{N} with the complex hyperplane $z_0 = 1$. According to the new basis $(e_0 + ie_{n+1}, e_1, \dots, e_n, e_0 - ie_{n+1})$ of \mathbb{C}^{n+2} , the Hermitian metric H is in the diagonal form so that the hyperquadric \mathcal{S} from (16) is the intersection of \mathcal{N} with the complex hyperplane $z'_0 = 1$ (where the dash refers to coordinates with respect to the new basis). This recovers the identification above, in particular, both \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{S} are identified with $\mathcal{N}/\mathbb{C}^* \cong \mathcal{C}$.

From now on, let \mathcal{C} be the hyperquadric \mathcal{S} in the hyperplane $z'_0 = 1$ which we naturally identify with \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . This hyperplane without the origin is further identified with $\mathcal{N}/U(1) \cong \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ under the map $(z', w') \mapsto (\sqrt{|h(z', z') + |w'|^2|}, z', w')$. Denote by \hat{h} the induced Hermitian metric (of signature $(p + 1, q)$) on this hyperplane and let $\hat{\Omega}$ be its imaginary part. Obviously, both \hat{h} and $\hat{\Omega}$ are G -invariant, and an easy calculation shows that $\hat{\Omega}$ corresponds to the canonical symplectic form on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ up to non-zero constant multiple. Altogether, the defining equation (16) for $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ reads as

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \hat{h}(z, z) = 1\}$$

and the most satisfactory interpretation of the model cone is

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{C}.$$

Proposition. *Let $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the model cone for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p + 1, q + 1)$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{S}$, the hyperquadric in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ given by (17), where \hat{h} is the Hermitian metric of signature $(p + 1, q)$. Further, $\hat{\Omega}$ corresponds to the imaginary part of \hat{h} and the ambient symplectic connection $\hat{\nabla}$ from Theorem 4.3 is the canonical flat connection on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .*

Proof. The connection $\hat{\nabla}$ is obviously symplectic, i.e. $\hat{\nabla}$ is torsion-free and $\hat{\nabla}\hat{\Omega} = 0$. By definition, $\hat{\Omega}$ is the imaginary part of the Hermitian metric \hat{h} on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Its

real part \hat{g} is then expressed in terms of $\hat{\Omega}$ and the standard complex structure on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} as $\hat{g} = \hat{\Omega}(-, i-)$. This is a real pseudo-metric on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ of signature $(2p + 2, 2q)$ and $\hat{\nabla}$ can be seen as the Levi-Civita connection of \hat{g} .

As in general, let $\hat{\alpha} := \hat{E} \lrcorner \hat{\Omega}$. Let $s: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ be a section of the cone and let $\theta := s^* \hat{\alpha}$ be the corresponding contact one-form on \mathcal{S} . Then $g := d\theta(-, i-)$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on the contact distribution D which has to be preserved by the Weyl connection $\bar{\nabla}^s$. Next, since we deal with the homogeneous model, the contact torsion of $\bar{\nabla}^s$ vanishes. In fact, the corresponding partial contact connection on D is uniquely determined by the fact that (i) it leaves g to be parallel and (ii) its contact torsion vanishes.

In order to prove the statement, it suffices to show that (i) and (ii) is satisfied also by the partial contact connection induced by the pull-back connection ∇^s corresponding to s . However, since $\hat{\nabla}$ is torsion-free, the pull-back connection ∇^s is torsion-free as well, hence the condition (ii) is satisfied trivially. The condition (i) follows as follows: For $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(D)$, expand

$$(\nabla_X^s g)(Y, Z) = X \cdot d\theta(Y, iZ) - d\theta(\nabla_X^s Y, iZ) - d\theta(Y, i\nabla_X^s Z).$$

Since $\theta = s^* \hat{\alpha}$ and $d\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\Omega}$, by the naturality of exterior differential we have got $d\theta = s^* \hat{\Omega}$. Next easily, $Ts \cdot X = X^{\text{hor}} \circ s$ and, by the definition of the pull-back connection in 4.3, $Ts \cdot \nabla_X^s Y = \hat{\nabla}_{X^{\text{hor}}} Y^{\text{hor}} \circ s \pmod{\langle \hat{E} \rangle}$. Since $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{E} \lrcorner \hat{\Omega}$ and $D = Tp \cdot \ker \hat{\alpha}$, the previous formula is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} X \cdot \hat{\Omega}(Ts \cdot Y, Ts \cdot iZ) - \hat{\Omega}(Ts \cdot \nabla_X^s Y, Ts \cdot iZ) - \hat{\Omega}(Ts \cdot Y, Ts \cdot i\nabla_X^s Z) \\ = X^{\text{hor}} \cdot \hat{\Omega}(Y^{\text{hor}}, iZ^{\text{hor}}) - \hat{\Omega}(\hat{\nabla}_{X^{\text{hor}}} Y^{\text{hor}}, iZ^{\text{hor}}) - \hat{\Omega}(Y^{\text{hor}}, i\hat{\nabla}_{X^{\text{hor}}} Z^{\text{hor}}). \end{aligned}$$

However, the very last expression is just $(\hat{\nabla}_{X^{\text{hor}}} \hat{g})(Y^{\text{hor}}, Z^{\text{hor}})$, which vanishes trivially by definitions. □

4.6. Lagrangean contact structures. Lagrangean contact structures correspond to the contact grading of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n + 2, \mathbb{R})$, another real form of $\mathfrak{sl}(n + 2, \mathbb{R})$. *Lagrangean contact structure* on a smooth manifold M consists of the contact distribution $D \subset TM$ and a fixed decomposition $D = L \oplus R$ so that the subbundles L and R are *Lagrangean*, i.e. isotropic with respect to the Levi bracket $\mathcal{L}: D \wedge D \rightarrow TM/D$. These structures was profoundly studied in [14] where we refer for a lot of details. The model Lagrangean contact structure appears on the projectivization of the cotangent bundle of real projective space; let us present the algebraic background first.

The contact grading of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n + 2, \mathbb{R})$ is read diagonally as in 4.4 and 4.5 from the following block decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & Z_1 & z \\ X_1 & B & Z_2 \\ x & X_2 & c \end{pmatrix} : a + \text{tr}(B) + c = 0 \right\},$$

where as usual the non-specified entries are arbitrary, i.e. $x, a, c, z \in \mathbb{R}$, $X_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $X_2, Z_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n*}$, and $B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$. The subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_0 is represented by the triples $(a, B, c) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ so that $a + \text{tr}(B) + c = 0$. The center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$

is two-dimensional and the grading element E corresponds to $(1, 0, -1)$. The semisimple part \mathfrak{g}_0^{ss} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'_0 \cong \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is represented by all triples of the form $(-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(B), B, -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(B))$. The subspace \mathfrak{g}_{-1} defining the contact distribution is split as $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^L \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^R$, where \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^L is represented by $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^R by $X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n*}$, so that this splitting is invariant under the adjoint action of \mathfrak{g}_0 . Furthermore, the subspaces \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^L and \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^R are isotropic with respect to the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \times \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$, which reflects the geometric definition of the structure in terms of Levi bracket. Similarly, \mathfrak{g}_1 splits as $\mathfrak{g}_1^L \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^R$. The subalgebras $\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are given as before. Let G be the group $SL(n+2, \mathbb{R})$. The connected parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is schematically indicated as

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} pq & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{p}{q} \end{pmatrix} : p, q \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}$$

and $P' \subset P$ corresponds to $q = 1$.

The homogeneous space G/P is naturally identified with the set of flags of half-lines in hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} . Indeed, the standard action of G on \mathbb{R}^{n+2} descends to a transitive action both on rays and hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} , so G acts transitively on the set of flags of above type. The subgroup P is the stabilizer of the flag $\ell \subset \rho$ where ℓ and ρ is the ray and the hyperplane generated by the first and the first $n+1$ vectors from the standard basis, respectively. Obviously, $P = \tilde{P} \cap \bar{P}$ where \tilde{P} is the stabilizer of ℓ and \bar{P} stabilizes ρ . Note that both \tilde{P} and \bar{P} are also parabolic.

We claim that $G/P \cong \mathcal{P}^o(T^*S^{n+1})$ which is the oriented projectivization of the cotangent bundle of projective sphere, the oriented projectivization of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} . This can be clarified as follows: The projective sphere $S^{n+1} \cong \mathcal{P}^o(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ is identified with G/\tilde{P} , where $\tilde{P} \subset G$ is the stabilizer of the ray ℓ as above. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of \tilde{P} and let $\mathfrak{g} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ be the corresponding grading of \mathfrak{g} . As usual, $(\mathfrak{g}/\tilde{\mathfrak{p}})^* \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}^* \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$, hence $T^*S^{n+1} \cong T^*(G/\tilde{P})$ is identified with $G \times_{\tilde{P}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ via the Maurer–Cartan form on G . Now, the adjoint action of \tilde{P} on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is transitive and an easy direct calculation shows that the stabilizer of a convenient element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is precisely $P' \subset P \subset \tilde{P}$; the subgroup $P \subset \tilde{P}$ is the stabilizer of the corresponding ray. Altogether, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \cong \tilde{P}/P'$ and $\mathcal{P}^o(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1) \cong \tilde{P}/P$, so $T^*S^{n+1} \cong G/P'$ and $\mathcal{P}^o(T^*S^{n+1}) \cong G/P$. Hence the interpretation of the model cone for Lagrangean contact structures is

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong T^*S^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^o(T^*S^{n+1}) \cong \mathcal{C}$$

so that the canonical G -invariant symplectic form on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ corresponds to the canonical symplectic form on the cotangent bundle T^*S^{n+1} , cf. remark 3.1(c).

Now we are going to expose a general construction following [14]; it turns out this will be useful to find a candidate for the ambient connection $\hat{\nabla}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong T^*S^{n+1}$. Let M be a manifold with linear torsion-free connection ∇ and let $H \subset TT^*M$ be the corresponding horizontal distributions on the cotangent bundle over M . Together with the vertical subbundle V of the projection $p: T^*M \rightarrow M$ we have got an

almost product structure on T^*M . Let $\hat{\alpha}$ be the canonical one-form and $\hat{\Omega} = d\hat{\alpha}$ the canonical symplectic form on T^*M . By definition of $\hat{\Omega}$, the subbundle V is isotropic with respect to $\hat{\Omega}$. The complementary subbundle H determined by the connection ∇ is isotropic if and only if ∇ is torsion-free. After the projectivization, the decomposition $V \oplus H = TT^*M$ yields a Lagrangean contact structure on $\mathcal{P}(T^*M)$. Moreover, the almost product structure on T^*M and so the Lagrangean contact structure on $\mathcal{P}(T^*M)$ are independent on the choice of connection from the projectively equivalent class $[\nabla]$. Altogether, starting with a projective structure on a smooth manifold M , this gives rise to a Lagrangean contact structure on the projectivized cotangent bundle of M . Note that in terms of parabolic geometries, this construction is an instance of the so-called correspondence space construction [4, section 4] which is formally powered by the inclusion $P \subset \hat{P}$ of parabolic subgroups in G . As a particular implementation of a general principle, locally flat projective structure on M gives rise to a locally flat Lagrangean contact structure on $\mathcal{P}(T^*M)$. This is actually observed elementarily in the previous paragraph provided we consider oriented projectivization instead of the usual one.

Proposition. *Let $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the model cone for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+2, \mathbb{R})$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \cong T^*S^{n+1}$, $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{P}^o(T^*S^{n+1})$, and $\hat{\Omega}$ corresponds to the canonical symplectic form on cotangent bundle. Let further $J : TT^*S^{n+1} \rightarrow TT^*S^{n+1}$ be the almost product structure given by the projective structure on S^{n+1} as above. Then the bilinear form $\hat{g} := \hat{\Omega}(-, J-)$ on T^*S^{n+1} is symmetric and non-degenerate and the ambient symplectic connection $\hat{\nabla}$ from Theorem 4.3 is the Levi-Civita connection of \hat{g} .*

Proof. Let $S^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ be the standard projective sphere. The projective structure $[\nabla]$ is induced from the canonical flat connection in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} , in particular, any connection in the class is torsion-free. As before, this ensures that both subbundles V and H from the corresponding decomposition of TT^*S^{n+1} are isotropic with respect to the canonical symplectic form $\hat{\Omega}$. The decomposition $V \oplus H = TT^*S^{n+1}$ determines the product structure J so that V and H is the eigenspace of J corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 and -1 , respectively. Since both $\hat{\Omega}$ and J are non-degenerate, the same holds true also for $\hat{g} := \hat{\Omega}(-, J-)$. Since both V and H are isotropic with respect to $\hat{\Omega}$, the bilinear form \hat{g} turns out to be symmetric, hence it is a pseudo-metric on T^*S^{n+1} .

The rest of the proof is completely parallel to that in 4.5 up to the interchange between the almost complex and almost product structure on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and $D \subset T\mathcal{C}$, respectively. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bryant, R., *Bochner-Kähler metrics*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2) (2001), 623–715.
- [2] Cahen, M., Gutt, S., Schwachhöfer, L., *Construction of Ricci-type connections by reduction and induction*, The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry, 2005, pp. 41–57.
- [3] Cahen, M., Schwachhöfer, L., *Special symplectic connections*, eprint arXiv:math/0402221v2.
- [4] Čap, A., *Correspondence spaces and twistor spaces for parabolic geometries*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **582** (2005), 143–172.

- [5] Čap, A., Gover, A. R., *Tractor calculi for parabolic geometries*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 1511–1548.
- [6] Čap, A., Slovák, J., *Parabolic Geometries*, to appear in Math. Surveys Monogr., 2008.
- [7] Čap, A., Slovák, J., *Weyl Structures for Parabolic Geometries*, Math. Scand. **93** (2003), 53–90.
- [8] Chu, B. Y., *Symplectic homogeneous space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **197** (1974), 145–159.
- [9] Fox, D. J., *Contact projective structures*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **54** (6) (2005), 1547–1598.
- [10] Jacobowitz, H., *An introduction to CR structures*, Math. Surveys Monogr. **32** (1990).
- [11] Panák, M., Schwachhöfer, L., *Bochner–Kähler metrics and connections of Ricci-type*, Proceedings of the 10th Conference on Differential Geometry and its Applications, Olomouc 2007, World Scientific, 2008, pp. 339–352.
- [12] Pikulin, S. V., Tevelev, E. A., *Invariant linear connections on homogeneous symplectic varieties*, Transform. Groups **6** (2) (2001), 193–198.
- [13] Slovák, J., *Parabolic geometries*, Tech. report, IGA preprint 97/11, Univ. of Adelaide, 1997.
- [14] Takeuchi, M., *Lagrangian contact structures on projective cotangent bundles*, Osaka J. Math. **31** (1994), 837–860.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
MASARYK UNIVERSITY
611 37 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail: naca@math.muni.cz zadnik@math.muni.cz